



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

साप्ताहिक
WEEKLY

सं. 27]

नई दिल्ली, जून 28—जुलाई 4, 2009, शनिवार/आषाढ़ 7—आषाढ़ 13, 1931

No. 27]

NEW DELHI, JUNE 28—JULY 4, 2009, SATURDAY/ASADHA 7—ASADHA 13, 1931

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह पुष्क संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके
Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)
PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं
Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India
(Other than the Ministry of Defence)

विधि एवं न्याय मंत्रालय

(विधायी विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1820.—सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 (2005 का 22) की धारा 5 की उपधारा (2) के अनुसरण में और भारत के राजपत्र, असाधारण, भाग-II, खण्ड-3, उपखण्ड (ii) में का. आ. 1175 (ई) दिनांक 19 मई, 2008 के अंतर्गत प्रकाशित विधि एवं न्याय मंत्रालय, विधायी विभाग की अधिसूचना के अधिक्रमण में, ऐसे अधिक्रमणों से पहले तत्संबंधी ऐसे कृत या किए जाने के लिए छोड़े हुए कृत्यों को छोड़कर, विधायी विभाग द्वारा उक्त विभाग में श्री के. श्रीमन्नारायण, सहायक विधायी परामर्शी को इस विभाग के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनार्थ तत्काल प्रभाव से एतद्वारा केन्द्रीय सहायक लोक सूचना अधिकारी पदनामित किया जाता है।

[फा. सं. ए. 45011/4/2005-प्रशा.1(वि.वि.)]

वी. जी. मंगल, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE

(Legislative Department)

New Delhi, the 18th June, 2009

S.O. 1820.—In pursuance of sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (22 of 2005), and in supersession of the notification of the Legislative Department of the Ministry of Law and Justice, published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), vide number S.O. 1175 (E) dated the 19th May, 2008, except as respects thing done or omitted to be done before such supersession, the Legislative Department hereby designates Shri K. Sreemannarayana, Assistant Legislative Counsel in the said Department, as the Central Assistant Public Information Officer for the said Department for the purposes of the said Act with immediate effect.

[F. No. A-45011/4/2005-Admn. I (LD)]

V. G MANGAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 2009

क्र.आ. 1821.—सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 (2005 का 22) की धारा 5 की उपधारा (2) के अनुसरण में और भारत के राजपत्र, असाधारण, भाग-II, खण्ड-3, उपखण्ड (ii) में का. अ. 832 (ई) दिनांक 25 मार्च, 2009 को अंगणत प्रकाशित किए गए नियमों, विधियों विभाग की अधिकृतता के अधिनियम में, ऐसे अधिकारों से पहले संबंधी ऐसे सूचना का फिर जाने के लिए छोड़े हुए कृत्यों को संशोधन, विभागीय विभाग द्वारा उपर विभाग में श्री रामसेट्टी श्रीनिवास, उप-विभागीय पदाधिकारी को इस विभाग के लिए उपर अधिनियम के प्रवर्धनार्थ सहायता प्रदान से एकाग्रता को नवीन लोक सूचना अधिकारी प्रवर्धित किए जाता है।

[फा. सं. ए-45011/4/2005-प्र.1(वि.वि.)]

वी. जी. मंगल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th June, 2009

S.O. 1821.—In pursuance of sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (22 of 2005), and in supersession of the notification of the Legislative Department of the Ministry of Law and Justice, published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), vide number S.O. 832 (E) dated the 25th March, 2009, except as respects thing done or omitted to be done before such supersession, the Legislative Department hereby designates Shri Ramasetty Srinivas, Deputy Legislative Counsel in the said Department, as the Central Public Information Officer for the said Department for the purposes of the said Act with immediate effect.

[F. No. A-45011/4/2005-Admn. I (LD)]

V. G. MANGAL, Under Secy.

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन बंकासम

(कार्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 25 जून, 2009

क्र.आ. 1822.—केंद्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का अधिनियम सं. 25) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए उन निम्नलिखित अपराधों को विनिर्दिष्ट करती है जिनका अन्वेषण दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना द्वारा किया जाना है, नामतः :-

- (क) तमिलनाडु सम्प्रदाय (शक्ति एवं हथियार निषेध) अधिनियम, 1992 की धारा 3 और 5 के अधीन संबंधी अपराध; और
- (ख) उपर्युक्त अपराधों से संबंधित अथवा संसक्त प्रयत्नों, दुष्प्रेरणों और षड्यंत्रों तथा उसी संयोजन के अनुक्रम में अथवा उन्हीं तथ्यों से उद्भूत किसी अन्य अपराध अथवा अपराधों।

[सं. 228/10/2009-एवीडी-II]

चंद्र प्रकाश, अवर सचिव

DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS

(Department of Personnel and Training)

New Delhi, the 25th June, 2009

S.O. 1821.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946), the Central Government hereby specifies the following offences which are to be investigated by the Delhi Special Police Establishment namely :-

- (a) Offences punishable under sections 3 and 5 of the Tami Nadu Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992; and
- (b) Attempts, abetments and conspiracies in relation to or in connection with one or more of the offences mentioned above and any other offence or offences committed in the course of the same transaction or arising out of the same facts.

[No. 228/10/2009-AVD-II]

CHANDRA PRAKASH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 25 जून, 2009

क्र.आ. 1823.—केंद्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का अधिनियम सं. 25) की धारा 6 से सशक्त करके धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए सचिव सरकार गृह विभाग, इम्फाल की दिनांक 28 मई, 2009 की अधिसूचना सं. 12/1(26)/09-एच. (निवारण) द्वारा प्रत्येक सदस्य से पुलिस स्थापना निम्नलिखित (मणिपुर) में संबन्धित या संबंधित, 1860 (1860 का अधिनियम सं. 45) की धारा 302, 120-वीं तथा 123 तथा अन्य गतिविधियाँ (निवारण) संश्लेषण अधिनियम, 2004 (2004 का अधिनियम सं. 29) की धारा 16, 18 तथा 20 तथा सशक्त अधिनियम, 1959 (1959 का अधिनियम सं. 54) के तहत धारा सं. 109 (5)/09 जो कि प्रोफेसर मोहम्मद इमरतुल्लाह को मारने तथा उसका प्रवास करने, दुष्प्रेरणों तथा षड्यंत्रों और उसी संयोजन के अनुक्रम में किए गए अथवा उन्हीं तथ्यों से उद्भूत किसी अन्य अपराधों का अन्वेषण करने के लिए दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना के सदस्यों की शक्तियों और अधिकारिता का विस्तार समस्त सचिव सरकार पर करती है।

[सं. 228/25/2009-एवीडी-II]

चंद्र प्रकाश, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 25th June, 2009

S.O. 1823.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5, read with section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946), the Central Government with the consent of the State Government of Manipur, Home Department, Imphal vide Notification No. 12/1(26)09-H(misc.) dated 28-5-2009, hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members

of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Manipur for the investigation of FIR No. 109(5)09 under sections 302, 120-B and 123 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act No. 45 of 1860) and Sections 16, 18 and 20 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Amendment Act, 2004 (Act No. 29 of 2004) and Section 23(1-B) of the Arms Act, 1959 (Act No. 54 of 1959) registered at Police Station Singjamai (Manipur) relating to the killing of Prof. Md. Islamuddin and attempts, abettments and conspiracies in relation to or in connection with the offences mentioned above and any other offence or offences committed in the course of the same transaction or arising out of the same facts.

[No. 228/25/2009-AVD-II]
CHANDRA PRAKASH, Under Secy.

गृह मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 2009

स.अ. 1824.—सरकारी स्थान (अप्रतिष्ठित अधिभोगियों की बेवखली) अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवम्पुत्र नीचे सारणी के कालम (1) में वर्णित अधिकारियों को सरकार के सम्पत्ति अधिकारों के समकक्ष होने के चाहे कथित सारणी के कालम (2) में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्राधिकार की स्थानीय सीमा के अंदर उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनार्थ सम्पदा अधिकारी नियुक्त करती है और निर्देश देती है कि उक्त अधिकारी कथित अधिनियम के अंतर्गत अथवा उसके द्वारा सम्पदा अधिकारों को प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करेगा तथा सौंपे गए कार्यों का निर्वहन करेगा।

सारणी

अधिकारी का पदनाम	लोक परिसरों और क्षेत्राधिकार की स्थानीय सीमाएं
(1)	(2)
पुलिस उप महापरीक्षक	महाराष्ट्र राज्य के समूह में केन्द्रीय
गुप्त केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व	रिजर्व पुलिस बल का धृष्टी परिसर
पुलिस बल, पुणे	तथा परिसरों।
(महाराष्ट्र)	

[फ.स.ए.-II-14/2008-09-प्र.स.1(लाह)-गृह
मंत्रालय-पीएफ-III]

एच. काम सुअन्थान्ग, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 12th June, 2009

S.O. 1824.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorized Occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971), the Central Government hereby appoints the Officer mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, being the officer equivalent to the rank of a

Gazetted officer of the Government, to be estate officer for the purposes of the said Act and said officer shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on the Estate Officer by or under the said Act within the local limits of the respective jurisdiction in respect of the public premises specified in column (2) of said table:—

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Public premises and local limits of jurisdiction
(1)	(2)
Deputy Inspector General of Police, Reserve Police Force, Pune (Maharashtra)	Premises of land and assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Land in the State of Maharashtra.

[F.No. A-II-14/2008-09-Adm-I(Lahe)-MHA-PF-III]

H. KAM SUANTHANG Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 12 जून, 2009

स.अ. 1824.—सरकारी स्थान (अप्रतिष्ठित अधिभोगियों की बेवखली) अधिनियम 1971 (1971 का 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, तथा भारत सरकार दिनांक 24/27 अक्टूबर, 1978 के स.अ.संख्या ए.ए.2/75/78 (प्र.स.)/III/केरिपुबल/पीएफ-III दिनांक 19-9-1993 के ए.ए.10/93-प्र.स.-I/केरिपुबल, गृह मंत्रालय/पीएफ-IV, दिनांक 4-9-2008 के ए.ए.7/2008-प्र.स.-I (गुप्तत्व)/केरिपुबल/गृह मंत्रालय/पीएफ-III, दिनांक 29-9-2000 के ए.ए.16/99-प्र.स.-I/केरिपुबल/गृह मंत्रालय/पीएफ-III, दिनांक 27-7-1994 के ए.ए.8/93-प्र.स.-I/केरिपुबल/गृह मंत्रालय/पीएफ-IV, दिनांक 28-6-2007 के ए.ए.3/2006-प्र.स.-I/(अगरवला)/केरिपुबल/गृह मंत्रालय/पीएफ-III दिनांक 26-5-1998 के ए.ए.16/97-प्र.स.-I/श्रीनगर/केरिपुबल/गृह मंत्रालय/पीएफ-IV दिनांक 29-6-2006 के ए.ए.1/2006-प्र.स.-I/केरिपुबल/गृह मंत्रालय/पीएफ-III, दिनांक 1-5-2007 के ए.ए.2/2007-प्र.स.-I/(टीपीए.ओएस)/गृह मंत्रालय/पीएफ-III, दिनांक 19-9-2007 के ए.ए.14/98-2007-प्र.स.-I/(एस)/केरिपुबल/गृह मंत्रालय/पीएफ-III, दिनांक 13-4-1992 के ए.ए.10/92-प्र.स.-3/केरिपुबल/गृह मंत्रालय/पीएफ-IV तथा दिनांक 8-2-2007 के ए.ए.1/2007-प्र.स.-I/(टीपीए)/केरिपुबल/गृह मंत्रालय/पीएफ-III की अधिसूचनाओं के अधिग्रहण में इस प्रकार के अधिग्रहण से पूर्व कृत कार्य अथवा सम्पन्न करने हेतु छोड़े गए कार्यों को छोड़कर केन्द्रीय सरकार एवम्पुत्र नीचे सारणी के कालम (2) में वर्णित अधिकारियों को सरकार के सम्पत्ति अधिकारों होने के चाहे कथित सारणी के कालम (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट अपने क्षेत्राधिकार की स्थानीय सीमा के अंदर उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनार्थ सम्पदा अधिकारी नियुक्त करती है और निर्देश देती है कि उक्त अधिकारी कथित अधिनियम के अंतर्गत अथवा उसके द्वारा सम्पदा अधिकारों को प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करेगा तथा सौंपे गए कार्यों का निर्वहन करेगा।

सारणी			(1)	(2)	(3)
क्रम सं.	अधिकारी का पदनाम	लोक परिसरों और क्षेत्राधिकार की स्थानीय सीमाएं			
(1)	(2)	(3)			
	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, हैदराबाद (आंध्र प्रदेश)	आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य के हैदराबाद में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, अजमेर (राजस्थान)		राजस्थान राज्य के अजमेर में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।
	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, आवडी (तमिलनाडु)	तमिलनाडु राज्य के आवडी में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, गांधीनगर (गुजरात)		गुजरात राज्य के गांधीनगर में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।
	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, नीमच (मध्य प्रदेश)	मध्य प्रदेश राज्य के नीमच में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, भुवनेश्वर (उड़ीसा)		उड़ीसा राज्य के भुवनेश्वर में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।
	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, पल्लीपुरम (केरल)	केरल राज्य के पल्लीपुरम में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, इम्फाल (मणिपुर)		मणिपुर राज्य के इम्फाल में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।
	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, नागपुर (महाराष्ट्र)	महाराष्ट्र राज्य के नागपुर में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, गुवाहाटी (असम)		असम राज्य के गुवाहाटी में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।
	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, मोकामाघाट (बिहार)	बिहार राज्य के मोकामाघाट में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, पिंजौर (हरियाणा)		हरियाणा राज्य के पिंजौर में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।
	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, दुर्गापुर (पश्चिम बंगाल)	पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य के दुर्गापुर में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, गुडगांव (हरियाणा)		हरियाणा राज्य के ग्राम कादरपुर (सोहना) गुडगांव में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।
	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, रामपुर (उत्तर प्रदेश)	उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य के रामपुर में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, रंगरेड्डी (आंध्र प्रदेश)		आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य के रंगरेड्डी में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।
	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, जम्मू (जम्मू व कश्मीर)	जम्मू व कश्मीर राज्य के जम्मू में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, बंगलोर (कर्नाटक)		कर्नाटक राज्य के बंगलोर में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।
	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, नई दिल्ली	राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र के नई दिल्ली में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, अगरतला (त्रिपुरा)		त्रिपुरा राज्य के अगरतला में अदरनी इस्टेट तथा उषा बाजार काम्पलेक्स हेतु केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।

(1)	(2)	(3)
पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, खटखटी (असम)	असम राज्य के खटखटी में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	
पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, श्रीनगर (जम्मू व कश्मीर)	जम्मू व कश्मीर राज्य के रामबाग श्रीनगर में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	
पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक ग्रुप केन्द्र केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल, अगरतला (त्रिपुरा)	त्रिपुरा राज्य के रत्नागिरम बैखोरा, लिम्बूचेरा तथा सालबागान में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	
पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक (संभरण व लेखा) केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल पूर्वी सेक्टर मुख्यालय, कोलकाता (पश्चिम बंगाल)	पश्चिम बंगाल राज्य के सेक्टर III, IV व V साल्ट लेक, 47 स्टैंड रोड और राजार हाट, न्यू टाउन, कोलकाता में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	
पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक (प्रशासन) केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल पूर्वोत्तर सेक्टर मुख्यालय, शिलांग (मेघालय)	मेघालय राज्य के स्टोनी हेवन काम्पलेक्स शिलोने में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	
पुलिस उप महानिरीक्षक (संभरण व लेखा) केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल बिहार सेक्टर मुख्यालय, पटना (बिहार)	बिहार राज्य के दीघा, पटना में केन्द्रीय रिजर्व पुलिस बल का भूमि परिसर तथा परिसंपत्ति।	

[फा. सं. ए-11-18/2008-09-प्रशा.-1/गृह मंत्रालय-पीएफ-III]

एच. काम सुआनथंग, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 12th June, 2009

S.O. 1825.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorized Occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971) and in supersessions of the notifications of the Government of India vide SO No. A.II-2/75/78(ADM)/III/CRPF/Pers. II dated 24/27 Oct. 1978, A.II-10/93-Adm.I/CRPF/MHA/PF-IV dated 19-9-1993, A.II-7/2008-Adm.I (GGN)/CRPF/MHA/PF-III dated 4-9-2008, A.II-16/99-Adm.I/CRPF/MHA/PF-III dated 29-9-2000, A.II-8/93-Adm.I/CRPF/MHA/PF-IV dated 27-7-1994, A.II-3/2006-Adm.I (AGT)/CRPF/MHA/PF-III dated 28-6-2007, A.II-16/97-Adm.I (SNR)/CRPF/MHA/PF-IV dated 26-5-1998, A.II-1/2006-Adm.I (SNR)/CRPF/MHA/PF-III dated 29-6-2006, A.II-2/2007-Adm.I (TPAOL)/MHA/PF-III dated 1-5-2007, A.II-14/98-2007-Adm.I (ES)/CRPF/MHA/PF-III dated 19-9-2007, A.II-10/92-Adm.3/CRPF/MHA/PF-IV

dated 13-4-1992 and A-II-1/2007-Adm.I (Digha)/CRPF/MHA/PF-III dated 8-2-2007 except as respect things done or omitted to be done before such supersession, the Central Government hereby appoints the Officers mentioned in column (2) of the Table below, being Gazetted officers of the Government to be Estate Officers for the purposes of the said Act who shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on the Estate Officer by or under the said Act within the local limits of their respective jurisdiction in respect of the public premises specified in column (3) of the said table:—

TABLE

S. No.	Designation of the Officer	Public premises and local limits of jurisdiction.
(1)	(2)	(3)
	Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Hyderabad (Andhra Pradesh)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Hyderabad in the State of Andhra Pradesh
	Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Avadi (Tamil Nadu)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Avadi in the State of Tamil Nadu
	Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Neemuch (Madhya Pradesh)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Neemuch in the State of Madhya Pradesh
	Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Pallipuram (Kerala)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Pallipuram in the State of Kerala
	Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Nagpur (Maharashtra)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Nagpur in the State of Maharashtra
	Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Mokamohghat (Bihar)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Mokamohghat in the State of Bihar
	Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Durgapur (West Bengal)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Durgapur in the State of West Bengal
	Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Rampur (Uttar Pradesh)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Rampur in the State of Uttar Pradesh

(1)	(2)	(3)
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Jammu (Jammu and Kashmir)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Jammu in the State of Jammu and Kashmir	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, New Delhi.	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at New Delhi in the National Capital of Territory of Delhi	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Ajmer (Rajasthan)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Ajmer in the State of Rajasthan.	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Gandhinagar (Gujarat)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Gandhinagar in the State of Gujarat	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Bhubaneswar (Orissa)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Bhubaneswar in the State of Orissa	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Imphal (Manipur)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Imphal in the State of Manipur	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Guwahati (Assam)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Guwahati in the State of Assam	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Pinjore (Haryana)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Pinjore in the State of Haryana	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Gurgaon (Haryana)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Gurgaon in the State of Haryana	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Rangareddy (Andhra Pradesh)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Rangareddy in the State of Andhra Pradesh	

(1)	(2)	(3)
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Bangalore (Karnataka)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Bangalore in the State of Karnataka	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Agartala (Tripura)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force for Adarnai Estates and Usha Bazar Complex at Agartala in the State of Tripura	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Khatibati (Assam)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Khatibati in the State of Assam	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Srinagar (J & K)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Srinagar in the State of Jammu and Kashmir	
Deputy Inspector General of Police Group Centre, Central Reserve Police Force, Agartala (Tripura)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Ratanagar Baikhora, Limbicherra and Salbagan in the State of Tripura	
Deputy Inspector General of Police (Provision and Accounts), Central Reserve Force, Eastern Sector H.Qs. (Kolkata)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Sector-III, IV and V, Salt Lake, 47 Strand Road and Rajshat, New Town, Kolkata in the State of West Bengal.	
Deputy Inspector General of Police (Administration), Central Reserve Force, NIS, H.Q. Shillong (Meghalaya)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Stony Heaven Complex, Shillong in the State of Meghalaya	
Deputy Inspector General of Police (Provision and Accounts), Central Reserve Force, Bihar Sector H.Qs. Patna (Bihar)	Premises of land assets belonging to the Central Reserve Police Force at Digha, patna in the State of Bihar.	

[F. No. A-II-18/08-09-Adm-I/MHA-PF-III]

H. KAM SUANTHANG, Under Secy.

(आयकर विभाग)

मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त का कार्यालय

त्रिची, 12 जून, 2009

आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10(23सी) (vi) के अधीन अनुमोदन

क्र.आ. 1826.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 10 के खंड (23सी) के उप-खंड (vi) के साथ पठित आयकर नियम, 1962 के 2 सीए द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए उपरोक्त उप-खंड में बताए गए प्रयोजनों के लिए निर्धारण वर्ष 2009-2010 से सेंट जोनस एजुकेशनल ट्रस्ट, जंगलाहल्ली, बी. पल्लीपट्टी पोस्ट, पाप्पिरेड्डीपट्टी तालुक, धर्मपुरी जिला को निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अनुसार अनुमोदन दिया जाता है :

- 2 (i) निर्धारित आयकर अधिनियम की धारा 1961 की धारा 10 के खंड (23सी) के उप-खंड (vi) के साथ पठित आयकर नियम, 1962 के 2 सीए के प्रावधानों के अनुसार अनुपालन करेगी।
- (ii) अधिनियम 10(23सी) के तीसरे परन्तुक के खंड (अ) से अपेक्षित यह निर्धारित अपनी आय का उन उद्देश्यों के लिए, पूर्णतः और अन्वयतः उपयोग करती है या उपयोग करने के लिए संचयन करती है और यदि उसकी आय का पंद्रह प्रतिशत या उससे अधिक का संचयन हो जाता है वहां उसके आय के संचयन की अवधि किसी भी दशा में पांच वर्ष से अधिक नहीं होगी।
- (iii) अधिनियम 10(23सी) के तीसरे परन्तुक के खंड (ब) से अपेक्षित तथा धारा 11 की उप-धारा (5) में विनिर्दिष्ट एक या अधिक रूपों या पद्धतियों में किसी भी अवधि के लिए यह निर्धारित अपनी निधि से अन्वयतः निवेशों और निक्षेपों (आभूषण एवं फर्नीचर या ऐसी वस्तु के रूप में प्राप्त और रखे गए स्वीकृत अभिदाय के अलावा) में नहीं करेगी।
- (iv) यह अनुमोदन किसी ऐसी आय के लिए लागू नहीं होगा जो निर्धारित कुछ कलेक्टर, व्यक्त से प्राप्त होती है या कलेक्टर या व्यक्त से अर्जित आय का प्रयोग या प्रतिधारण ऐसे कार्यों की सेवा में प्रदान किया गया है।
- (v) अधिनियम की धारा 10(23सी) के दसवें परन्तुक के अनुसार यह निर्धारित अपनी बहियां लेखा परीक्षित कराएगी और अधिनियम की धारा 139 (4सी) के अनुसरण में निम्नलिखित और पर लेखा परीक्षा की रिपोर्ट के साथ विकसनी फाइल करेगी।
- (vi) न्यास के पंगे होने पर उसके अधिशेष एवं अस्तियां ऐसे संगठन को दी जाएंगी जो पूर्णतः शैक्षिक प्रयोजनों के लिए है और लाभ के प्रयोजनार्थ नहीं है और

अधिनियम की धारा 13(3) में विनिर्दिष्ट अनुसार उसका कोई भी भाग प्रत्यक्ष या अप्रत्यक्ष रूप से निर्धारित के हितधिकारी या अन्य किसी को नहीं जाएगा।

- (vii) अधिनियम 10(23सी) के फंडमें परन्तुक तथा धारा 115 बीबीसी के साथ पठित यह अनुमोदन अनाम संदाओं के लिए लागू नहीं होगा।

3. उपरोक्त अनुमोदन अधोहस्ताक्षरी द्वारा वापस ले लिया माना जाएगा, यदि अधिनियम की धारा 10(23सी) के तौरहमें परन्तुक के अनुसरण में नहीं है तथा उसकी गतिविधियां असली या प्रमाणिक नहीं हैं या गतिविधियां स्वीकृत अनुमोदन में उल्लिखित सभी या कहीं शर्तों के अनुसार नहीं की जा रही हैं।

[सी. सं. 935(4)/मु.आ./त्रिची/2008-09]

कमला कान्त त्रिपाठी, मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त
(Income-Tax Department)

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF INCOME-TAX

Trichy, the 12th June, 2009

Approval Under Section 10(23C)(vi) of the Income-Tax Act, 1961

S.O. 1826.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (vi) of clause (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) read with rule 2CA of the Income-tax Rules, 1962, the St. Joan's Educational Trust, Jangalahalli, B. Pallipatti Post, Pappireddipatti Taluk, Dharmapuri District - 635301 is hereby approved for the purposes of the said sub-clause, from the assessment year 2009-2010 onwards, subject to the following conditions :—

2. (i) The assessee shall conform to and comply with the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of clause (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with rule 2CA of the Income-tax Rules, 1962.
- (ii) The assessee shall apply its income or accumulate its income for application wholly and exclusively for the objects and in a case where more than fifteen per cent of its income is accumulated, the period of accumulation of the same shall in no case exceed five years, as required in clause (a) of the third proviso to section 10(23C) of the Act.
- (iii) The assessee shall not invest or deposit its funds (other than voluntary contributions received and maintained in the form of jewellery or furniture) for any period otherwise than in any one or more of the forms or modes specified in sub-section (5) of section 11 of the Act, as required in clause (b) of the third proviso to section 10(23C) of the Act.

- (iv) This approval shall not apply in relation to any income from any activity in the nature of trade, commerce or business or rendering of any service in relation to trade, commerce or business irrespective of the nature of use or application or retention of income from such activity.
- (v) The assessee shall get its accounts audited in accordance with the tenth proviso to section 10(23C) of the Act and regularly file its return along with the audit report in accordance with section 139(4C) of the Act.
- (vi) In the event of dissolution of the society, its surplus and assets shall be given to an organization which exists solely for educational purposes and not for the purposes of profit and no part of the same shall go directly or indirectly to any of the beneficiaries of the assessee or any body specified in section 13(3) of the Act.
- (vii) The approval shall not apply in relation to anonymous donations in terms of the fifteenth proviso to section 10(23C) r.w.s. 115BBC of the Act.

3. The above approval is liable to be withdrawn by the undersigned if it is subsequently found that the activities of the assessee are not genuine or if they are not being carried out in accordance with all or any of the conditions subject to which the approval is granted, in accordance with the thirteenth proviso to section 10(23C) of the Act.

[C.No. 935(4)/CCIT/TRY/2008-09]

K. K. TRIPATHI, Chief Commissioner of Income-tax

कार्यालय मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त

जयपुर, 10 जून, 2009

सं. 03/2009-10

का.आ. 1827.—आयकर नियम, 1962 के नियम 2 सी ए के साथ पठनीय आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43 वां) की धारा 10 के खण्ड (23 सी) की उपधारा (vi) के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त, जयपुर एतद्वारा निर्धारण वर्ष 1999-2000 एवं आगे के लिए कथित धारा के उद्देश्य से "सेन्ट सोल्जर पब्लिक स्कूल, सी-31, भगवानदास रोड, सी-स्कीम, जयपुर" को स्वीकृति देते हैं।

बशर्ते कि समिति आयकर नियम, 1962 के नियम 2 सी ए के साथ पठनीय आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10 के उपखण्ड (23सी) की उपधारा (vi) के प्रावधानों के अनुरूप कार्य करें।
[क्रमांक:मुआआ/अआआ/(मु)/जय/10(23सी)(vi)09-10/947]

बी. एस. डिल्लों, मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF INCOME-TAX

Jaipur, the 10th June, 2009

No. 03/2009-10

S.O. 1827.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (vi) of clause (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), read with rule 2CA of the Income-tax Rules, 1962, the Chief Commissioner of Income-tax, Jaipur hereby approves "Saint Soldier Public School, C-31, Bhagwandas Road, C-Scheme, Jaipur" for the purpose of said section for the A. Yrs. 1999-2000 & onwards:

Provided that the society conforms to and complies with the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of clause (23C) of section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with rule 2CA of the Income-tax Rules, 1962.

[No. CCIT/JPR/Addl.CIT(Hqrs.)/10(23C)(vi)/2009-10/947]

B. S. DHILLON, Chief Commissioner of Income-tax

शुद्धि-पत्र

जयपुर, 23 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1828.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10 के खण्ड (23 सी) के उपखण्ड (vi) के तहत अधिसूचना सं. 01/2009-10 दिनांक 13-04-2009 के द्वारा "साहित्य सदावर्त समिति, जयपुर" को स्वीकृति दी गई थी। अधिसूचना के पैरा 1 की लाईन 5 में निर्धारण वर्ष 2001-02, 2002-03 एवं 2003-04 के स्थान पर "निर्धारण वर्ष 2001-02 एवं आगे के लिए" पढ़ा जाए।

[क्रमांक:मुआआ/अआआ/(मु)/जय/10(23सी)(vi)2009-10/963]

बी. एस. डिल्लों, मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त

CORRIGENDUM

Jaipur, the 23rd June, 2009

S.O. 1828.—In Notification No. 01/2009-10 dated 13-04-2009 vide which "Sahitya Sadawart Samiti, Jaipur" was approved for the purpose of sub-clause (vi) of clause (23C) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) read with rule 2CA of the Income-tax Rules, 1962, the assessment years in para 1, line 6 may be read as 'A. Ys. 2001-02 and onwards' instead of A.Ys. 2001-02, 2002-03 & 2003-04.

[No. CCIT/JPR/Addl.CIT(Hqrs.)/10(23C)(vi)/2009-10/963]

B. S. DHILLON, Chief Commissioner of Income-tax

जयपुर, 24 जून, 2009

सं. 04/2009-10

का.आ. 1829.—आयकर नियम, 1962 के नियम 2 सी ए के साथ पठनीय आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43 वां) की धारा 10 के खण्ड (23 सी) की उपधारा (vi) के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त, जयपुर एतद्वारा निर्धारण वर्ष 2009-2010 एवं आगे के लिए कथित धारा के उद्देश्य से

“महात्मा ज्योतिबा फुले विद्यापीठ समिति, चौमू, जिला-जयपुर” को स्वीकृति देते हैं।

बशर्ते कि समिति आयकर नियम 1962 के नियम 2 सी ए के साथ पठनीय आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10 के उपखण्ड (23सी) की उपधारा (vi) के प्रावधानों के अनुरूप कार्य करे।

[क्रमांक:मुआआ/अआआ/(मु.)/जय/10(23सी)(vi)
09-10/995]

बी. एस. ढिल्लों, मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त

Jaipur, the 24th June, 2009

No. 04/2009-10

S.O. 1829.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (vi) of clause (23C) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) read with rule 2CA of the Income-tax Rules, 1962, the Chief Commissioner of Income-tax, Jaipur hereby approves “Mahatma Jyotiba Fule Vidhyapeeth Samiti, Chomu, District- Jaipur” for the purpose of said Section for the A. Yrs. 2009-2010 & onwards.

Provided that the society conforms to and complies with the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of clause (23C) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with rule 2CA of the Income-tax Rules, 1962.

[No. CCIT/JPR/Addl.CIT(Hqrs.)/10(23C)(vi)/2009-10/995]

B. S. DHILLON, Chief Commissioner of Income-tax

शुद्धि-पत्र

जयपुर, 26 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1830.—आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10 के खण्ड (23 सी) के उपखण्ड (vi) के द्वारा तहत अधिसूचना सं. 01/2009-10 दिनांक 13-04-2009 के द्वारा “साहित्य सदावर्त समिति, जयपुर” को स्वीकृति दी गई थी। अधिसूचना के पैरा 3 की लाइन 1 में “यह अनुमोदन” के स्थान पर “अनुमोदन केवल निर्धारण वर्ष 2001-02, 2002-03 एवं 2003-04 के लिए” पढ़ा जाए।

[क्रमांक:मुआआ/अआआ/(मु.)/जय/10(23सी)(vi)/2009-10/1019]

बी. एस. ढिल्लों, मुख्य आयकर आयुक्त

CORRIGENDUM

Jaipur, the 26th June, 2009

S.O. 1830.—In Notification No. 01/2009-10 dated 13-04-2009 vide which “Sahitya Sadawart Samiti, Jaipur” was approved for the purpose of sub-clause (vi) of clause (23C) of Section 10 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) read with rule 2CA of the Income-tax Rules, 1962, in para 3, line 1 may be read as ‘The approval for A.Y. 2001-02, 2002-03 & 2003-04 only’ instead of ‘This approval’.

[No. CCIT/JPR/Addl.CIT(Hqrs.)/10(23C)(vi)/2009-10/1019]

B. S. DHILLON, Chief Commissioner of Income-tax

वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्व विभाग)

(केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 25 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1831.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए एतद्द्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा आयकर नियमावली, 1962 (उक्त नियमावली) के नियम 5ग तथा 5घ के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (उक्त अधिनियम) की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रयोजनार्थ दिनांक 1-4-2008 से संगठन महाराष्ट्र एसोसिएशन फार दि कल्टीवेशन ऑफ साईस, पुणे को निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन ‘वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संघ’ की श्रेणी में अनुमोदित किया गया है, अर्थात्:-

- (i) अनुमोदित “वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संघ” का एक मात्र उद्देश्य वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान करना होगा ;
- (ii) अनुमोदित संगठन स्वयं वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान कार्य-कलाप जारी रखेगा ;
- (iii) अनुमोदित संगठन बही-खाता रखेगा तथा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 288 की उप धारा (2) के स्पष्टीकरण में यथा परिभाषित किसी लेखाकार से अपनी खाता-बही की लेखा परीक्षा कराएगा और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 139 की उप धारा (1) के अंतर्गत आय विवरणी प्रस्तुत करने की नियत तिथि तक ऐसे लेखाकार द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित एवं हस्ताक्षरित लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट मामले में क्षेत्राधिकार रखने वाले आयकर आयुक्त अथवा आयकर निदेशक को प्रस्तुत करेगा ;
- (iv) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान तथा प्रयुक्त राशि का अलग विवरण रखेगा और उपर्युक्त लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट के साथ लेखा परीक्षक द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित विवरण की प्रति प्रस्तुत करेगा।

2. केन्द्र सरकार यह अनुमोदन वापिस ले लेगी यदि अनुमोदित संगठन :-

- (क) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित लेखा बही नहीं रखेगा; अथवा
- (ख) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित अपनी लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (ग) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iv) में उल्लिखित वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान एवं प्रयुक्त राशि का अपना विवरण प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (घ) अपना अनुसंधान कार्यकलाप करना बंद कर देगा अथवा इसके अनुसंधान कार्यकलाप को जायज नहीं पाया जाएगा; अथवा

- (ड) उक्त नियमावली के नियम 5ग और 5घ के साथ पठित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रावधानों के अनुरूप नहीं होगा तथा उनका पालन नहीं करेगा।

[अधिसूचना सं. 53/2009/फा.सं. 203/44/2009-आ.क.नि.-II]
पदम सिंह, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF FINANCE
(Department of Revenue)
(Central Board of Direct Taxes)

New Delhi, the 25th June, 2009

S.O. 1831.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organization Maharashtra Association for the Cultivation of Science, Pune has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (said Act), read with rules 5C and 5D of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 (said Rules) with effect from 1-4-2008 in the category of 'Scientific Research Association' subject to the following conditions, namely :—

- (i) The sole objective of the approved 'Scientific Research Association' shall be to undertake scientific research;
- (ii) The approved organization shall carry out the scientific research activity by itself;
- (iii) The approved organization shall maintain books of accounts and get such books audited by an accountant as defined in the explanation to sub-section (2) of Section 288 of the said Act and furnish the report of such audit duly signed and verified by such accountant to the Commissioner of Income-tax or the Director of Income-tax having jurisdiction over the case, by the due date of furnishing the return of income under sub-section (1) of Section 139 of the said Act;
- (iv) The approved organization shall maintain a separate statement of donations received and amounts applied for scientific research and a copy of such statement duly certified by the auditor shall accompany the report of audit referred to above.

2. The Central Government shall withdraw the approval if the approved organization.

- (a) fails to maintain books of accounts referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (b) fails to furnish its audit report referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (c) fails to furnish its statement of donations received and amounts applied for scientific research referred to in sub-paragraph (iv) of paragraph 1; or

- (d) ceases to carry on its research activities or its research activities are not found to be genuine; or
- (e) ceases to conform to and comply with the provisions of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the said Act read with rules 5C and 5D of the said Rules.

[Notification No. 53/2009/F.No.203/44/2009/ITA-II]

PADAM SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 25 जून, 2009

क्र.आ. 1832.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए एतद्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा आयकर नियमावली, 1962 (उक्त नियमावली) के नियम 5ग तथा 5घ के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (उक्त अधिनियम) की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रयोजनार्थ दिनांक 1-4-2010 से संगठन किज्जन् रिसर्च फाउंडेशन, चैन्नई को निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन 'वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संघ' की श्रेणी में अनुमोदित किया गया है, अर्थात:-

- (i) अनुमोदित "वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संघ" का एक मात्र उद्देश्य वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान करना होगा;
- (ii) अनुमोदित संगठन स्वयं वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान कार्य-कलाप जारी रखेगा;
- (iii) अनुमोदित संगठन बही-खाता रखेगा तथा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 288 की उप धारा (2) के स्पष्टीकरण में यथा परिभाषित किसी लेखाकार से अपनी खाता-बही की लेखा परीक्षा कराएगा और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 139 की उप धारा (1) के अंतर्गत आय विवरणी प्रस्तुत करने की नियत तिथि तक ऐसे लेखाकार द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित एवं हस्ताक्षरित लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट मामले में क्षेत्राधिकार रखने वाले आयकर आयुक्त अथवा आयकर निदेशक को प्रस्तुत करेगा;
- (iv) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान तथा प्रयुक्त राशि का अलग विवरण रखेगा और उपर्युक्त लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट के साथ लेखा परीक्षक द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित विवरण की प्रति प्रस्तुत करेगा।

2. केन्द्र सरकार यह अनुमोदन वापिस ले लेगी यदि अनुमोदित संगठन :-

- (क) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित लेखा बही नहीं रखेगा; अथवा
- (ख) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित अपनी लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (ग) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iv) में उल्लिखित वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान एवं प्रयुक्त राशि का अपना विवरण प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा

- (घ) अपना अनुसंधान कार्यकलाप करना बंद कर देगा अथवा इसके अनुसंधान कार्यकलाप को जायज नहीं पाया जाएगा; अथवा
- (ङ) उक्त नियमावली के नियम 5ग और 5घ के साथ पठित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रावधानों के अनुरूप नहीं होगा तथा उनका पालन नहीं करेगा।

[अधिसूचना सं. 53/2009/फा. सं. 203/20/2009-आ.क.नि.-II]
पदम सिंह, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 25th June, 2009

S.O. 1832.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organization Vision Research Foundation, Chennai has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (said Act), read with rules 5C and 5D of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 (said Rules) with effect from 1-4-2010 in the category of 'scientific research association' subject to the following conditions, namely :-

- (i) The sole objective of the approved 'scientific research association' shall be to undertake scientific research;
- (ii) The approved organization shall carry out the scientific research activity by itself;
- (iii) The approved organization shall maintain books of accounts and get such books audited by an accountant as defined in the explanation to sub-section (2) of Section 288 of the said Act and furnish the report of such audit duly signed and verified by such accountant to the Commissioner of Income-tax or the Director of Income-tax having jurisdiction over the case, by the due date of furnishing the return of income under sub-section (1) of Section 139 of the said Act;
- (iv) The approved organization shall maintain a separate statement of donations received and amounts applied for scientific research and a copy of such statement duly certified by the auditor shall accompany the report of audit referred to above.

2. The Central Government shall withdraw the approval if the approved organization.

- (a) fails to maintain books of accounts referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (b) fails to furnish its audit report referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (c) fails to furnish its statement of donations received and amounts applied for scientific research referred to in sub-paragraph (iv) of paragraph 1; or
- (d) ceases to carry on its research activities or its research activities are not found to be genuine; or

- (e) ceases to conform to and comply with the provisions of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the said Act read with rules 5C and 5D of the said Rules.

[Notification No. 53/2009/F. No. 203/20/2009/ITA-II]

PADAM SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 25 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1833.-सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए एतद्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा आयकर नियमावली, 1962 (उक्त नियमावली) के नियम 5ग तथा 5घ के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (उक्त अधिनियम) की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रयोजनार्थ दिनांक 1-4-2008 से संगठन फिजिकल रिसर्च लेबोरेट्री, अहमदाबाद को निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन 'वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संघ' की श्रेणी में अनुमोदित किया गया है, अर्थात्:-

- (i) अनुमोदित "वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान संघ" का एक मात्र उद्देश्य वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान करना होगा;
- (ii) अनुमोदित संगठन स्वयं वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान कार्य-कलाप जारी रखेगा;
- (iii) अनुमोदित संगठन बही-खाता रखेगा तथा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 288 की उप धारा (2) के स्पष्टीकरण में यथा परिभाषित किसी लेखाकार से अपनी खाता-बही की लेखा परीक्षा कराएगा और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 139 की उप धारा (1) के अंतर्गत आय विवरणी प्रस्तुत करने की नियत तिथि तक ऐसे लेखाकार द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित एवं हस्ताक्षरित लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट मामले में क्षेत्राधिकार रखने वाले आयकर आयुक्त अथवा आयकर निदेशक को प्रस्तुत करेगा;
- (iv) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान तथा प्रयुक्त राशि का अलग विवरण रखेगा और उपर्युक्त लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट के साथ लेखा परीक्षक द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित विवरण की प्रति प्रस्तुत करेगा।

2. केन्द्र सरकार यह अनुमोदन वापिस ले लेगी यदि अनुमोदित संगठन :-

- (क) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित लेखा बही नहीं रखेगा; अथवा
- (ख) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित अपनी लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (ग) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iv) में उल्लिखित वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान एवं प्रयुक्त राशि का अपना विवरण प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (घ) अपना अनुसंधान कार्यकलाप करना बंद कर देगा अथवा इसके अनुसंधान कार्यकलाप को जायज नहीं पाया जाएगा; अथवा

- (ड) उक्त नियमावली के नियम 5ग और 5घ के साथ पठित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रावधानों के अनुरूप नहीं होगा तथा उनका पालन नहीं करेगा।

[अधिसूचना सं. 51/2009/फा.सं. 203/16/2009-आ.क.नि.-II]

पदम सिंह, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 25th June, 2009

S.O. 1833.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organization Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad, has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (said Act), read with rules 5C and 5D of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 (said Rules) with effect from 1-4-2008 in the category of 'scientific research association' subject to the following conditions, namely :-

- (i) The sole objective of the approved 'scientific research association' shall be to undertake scientific research ;
- (ii) The approved organization shall carry out the scientific research activity by itself ;
- (iii) The approved organization shall maintain books of accounts and get such books audited by an accountant as defined in the explanation to sub-section (2) of Section 288 of the said Act and furnish the report of such audit duly signed and verified by such accountant to the Commissioner of Income-tax or the Director of Income-tax having jurisdiction over the case, by the due date of furnishing the return of income under sub-section (1) of section 139 of the said Act ;
- (iv) The approved organization shall maintain a separate statement of donations received and amounts applied for scientific research and a copy of such statement duly certified by the auditor shall accompany the report of audit referred to above.

2. The Central Government shall withdraw the approval if the approved organization.

- (a) fails to maintain books of accounts referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1: or
- (b) fails to furnish its audit report referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (c) fails to furnish its statement of donations received and amounts applied for scientific research referred to in sub-paragraph (iv) of paragraph 1; or
- (d) ceases to carry on its research activities or its research activities are not found to be genuine; or
- (e) ceases to conform to and comply with the provisions of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the said Act read with rules 5C and 5D of the said Rules .

[Notification No. 51/2009/F.No. 203/16/2009/ITA-II]

PADAM SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 25 जून, 2009

क.आ. 1834-सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए एतद्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा आयकर नियमावली, 1962 (उक्त नियमावली) के नियम 5ग और 5ड. के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (उक्त अधिनियम) की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रयोजनार्थ दिनांक 1-4-2008 से संगठन नेशनल होर्टिकल्चर रिसर्च एंड डेवलपमेंट फाउंडेशन, नासिक को निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन आंशिक रूप से अनुसंधान कार्यकलापों में संलग्न 'अन्य संस्था' की श्रेणी में अनुमोदित किया गया है, नामतः:-

- (i) अनुमोदित संगठन को प्रदत्त राशि का उपयोग वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए किया जाएगा;
- (ii) अनुमोदित संगठन अपने संकाय सदस्यों अथवा इसके नामांकित छात्रों के माध्यम से वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान को जारी रखेगा;
- (iii) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त धनराशि के संबंध में अलग बही-खाता रखेगा अनुसंधान करने के लिए प्रयुक्त राशि को उसमें दर्शाएगा तथा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 288 की उप धारा (2) के स्पष्टीकरण में यथा परिभाषित किसी लेखाकार से ऐसी खाता-बही की लेखा परीक्षा कराएगा और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 139 की उप धारा (1) के अंतर्गत आय की विवरणी प्रस्तुत करने की नियत तिथि तक ऐसे लेखाकार द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित एवं हस्ताक्षरित लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट मामले में क्षेत्राधिकार रखने वाले आयकर आयुक्त अथवा आयकर निदेशक को प्रस्तुत करेगा।
- (iv) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान तथा प्रयुक्त राशि का अलग विवरण रखेगा और उपर्युक्त लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट के साथ लेखा परीक्षक द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित विवरण की प्रति प्रस्तुत करेगा।

2. केन्द्र सरकार यह अनुमोदन वापिस ले लेगी यदि अनुमोदित संगठन :-

- (क) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित अलग लेखा बही नहीं रखेगा; अथवा
- (ख) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित अपनी लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (ग) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iv) में उल्लिखित वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान एवं प्रयुक्त राशि का अपना विवरण प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (घ) अपना अनुसंधान कार्यकलाप करना बंद कर देगा अथवा इसके अनुसंधान कार्यकलाप को जायज नहीं पाया जाएगा; अथवा
- (ड) उक्त नियमावली के नियम 5 ग और 5ड. के साथ पठित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रावधानों के अनुरूप नहीं होगा तथा उनका पालन नहीं करेगा।

[अधिसूचना सं. 50/2009/फा. सं. 203/69/2008-आ. क. नि.-II]

पदम सिंह, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 25th June, 2009

S.O. 1834.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organization National Horticulture Research and Development Foundation, Nasik has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (said Act), read with Rules 5C and 5E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 (said Rules) with effect from 1-4-2008 in the category of 'other Institution' partly engaged in research activities subject to the following conditions, namely:—

- (i) The sums paid to the approved organization shall be utilized for scientific research;
- (ii) The approved organization shall carry out scientific research through its faculty members or its enrolled students;
- (iii) The approved organization shall maintain separate books of accounts in respect of the sums received by it for scientific research, reflect therein the amounts used for carrying out research, get such books audited by an accountant as defined in the explanation to sub-section (2) of Section 288 of the said Act and furnish the report of such audit duly signed and verified by such accountant to the Commissioner of Income-tax or the Director of Income-tax having jurisdiction over the case, by the due date of furnishing the return of income under sub-section (1) of Section 139 of the said Act;
- (iv) The approved organization shall maintain a separate statement of donations received and amounts applied for scientific research and a copy of such statement duly certified by the auditor shall accompany the report of audit referred to above.

2. The Central Government shall withdraw the approval if the approved organization:—

- (a) fails to maintain separate books of accounts referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (b) fails to furnish its audit report referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (c) fails to furnish its statement of the donations received and sums applied for scientific research referred to in sub-paragraph (iv) of paragraph 1; or
- (d) ceases to carry on its research activities or its research activities are not found to be genuine; or
- (e) ceases to conform to and comply with the provisions of clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the said Act read with rules 5C and 5E of the said Rules.

[Notification No. 50/2009/F.No. 203/69/2008/ITA-II]

PADAM SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 26 जून, 2009

क्र.आ. 1835.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए एतद्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा आयकर नियमावली, 1962 (उक्त नियमावली) के नियम 5ग और 5ड के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (उक्त अधिनियम) की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रयोजनार्थ दिनांक 1-4-2003 से संगठन चाइल्ड्स ट्रस्ट मेडिकल रिसर्च फाउंडेशन, चेन्नई को निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन आंशिक रूप से अनुसंधान कार्यकलापों में संलग्न 'अन्य संस्था' की श्रेणी में अनुमोदित किया गया है, नामतः—

- (i) अनुमोदित संगठन को प्रदत्त राशि का उपयोग वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए किया जाएगा;
- (ii) अनुमोदित संगठन अपने संकाय सदस्यों अथवा इसके नामांकित छात्रों के माध्यम से वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान को जारी रखेगा;
- (iii) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त धनराशि के संबंध में अलग बही-खाता रखेगा, अनुसंधान करने के लिए प्रयुक्त राशि को उसमें दर्शाएगा तथा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 288 की उप धारा (2) के स्पष्टीकरण में यथा परिभाषित किसी लेखाकार से ऐसी खाता-बही की लेखा परीक्षा कराएगा और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 139 की उप धारा (1) के अंतर्गत आय की विवरणी प्रस्तुत करने की नियत तिथि तक ऐसे लेखाकार द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित एवं हस्ताक्षरित लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट, मामले में क्षेत्राधिकार रखने वाले आयकर आयुक्त अथवा आयकर निदेशक को प्रस्तुत करेगा।
- (iv) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान तथा प्रयुक्त राशि का अलग विवरण रखेगा और उपर्युक्त लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट के साथ लेखा परीक्षक द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित विवरण की प्रति प्रस्तुत करेगा।

2. केन्द्र सरकार यह अनुमोदन वापिस ले लेगी यदि अनुमोदित संगठन :-

- (क) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित अलग लेखा बही नहीं रखेगा; अथवा
- (ख) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित अपनी लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (ग) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iv) में उल्लिखित वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान एवं प्रयुक्त राशि का अपना विवरण प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (घ) अपना अनुसंधान कार्य कलाप करना बंद कर देगा अथवा इसके अनुसंधान कार्य कलाप को जायज नहीं पाया जाएगा; अथवा
- (ड.) उक्त नियमावली के नियम 5 ग और 5 ड के साथ पठित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रावधानों के अनुरूप नहीं होगा तथा उनका पालन नहीं करेगा।

[अधिसूचना सं. 54/2009/ फा. सं. 203/59/2008-आ. क. नि.-II]

पदम सिंह, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th June, 2009

S.O. 1835.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organization The Childs Trust Medical Research Foundation, Chennai has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (said Act), read with Rules 5C and 5E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 (said Rules) with effect from 1-4-2003 in the category of 'Other Institution' partly engaged in research activities subject to the following conditions, namely:—

- (i) The sums paid to the approved organization shall be utilized for scientific research;
- (ii) The approved organization shall carry out scientific research through its faculty members or its enrolled students;
- (iii) The approved organization shall maintain separate books of accounts in respect of the sums received by it for scientific research, reflect therein the amounts used for carrying out research, get such books audited by an accountant as defined in the explanation to sub-section (2) of Section 288 of the said Act and furnish the report of such audit duly signed and verified by such accountant to the Commissioner of Income-tax or the Director of Income-tax having jurisdiction over the case, by the due date of furnishing the return of income under sub-section (1) of Section 139 of the said Act;
- (iv) The approved organization shall maintain a separate statement of donations received and amounts applied for scientific research and a copy of such statement duly certified by the auditor shall accompany the report of audit referred to above.

2. The Central Government shall withdraw the approval if the approved organization:—

- (a) fails to maintain separate books of accounts referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (b) fails to furnish its audit report referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (c) fails to furnish its statement of the donations received and sums applied for scientific research referred to in sub-paragraph (iv) of paragraph 1; or
- (d) ceases to carry on its research activities or its research activities are not found to be genuine; or
- (e) ceases to conform to and comply with the provisions of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 35 of the said Act read with rules 5C and 5E of the said Rules.

[Notification No. 54/2009/F.No.203/59/2008/ITA-II]

PADAM SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 26 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1836.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए एतद्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा आयकर नियमावली, 1962 (उक्त नियमावली) के नियम 5ग और 5ड. के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (उक्त अधिनियम) की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रयोजनार्थ दिनांक 1-4-2009 से संगठन गुजरात इकोलॉजि सोसायटी, बड़ोदरा, गुजरात को निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन आंशिक रूप से अनुसंधान कार्यकलापों में संलग्न 'अन्य संस्था' की श्रेणी में अनुमोदित किया गया है, नामतः—

- (i) अनुमोदित संगठन को प्रदत्त राशि का उपयोग वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए किया जाएगा;
- (ii) अनुमोदित संगठन अपने संकाय सदस्यों अथवा इसके नामांकित छात्रों के माध्यम से वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान को जारी रखेगा;
- (iii) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त धनराशि के संबंध में अलग बही-खाता रखेगा अनुसंधान करने के लिए प्रयुक्त राशि को उसमें दर्शाएगा तथा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 288 की उप धारा (2) के स्पष्टीकरण में यथा परिभाषित किसी लेखाकार से ऐसी खाता-बही की लेखा परीक्षा कराएगा और उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 139 की उप धारा (1) के अंतर्गत आय की विवरणी प्रस्तुत करने की नियत तिथि तक ऐसे लेखाकार द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित एवं हस्ताक्षरित लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट मामले में क्षेत्राधिकार रखने वाले आयकर आयुक्त अथवा आयकर निदेशक को प्रस्तुत करेगा।
- (iv) अनुमोदित संगठन वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान तथा प्रयुक्त राशि का अलग विवरण रखेगा और उपर्युक्त लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट के साथ लेखा परीक्षक द्वारा विधिवत सत्यापित विवरण की प्रति प्रस्तुत करेगा।

2. केन्द्र सरकार यह अनुमोदन वापिस ले लेगी यदि अनुमोदित संगठन :—

- (क) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित अलग लेखा बही नहीं रखेगा; अथवा
- (ख) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iii) में उल्लिखित अपनी लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (ग) पैराग्राफ 1 के उप-पैराग्राफ (iv) में उल्लिखित वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान के लिए प्राप्त दान एवं प्रयुक्त राशि का अपना विवरण प्रस्तुत नहीं करेगा; अथवा
- (घ) अपना अनुसंधान कार्य कलाप करना बंद कर देगा अथवा इसके अनुसंधान काय। कलाप को जायज नहीं पाया जाएगा; अथवा
- (ङ) उक्त नियमावली के नियम 5 ग और 5ड. के साथ पठित उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ii) के प्रावधानों के अनुरूप नहीं होगा तथा उनका पालन नहीं करेगा।

[अधिसूचना सं. 55/2009/फा. सं. 203/38/2009-आ. क.

नि-II]

पदम सिंह, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th June, 2009

S.O. 1836.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organization Gujarat Ecology Society, Vadodara, Gujarat has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (said Act), read with Rules 5C and 5E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 (said Rules) with effect from 1-4-2009 in the category of 'other Institution' partly engaged in research activities subject to the following conditions, namely:—

- (i) The sums paid to the approved organization shall be utilized for scientific research;
- (ii) The approved organization shall carry out scientific research through its faculty members or its enrolled students;
- (iii) The approved organization shall maintain separate books of accounts in respect of the sums received by it for scientific research, reflect therein the amounts used for carrying out research, get such books audited by an accountant as defined in the explanation to sub-section (2) of section 288 of the said Act and furnish the report of such audit duly signed and verified by such accountant to the Commissioner of Income-tax or the Director of Income-tax having jurisdiction over the case, by the due date of furnishing the return of income under sub-section (1) of section 139 of the said Act;
- (iv) The approved organization shall maintain a separate statement of donations received and amounts applied for scientific research and a copy of such statement duly certified by the auditor shall accompany the report of audit referred to above.

2. The Central Government shall withdraw the approval if the approved organization:—

- (a) fails to maintain separate books of accounts referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (b) fails to furnish its audit report referred to in sub-paragraph (iii) of paragraph 1; or
- (c) fails to furnish its statement of the donations received and sums applied for scientific research referred to in sub-paragraph (iv) of paragraph 1; or
- (d) ceases to carry on its research activities or its research activities are not found to be genuine; or
- (e) ceases to conform to and comply with the provisions of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 35 of the said Act read with rules 5C and 5E of the said Rules.

[Notification No. 55/2009/F. No. 203/38/2009/ITA-II]
PADAM SINGH, Under Secy.

(वित्तीय सेवाएं विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 25 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1837.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 53 (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर, एतद्वारा, यह घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 19 की उप धारा (2) के उपबंध, आंध्रा बैंक पर उस सीमा तक लागू नहीं होंगे जहां तक उनका संबंध प्रस्तावित संयुक्त उद्यम कंपनी-बड़ौदा एल एंड जी जीवन बीमा कंपनी, जो एक शैल कंपनी है, की चुकता शेयर पूंजी की 30% से अधिक की शेयरधारिता से है।

[फा.सं. 13/5/2008-बी ओ ए]

डी. डी. माहेश्वरी, अवर सचिव

(Department of Financial Services)

New Delhi, the 25th June, 2009

S.O. 1837.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 (1) of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendations of Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of sub-section (2) of Section 19 of the said Act shall not apply to Andhra Bank in so far as they relate to its holding of the shares in excess of 30% of the paid up share capital of the proposed Joint Venture company- Baroda L & G Life Insurance, Company the shell company.

[F. No. 13/5/2008-BOA]

D. D. MAHESHWARI, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 25 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1838.—बैंककारी विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10) की धारा 53 (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर, एतद्वारा, यह घोषणा करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 19 की उप धारा (2) के उपबंध, बैंक ऑफ बड़ौदा पर उस सीमा तक लागू नहीं होंगे जहां तक उनका संबंध प्रस्तावित संयुक्त उद्यम कंपनी-बड़ौदा एल एंड जी जीवन बीमा कंपनी, की चुकता शेयर पूंजी की 30% से अधिक की शेयरधारिता से है।

[फा.सं. 13/5/2008-बी ओ ए]

डी. डी. माहेश्वरी, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 25th June, 2009

S.O. 1838.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 (1) of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of sub-section (2) of Section 19 of the said Act shall not apply to Bank of Baroda in so far as they relate to its holding of the shares in excess of 30% of the paid up share capital of the proposed Joint Venture company- Baroda L & G Life Insurance, Company.

[F. No. 13/5/2008-BOA]

D. D. MAHESHWARI, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 25 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1839.—रुग्ण औद्योगिक कंपनी (विशेष उपबंध) अधिनियम, 1985 की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (2) के साथ पठित धारा 5 की उप-धारा (1) एवं (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्वारा श्री अशोक कुमार मोहापात्रा, आई ए एस (सेवानिवृत्त/एचपी:71) को उनके पदभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से, तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए अथवा उनके 65 वर्ष की आयु प्राप्त कर लेने तक अथवा औद्योगिक और वित्तीय पुनर्निर्माण अपीलीय प्राधिकरण के समापन तक, जो भी पहले हो, औद्योगिक और वित्तीय पुनर्निर्माण अपीलीय प्राधिकरण के सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 20(2)/2002-आईएफ-II]

जे. एस. फौगाट, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 25th June, 2009

S.O. 1839.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) & (3) of Section 5 read with sub-section (2) of Section 6 of the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Ashok Kumar Mohapatra, IAS (Retd/HP:71) as Member, Appellate Authority for Industrial & Financial Reconstruction (AAIFR) with effect from the date of assumption of charge of the post, for a period of three years or till he attains the age of 65 years or till the abolition of AAIFR, whichever event takes place earliest.

[F.No. 20(2)/2002-IF-II]

J. S. PHAUGAT, Under Secy.

स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

(स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 10 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1840.—भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 11 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केंद्र सरकार भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम की प्रथम अनुसूची में एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :-

उक्त प्रथम अनुसूची में शीर्षक "मान्यता प्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता" [स्तम्भ (2) में] के अंतर्गत "कालीकट विश्वविद्यालय, कालीकट" और शीर्षक "पंजीकरण के लिए संक्षेपण" [स्तम्भ (3) में] के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां शामिल की जाएंगी, अर्थात् :-

2	3
आयुर्विज्ञान स्नातक और शल्य विज्ञान स्नातक	एम.बी.बी.एस. (यह एक मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता होगी यदि यह कालीकट विश्वविद्यालय, कालीकट द्वारा जुबली मिशन मेडिकल कॉलेज एवं अनुसंधान संस्थान, थ्रिस्सूर, केरल में प्रशिक्षण प्राप्त कर रहे छात्रों के संबंध में नवम्बर, 2008 में अथवा उसके उपरांत प्रदान की गई हो।)

[सं. यू. 12012/109/2002-एम.ई. (पी-II)]

के. वी. एस. राव, उप सचिव

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

(Department of Health and Family Welfare)

New Delhi, the 10th June, 2009

S.O. 1840.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of the section 11 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government, after consulting the Medical Council of India, hereby makes the following further amendments in the First Schedule to the said Act, namely:—

In the said First Schedule against "Calicut University, Calicut" under the heading 'Recognized Medical Qualification' [in column (2)] and under the heading 'Abbreviation for Registration' [in column (3)], the following shall be inserted, namely:—

2	3
Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery	M.B.B.S. (This shall be a recognized medical qualification when granted by Calicut University, Calicut on or after November, 2008 in respect of students being trained at Jubilee Mission Medical College & Research Institute, Thrissur, Kerala).

[No. U-12012/109/2002-ME(P-II)]

K. V. S. RAO, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 26 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1841.—केंद्र सरकार भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 11 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए उक्त अधिनियम की प्रथम अनुसूची में एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :-

उक्त प्रथम अनुसूची में "महाराष्ट्र स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय, नासिक, महाराष्ट्र" के सामने शीर्षक 'मान्यताप्राप्त आयुर्विज्ञान अर्हता' [स्तम्भ (2) में] के अंतर्गत एवं शीर्षक "पंजीकरण के लिए संक्षेपण" [स्तम्भ (3) में] के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां रखी जाएंगी, अर्थात् :-

2	3
आयुर्विज्ञान एवं शल्य विज्ञान स्नातक	एम.बी.बी.एस. (यह एक मान्यता प्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता होगी यदि यह "महाराष्ट्र स्वास्थ्य विज्ञान विश्वविद्यालय, नासिक, महाराष्ट्र" द्वारा पदम श्री डा. विठ्ठलराव विखे पाटिल फाउंडेशन मेडिकल कॉलेज, अहमदनगर, महाराष्ट्र में प्रशिक्षित किए जा रहे छात्रों के संबंध में दिसम्बर, 2008 के बाद प्रदान की गई हो।)

[सं. यू. 12012/37/2001-एम.ई. (पी-II)]

के. वी. एस. राव, उप सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th June, 2009

S.O. 1841.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of the Section 11 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government, after consulting the Medical Council of India, hereby makes the following further amendments in the First Schedule to the said Act, namely:—

In the said First Schedule against "Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nashik, Maharashtra" under the heading 'Recognized Medical Qualification' [in column (2)] and under the heading 'Abbreviation for Registration' [in column (3)], the following shall be inserted, namely:—

2	3
Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery	M.B.B.S. (This shall be a recognized medical qualification when granted by Maharashtra University, of Health Sciences Nashik, Maharashtra after December, 2008 in respect of students being trained at Padamshri Dr. Vithalrao Vikhe Patil Foundation's Medical College, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra)
[No. U-12012/37/2001-ME(P-II)] K. V. S. RAO, Dy. Secy.	

कृषि मंत्रालय

(कृषि अनुसंधान तथा शिक्षा विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 15 जून, 2009

क्र.आ. 1842.—केंद्रीय सरकार कृषि अनुसंधान एवं शिक्षा विभाग, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियमावली 1976 के नियम 10 के उपनियम (4) के अनुसरण में भारतीय कृषि अनुसंधान के राष्ट्रीय पादप आनुवंशिक संसाधन ब्यूरो, पूसा, नई दिल्ली के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रीय केंद्रों को जिनके 80 प्रतिशत से अधिक कर्मचारियों ने हिंदी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, अधिसूचित करती है :-

1. क्षेत्रीय केंद्र, भवाली, उत्तराखंड
2. क्षेत्रीय केंद्र, रांची, झारखंड

[सं. 13-2/2002-हिंदी/136]

राम अवतार, उप सचिव

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

(Department of Agricultural Research & Education)

New Delhi, the 15th June, 2009

S.O. 1842.—In pursuance of sub-Rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Language (use for official purpose of the Union) Rules 1976, the Central Government, Ministry of Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Research & Education hereby notifies the following Regional Centres of National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, Pusa, New Delhi the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) where more than 80% of staff have acquired the working knowledge of Hindi.

(i) Regional Centre, Bhowali, Uttarakhand.

(i) Regional Centre, Ranchi, Jharkhand.

[No. 13-2/2002-Hindi/136]

RAMAUTAR, Dy. Secy.

सूक्ष्म, लघु और मध्यम उद्यम मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 22 जून, 2009

क्र.आ. 1843.—केंद्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा नियम, 1976 के (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम 10 के उप-नियम (4) के अनुसरण में सूक्ष्म, लघु और मध्यम उद्यम मंत्रालय के नियंत्रणाधीन विकास आयुक्त (सूक्ष्म, लघु और मध्यम उद्यम) कार्यालय के निम्नलिखित कार्यालय में हिंदी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान रखने वाले कर्मचारियों की संख्या 80% से अधिक हो जाने के फलस्वरूप उन्हें एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करती है:

सूक्ष्म, लघु और मध्यम उद्यम विकास संस्थान, 111 और 112, बी.टी. रोड, कोलकाता (प. बंगाल) - 700108

[सं. ई-12016/01/2005-हिंदी]

प्रवीर कुमार, संयुक्त सचिव

MINISTRY OF MICRO SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES

New Delhi, the 22nd June, 2009

S.O. 1843.—In pursuance of sub-Rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Language (use for official purposes of the Union) Rules 1976, the Central Government hereby notifies the following office of the Development Commissioner (MSME) Office under control of the Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises, where the percentage of Hindi knowing staff has gone above 80%.

Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Institute, 111 & 112, B.T. Road, Kolkata (W. Bengal)- 700108

[No. E-12016/01/2005-Hindi]

PRAVIR KUMAR, Jt. Secy.

उपभोक्ता मामले, खाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्रालय

(उपभोक्ता मामले विभाग)

(भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो)

नई दिल्ली, 4 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1844.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में एतद्वारा अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि जिन भारतीय मानकों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिये गये हैं वे रद्द कर दिए गए हैं और वापस ले लिए गए हैं :

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	वापस लिए गए मानक (कों) की संख्या और वर्ष	भारत के राजपत्र भाग II, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii) में का.आ. संख्या और दिनांक प्रकाशित	टिप्पणी
1	2	3	4
1.	आई एस 11039:1983/आईसी 60715(1981)	455, 14-2-1987	-
2.	12974:1990	880, 21-3-1992	-

[संदर्भ ईटी 07/जी-63(ए)]

अर. के. त्रेहन, वैज्ञानिक-ई एवं प्रमुख (विद्युत तकनीकी)

MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

(Department of Consumer Affairs)

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

New Delhi, the 4th June, 2009

S.O. 1844.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987 it is hereby notified that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are mentioned in the Schedule give hereafter, have been cancelled and stand withdrawn.

SCHEDULE

Sl.No.	No. & Year of the Indian Standard Withdrawn	S.O. No. & Date published in the Gazette of India Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii)	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	IS 11039:1983/IEC 60715(1981) Requirements for mounting on rails in switchgear and controlgear installations	455 dt. 14-2-1987	—
2	IS 12974:1990 Semiconductor contractors	880 dt. 21-3-1992	—

[Ref: ET 07/G-63(A)]

R. K. TREHAN, Scientist & Head (Electrotechnical)

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1845.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिस भारतीय मानक का विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिया गया है वह स्थापित हो गया है :—

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	स्थापित भारतीय मानक (कों) की संख्या वर्ष और सीएफ	नये भारतीय मानक द्वारा अतिरिक्तित भारतीय मानक अथवा मानकों, यदि कोई हो, की संख्या और वर्ष	स्थापित तिथि
1	2	3	4
1.	भामा 5572:2009 जोखिमपूर्ण क्षेत्रों का वर्गीकरण (खानों को छोड़कर) विद्युतीय संस्थापन के लिए ज्वलनशील गैस और वाष्प वाले (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	-	30 जून 2009

इस भारतीय मानक की प्रतियां भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9, बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों: नई दिल्ली, कोलकता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों अहमदाबाद, बंगलूर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयंबटूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पूणे तथा तिरुवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[संदर्भ ईटी 22/टी-5]

आर. के. त्रेहन, वैज्ञानिक-ई एवं प्रमुख (विद्युत तकनीकी)

New Delhi, the 18th June, 2009

S.O. 1845.—In pursuance of clause (b) of Rules (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987 the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed has been issued :

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. & Year of the Indian Standards	No. & Year of the Indian Standards, if any, Superseded by the New Indian Standard	Date of Established
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	IS 5572:2009 Classification of Hazardous Areas (Other than Mines) having Flammable Gases and Vapours for Electrical Installation (Third Revision)	—	30 June 2009

Copies of this Standard are available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110 002 and Regional Offices : New Delhi, Kolkata, Chandigarh, Mumbai and also Branch Offices : Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubanshwer, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref: ET 22/T-5]

R. K. TREHAN, Scientist & Head (Electrotechnical)

नई दिल्ली, 22 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1846.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के नियम (4) के उपनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :—

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	लाइसेंस संख्या	स्वीकृत करने की तिथि वर्ष/माह	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	भारतीय मानक का शीर्षक	भा भा संख्या	भाग	अनु वर्ष
1.	9721081	8-4-2009	न्यू एरा इन्टरनैशनल, गली नं. 6, इण्डस्ट्रियल इन्कलेव गोदाईपुर, जालन्धर (पंजाब)	धातवर्धक डल्वे लोहे की पाईप फिटिंग्स	1879	-	1987
2.	9724289	17-4-2009	शिवालिक एग्रो कैमीकल्स, बी-59, फेज-7, इण्डस्ट्रियल एरिया, मोहाली	मोनोक्रोटोफॉस एस एल 36%	8074	-	1990
3.	9725493	21-4-2009	रेनुका फूड प्रोसेसर, लेन नं. 8, सिडको काम्प्लेक्स, इण्डस्ट्रियल प्रोद्य सेंटर, साम्बा, जम्मू (जे एंड के)	पैकेजबन्द बेव जल	14543	-	2004

[सं. सी एम डी/13:11]

पी. के. गम्भीर, उप महानिदेशक (मुहर)

New Delhi, the 22nd June, 2009

S.O. 1846.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the regulation 4 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations 1988, of the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following schedule :

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No.	Grant Date	Name and Address of the Party	Title of the Standard	IS No.	Part	Sec.	Year
1.	9721081	8-4-2009	M/s New Era International, Gali No. 6, Indl. Enclave, Godaipur, Jalandhar	MCI Pipe Fittings	1879	—	—	1987
2.	9724289	17-4-2009	M/s. Shivalik Agro Chemicals, B-59, Phase-7, Indl. Area, Mohali.	Monocrotophos 36% SL	8074	—	—	1990
3.	9725493	21-4-2009	M/s. Renuka Food Processors Lane No.8, SIDCO Complex, Indl. Growth Centre, Samba (J&K)	Packaged Drinking Water	14543	—	—	2004

[No. CMD/13:11]

P. K. GAMBHIR, Dy. Director General (Marks)

नई दिल्ली, 22 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1847.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के नियम (4) के उपनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :—

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	लाइसेंस संख्या	स्वीकृत करने की तिथि वर्ष/माह	लाइसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	भारतीय मानक का शीर्षक	भा मा संख्या	भाग	अनु वर्ष
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1.	7942087	11-5-2009	यूनिफ्लैक्स केबल लिमिटेड, 158/162, जी आई डी सी, अंबरगांव 396171, डिस्ट्रिक्ट वलसाद गुजरात	पी वी इंसुलेटेड केबल	694	-	- 1990
2.	7940386	1-5-2009	श्रृंगार ज्वैलर्स 22, सोनल अपार्टमेंट, सुभाष चौक के पास, मेमनगर, अहमदाबाद-380 052	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन	1417	-	- 1990
3.	7941792	8-5-2009	के के गोल्ड ए 3, उमिया काम्प्लेक्स व्यापारी जिन, कलोल, गांधीनगर-382 721	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन	1417	-	- 1999
4.	7940487	4-5-2009	आदित्य बिजनेस कम्पनी एस आर नंबर 329/बी, डाकघर रोड, गांव अरेरी, ताल्लुका मेहमदाबाद खेडा-387130	पैकेजबंद पेयजल	14543	-	- 2004

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
5	7944091	20-5-2009	हिरामणी ज्वैलर्स, गांधी चौक पुलिस स्टेशन के सामने, गांधी चौक, मेहसना 382715	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुओं के आभूषणों शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन	1417	— — 1999
6	7945295	25-5-2009	कृष्णा फूड एंड बिवरेज पीपलाज पीराना रोड, गाँव पीपलाज, अहमदाबाद 382405	पैकेजबंद पेयजल	14543	— — 2004

[सं. सी.एम.डी/13:11]

पी. के. गम्भीर, उपभोक्ता निदेशक (मुहर)

New Delhi, the 22nd June, 2009

S.O. 1847.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the regulation 4 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations 1988, of the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particulars of which are given in the following schedule :

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No.	Grant Date	Name and Address of the party	Title of the Standard	IS No.	Part	Sec	Year
1.	7942087	11-5-2009	Uniflex Cables Limited, 158/162, GHDC, Umbergaon 396171, Dist Valsad (Gujrat)	PVC Insulated Cables	694	—	—	1990
2.	7940386	1-5-2009	Shangar Jewellers 22, Sonal Tantament, Near Subhash Chowk, Memnagar Ahmedabad-380052	Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts- Fineness and Marking	1417	—	—	1999
3.	7941792	8-5-2009	K. K. Gold A-3, Umiya Complex, Vepari Jin, Kalol Gandhi Nagar-382721	Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts- Fineness and Marking	1417	—	—	1999
4.	7940487	4-5-2009	Aditya Beverages Company Sr. No. 329/B, Dakok Road, Village Areri, Taluka Mehme- dabad Kheda-387130	Packaged Drinking Water	14543	—	—	2004
5.	7944091	20-5-2009	Hiramani Jewellers Opp. Gandhi Chowk Police Station, Gandhi Chowk, Mehsana-382715	Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/Artefacts- Fineness and Marking	1417	—	—	1999
6.	7945295	25-5-2009	Krishna Food and Beverages Piplaj Pirana Road, Village Piplaj, Ahmedabad-382405	Packaged Drinking Water	14543	—	—	2004

[No. CMD/13:11]

P. K. GAMBHIR, Dy. Director General (Marks)

नई दिल्ली, 24 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1848.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के उप-विनियम (5) के तहत यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित ब्यूरो वाले लाइसेंस प्रदान किये गये हैं।

अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	लाइसेंस संख्या	लागू तिथि	पार्टी का नाम व पता (कारखाना)	मानक की उपलब्धि	भा.मा. संख्या भाग/खंड व वर्ष
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	6911374	20090304	मेसर्स श्री कामाक्षी ज्वेलरी शॉर्ट पुराना शॉफ बाजार गडग, कोडगू-582101	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/सिक्ककारी सुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	IS 1417:1999
2.	6911475	20090304	मेसर्स कंचन ज्वेलर्स सं. 134/1, चौक मुख्य रोड, 9वां क्रॉस, चामराजपेट, बेंगलूर-560018	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/सिक्ककारी सुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	IS 1417:1999
3.	6911576	20090304	मेसर्स श्री नाथली ज्वेलर्स एस. एम. एस. पी. कॉम्प्लेक्स उडुप्पि-576101	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/सिक्ककारी सुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	IS 1417:1999
4.	6911677	20090304	मेसर्स कोकरी डायमंड्स एंड ज्वेलर्स प्लॉट सं. 4, नीका माला, सन्ताने विलिडंग, सुपर मार्केट, गुलाबर्ग-585101	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/सिक्ककारी सुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	IS 1417:1999
5.	6912073	20090304	मेसर्स के. चंपालाल ज्वेलर्स मार्केट रोड, डोडबेसालपुर, बेंगलूर-561203	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/सिक्ककारी सुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	IS 1417:1999
6.	6911172	20090304	मेसर्स पी. एस. आई गमेत मार्किंग सं. 10/3, दूसरा मुख्य रोड, 11वां क्रॉस, सोमेश्वर नगर, जयनगर पहला ब्लॉक, बेंगलूर-560011	पैकेजबंद पैकेज (पैकेजबंद मिनीस जल के अलावा)	IS 14543:2004
7.	6914077	20090311	मेसर्स एस. एम. रावकर ज्वेलर्स कॉम्प्लेक्स के सामने, मुख्य रोड, धारवाड-580001	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/सिक्ककारी सुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	IS 1417:1999
8.	6914178	20090311	मेसर्स पी. बस्टीमुल कोहरा ज्वेलर्स पहला क्रॉस रोड, रोवरकोपेट, के. जी. एफ., कोलार-563122	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/सिक्ककारी सुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	IS 1417:1999
9.	6914279	20090311	मेसर्स विमल ज्वेलर्स सं. 699/86, दूसरा मुख्य रोड, प्रकाश नगर, बेंगलूर-560021	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/सिक्ककारी सुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	IS 1417:1999

क्रम संख्या	लाइसेंस संख्या	लागू तिथि	पार्टी का नाम व पत्ता (कारखाना)	मानक की उपाधी	भा.मा. संख्या भाग/खंड व वर्ष
1	2	3	4	5	6
10.	6914885	20090312	मेसर्स मधुबन ज्वेलर्स सं. 101/1, कोतारी टॉवर, नगर्लेट क्रॉस, रजता महल लेइन के सामने, बेंगलूर-560002	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	IS 1417:1999
11.	6915180	20090312	मेसर्स ऐयस इंजीनियरिंग वर्क्स पुराना सं. 34, नया सं. 18, ऐरिकेम्मानाहल्ली, बेंगलूर-560027	अग्नि शामक, कार्बन डाई ऑक्साइड वाले (सुवाह्य एवं द्रासी आरोपित)	IS 2878:2004
12.	6914986	20090312	मेसर्स नवकर बीवरेज एण्ड मिनरल्स देवरगुडिहल गाँव, हुब्ली तालुक, धरवाड-580024	पैकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद मिनरल जल के अलावा)	IS 14543:2004
13.	6915079	20090312	मेसर्स रामनाथ फूड एण्ड बीवरेज इंडस्ट्री, कवातर गाँव, मुल्की तालुक, दक्षिण कन्नडा, मैंगलूर-575006	पैकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद मिनरल जल के अलावा)	IS 14543:2004
14.	6916182	20090316	मेसर्स मारुति ज्वेलर्स कनकादास रोड, संस्कृत कॉलेज के पास, उडुप्पि-576101	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	IS 1417:1999
15.	6916283	20090316	मेसर्स निर्मल ज्वेलर्स 2515/16, रथिवारपेट गोकक, बेलगाम, गोकक-591307	स्वर्ण तथा स्वर्ण मिश्र धातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मार्किंग	IS 1417:1999
16.	6918489	20090323	मेसर्स भगुष इंडस्ट्रीज सर्वे सं. 186/6, कोप्पाल, कुस्तगि	पैकेजबंद पेयजल (पैकेजबंद मिनरल जल के अलावा)	IS 14543:2004
17.	6919592	20090326	मेसर्स अडैक्स एन्ड्यूरा (इंडिया) प्राइवेट लिमिटेड 73/1-बी, बैरेगौडा इंडस्ट्रीयल एस्टेट, श्रीगंधानगर, हेगनाहल्ली, पीन्या, दूसरा स्टेज, बेंगलूर-560091	सिरेमिक टाइल्स और पच्चिकारी में प्रयुक्त आसंजक	IS 15477:2004

[सं. सीएमडी/13:11]

पी. के. गम्भीर, उप महानिदेशक (मार्केट)

New Delhi, the 24th June, 2009

S.O. 1848.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations 1988, of the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licence particulars of which are given in the following schedule :—

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No.	Operative Date	Name and address (Factory) of the Party	Title of the Standard	IS No. Part/ Sec. Year
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	6911374	20090304	M/s. Shree Karnakshi Jewellery Mart, Old Shroff Bazar, Gadag Kodagu-582101	Gold and gold alloys, Jewellery/artifacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417: 1999
2.	6911475	20090304	M/s. Kanchan Jewellers No. 134/1, 4th Main Road, 9th Cross, Chamarajpet, Bangalore-560018	Gold and gold alloys, Jewellery/artifacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417: 1999
3.	6911576	20090304	M/s. Shree Novety Jewellers S.M.S.P. Complex, Udipi-576101	Gold and gold alloys, Jewellery/artifacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417: 1999
4.	6911677	20090304	M/s. Kothari Diamonds & Jewels, Plot No. 4, Ground Floor, Khanage Building, Super Market, Gulbarga-585101	Gold and gold alloys, Jewellery/artifacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417: 1999
5.	6912073	20090304	M/s. K. Champalal Jewellers Market Road, Dodabelalapur Bangalore-561203	Gold and alloys, Jewellery/artifacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417: 1999
6.	6911172	20090304	M/s. PSI Ganesh Marketing No. 10/3, 2nd Main Road, 11th Cross, Someshwara Nagar, Jayanagar 1st Block, Bangalore-560011	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543: 1999
7.	6914077	20090311	M/s. S.M. Raikar Jewellers Opp. Bombay Restaurant, Subhas Road, Dharwad-580001	Gold and gold alloys, Jewellery/artifacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417: 1999
8.	6914178	20090311	M/s. P. Bastimull Bohra Jewellers Ist Cross Road, Robertsonpet, K.G.F, Kolar-563122	Gold and gold alloys, Jewellery/artifacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417: 1999
9.	6914279	20090311	M/s. Vimal Jewellers No. 699/86, 2nd Main Road, Prakashnagar, Bangalore-560021	Gold and gold alloys, Jewellery/artifacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417: 1999
10.	6914885	20090312	M/s. Madhuban Jewels No.101/1, Kotari Tower, Nagarthpet Cross, Opp. Rajatha Mahal Lane, Bangalore-560002	Gold and gold alloys, Jewellery/artifacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417: 1999

1	2	3	4	5	6
11.	6915180	20090312	M/s.ACE Engineering Works Old No. 34, New No. 18, Arekempnahalli, Bangalore-560027	Fire Extinguisher, Carbon Dioxide Type (Portable and Trolley Mounted)	IS 2878: 2004
12.	6914986	20090312	M/s. Navkar Beverages & Minerals, Devargudiha Village, Hubli Taluk, Dharwad-580024	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543: 2004
13.	6915079	20090312	M/s.Ramanath Food & Beverage Industry, Kavathar Village, Mulky Taluk, Dakshin Kannada, Mangalore-575006	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543: 2004
14.	6916182	20090316	M/s.Maruthi Jewellers Kanakadas Road, Near Sanskrit College, Udupi-576101	Gold and gold alloys, Jewellery/artifacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417: 1999
15.	6916283	20090316	M/s.Nirmal Jewellers 2515/16, Raviwarpeth Gokak, Belgaum, Gokak-591307	Gold and gold alloys; Jewellery/artifacts-fineness and marking	IS 1417: 1999
16.	6918489	20090323	M/s.Dhanush Industries Sy. No. 186/6, Koppal Kustagi	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged natural mineral water)	IS 14543: 2004
17.	6919992	20090326	M/s.Ardex Endura (India) Pvt. Ltd. 73/1B, Byregowda Industrial Estate, Srigandhanagar, Hegganahalli, Peenya 2nd Stage, Bangalore-560091	Adhesives for use with Ceramic Tiles and Mosaics	IS 15477: 2004

[No. CMD/13: 11]

P. K. GAMBHIR, Dy. Director General (Marks)

नई दिल्ली, 29 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1849.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के विनियम 5 के उप-विनियम (6) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि निम्न विवरण वाले लाईसेंसों को है उनके आगे दर्शायी गई तारीख से रद्द कर दिया गया है :-

अनुसूची

क्रम सं.	लाईसेंस संख्या सीएम/एल	लाईसेंसधारी का नाम व पता	लाईसेंस के अंतर्गत वस्तु/प्रक्रम सम्बद्ध भारतीय मानक का शीर्षक	रद्द करने की तिथि
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1.	7354373	लीलाधर फूड केम इण्डस्ट्रीज प्राइवेट सं. डब्ल्यू-16 टी.टी.सी. इण्डस्ट्रीयल एरिया एम.आय.डी.सी., पावने	भा मा 5346:1994 सल्लिष्ट खाद्य रंग और मिक्चर	3-4-2009

[संख्या केन्द्रीय प्रमाणन विभाग/13:13]

पी. के. गंभीर, उपमहानिदेशक (प्रमाणन)

New Delhi, the 29th June, 2009

S.O. 1849.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (6) of regulation 5 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations, 1988, the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies that the licences particulars of which are given in the following schedule have been cancelled with effect from the date indicated against each :

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No.	Name and Address of the licensee	Article/Process with relevant Indian Standard covered by the licence cancelled	Date of Cancellation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1.	7354373	Liladhar Food Chem. "Industries Plot No. W-16, T.T.C. Industrial Area M.I.D.C., Pawane Navi Mumbai-400705	IS 5346 : 1994 Synthetic Food Colours and Mixtures	3-4-2009

[No. CMD/13:13]

P. K. GAMBHIR, Dy. Director General (Marks)

नई दिल्ली, 29 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1850.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के नियम 4 के उप-विनियम (5) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन लाईसेंसें के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :-

अनुसूची

क्रम सं.	लाईसेन्स सं.	स्वीकृत करने की तिथि वर्ष/माह	लाईसेंसहारी का नाम	भारतीय मानक का सीरियल	भा मा भाग अनु वर्ष सं
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1.	7912179	3-4-2009	रायल जल सी-219 एम.आय.डी.सी मिरकोले, रत्नागिरी, महाराष्ट्र 414639	पैकेजबंद पीने का पानी (पैकेजबंद प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	भामा 14543:2004
2.	7922182	2-4-2009	यशवी फूड प्रोडक्ट्स 239/3/1 पुस्तक प्रकाश रोड, नन्दूरबार, महाराष्ट्र 425409	पैकेजबंद पीने का पानी (पैकेजबंद प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	भामा 14543:2004
3.	7923285	3-4-2009	एक्सल अक्वा डी-21, अतिरिक्त एमआयडीसी, एरियर लार्निंग, बुले महाराष्ट्र-424005	पैकेजबंद पीने का पानी (पैकेजबंद प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	भामा 14543:2004

[संख्या केन्द्रीय प्रमाणन विभाग/13:11]

पी. के. गंभीर, उप-महानिदेशक (प्रमाणन)

New Delhi, the 29th June, 2009

S.O. 1850.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (6) of regulation 5 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations, 1988, the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the licences particulars of which are given below in the following schedule :

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No.	Grant Date	Name and Address (factory) of the Party	Product	IS No./Part/Sec. Year
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1.	7912179	3-4-2009	Royal Jal C-219, M.I.D.C., Mirjola, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra-414639	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral Water)	14543:2004
2.	7922182	2-4-2009	Yashvi Food Product, 239/3/1 Old Prakasha Road, Nandurbar Shahda Maharashtra-425409	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral Water)	14543:2004
3.	7923285	3-4-2009	Excel Aqua D-21, Addl. MIDC Area, Laling Dhule, Maharashtra-424005	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral Water)	14543:2004

[No. CMD/13:11]

P. K. GAMBHIR, Dy. Director General (Marks)

नई दिल्ली, 30 जून, 2009

का.अ. 1851.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खण्ड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए मानक (को) में संशोधन किया गया/किए गए हैं।

अनुसूची

क्रम सं.	संशोधित भारतीय मानक की संख्या और वर्ष	संशोधन की संख्या और तिथि	संशोधन लागू होने की तिथि
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	आई एस 884 : 1985	संशोधन संख्या 3, दिसम्बर, 2008	23 जून, 2009

इन संशोधनों की प्रतियां भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो मानक भवन 9 बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कायम्बटूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पुणे तथा त्रिस्वनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[संदर्भ : सीईडी/राजपत्र]

ए. के. सैनी, वैज्ञानिक 'एफ' एवं प्रमुख (सिविल इंजीनियरी)

New Delhi, the 30th June, 2009

S.O. 1851.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that amendments to the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been issued :

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. and Year of the Indian Standard	No. and year of the amendment	Date from which the amendment shall have effect
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	IS 884 : 1985	Amendment No. 3, December 2008	23 June 2009

Copies of this Standard are available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002 and Regional Offices : New Delhi, Kolkata, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices : Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubansher, Coimbatore, Guwahate, Hyderabad, Jaipur Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref.: CED/Gazette]

A. K. SAINI, Sc. 'F' & Head (Civil Engg.)

पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून, 2009

का. आ. 1852.— भारत सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स रिलाएन्स इंडस्ट्रीज लिमिटेड के आन्ध्रप्रदेश में पूर्वी तट पर औनशोर टरमिनल से देश के विभिन्न हिस्सों में उपभोक्ताओं तक प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए, मैसर्स रिलोजिसटिक्स इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और, भारत सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि, उस भूमि में, जिसके भीतर उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, भारत सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उनमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसके उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने के लिए उपायोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के संबंध में श्री के. गंगाचलम सक्षम प्राधिकारी, मैसर्स रिलोजिसटिक्स इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, न. 67-11-81/2, सविस्वजा, न्यु सेंचुरी पब्लिक स्कूल के सामने, एल बी नगर, काकिनाडा - 533 003, पूर्वी गोदावरी जिल्ला, आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक : सामलकोट	जिल्ला : पूर्वी गोदावरी	राज्य : आन्ध्र प्रदेश		
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे सं. / सब डिविजन सं.	आर.ओ.यू. अर्जित करने के लिए क्षेत्रफल		
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	सि एयर
1	2	3	4	5
1) पनसापाडु	108/3	00	13	85
	108/2	00	20	96
	108/1	00	16	07
	107	00	01	30
	104/2ए	00	49	04
	104/2बी	00	03	78
	104/1	00	25	10
	105	00	00	95
	100/5बी	00	13	26
	100/4ए	00	26	45
	100/1बी	00	00	52
	100/1ए	00	07	70
2) पी.वेमावरम	82	00	03	93
	93	00	04	83
	90	00	24	26
	88	00	15	09
	73/1	00	19	47
	73/2	00	19	12
	71	00	06	05

1	2	3	4	5
2) पी.वेणुवरम (निरंतर)	72	00	04	26
	64	00	00	66
	74	00	18	38
	63	00	32	91
	61/1	00	15	12
	60/1	00	20	58
	59/6	00	16	86
	59/7	00	01	20
	59/3	00	03	40
	59/4	00	13	26
	58	00	04	75
	56	00	12	57
	57	00	07	16
	55	00	03	07
	38	00	03	37
	35	00	04	87
	36	00	03	89
	33/2	00	02	08
	33/1	00	51	81
	32	00	03	89
	5	00	30	75
	3	00	21	38
	2	00	28	40
	11	00	19	63
3) उन्मूल	41/2	00	10	28
	41/1	00	06	70
	39	00	06	74
	42/2	00	13	36
	42/1	00	30	54
4) सामलकोट	539	00	61	42
	538	00	66	43
	540	00	18	74
	542	00	16	48
5) कापवरम	12/1	00	58	84
	12/3	00	69	31
	12/4	00	69	31
	10/1	00	05	08
	10/2	00	34	84
	7	00	16	97
	6	00	06	07
	16	00	00	10
	17	00	30	64
	18/2	00	23	10
	19	00	05	50
	20	00	21	11
	22	00	23	06
	23/2	00	01	23
	23/1	00	02	92
	1	00	04	76

1	2	3	4	5
6) पवारा	371	01	03	53
	375	00	12	43
	368	00	03	43
	374	00	00	50
	367/3	00	18	78
	348	00	03	68
	347	00	68	80
	342	00	34	42
	341/1	00	26	89
	340/1	00	42	86
	339/1	00	63	58
	338/1	00	80	44
	335	00	10	83
	334/1	00	12	94
	334/2	00	00	10
	333	00	23	81
	323/10	00	06	25
	323/9	00	27	73
	323/7	00	00	36
	322	00	04	99
	315/2	00	45	51
	311	00	04	70
मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक : पिठपुरम	जिला : पूर्वी गोदावरी	राज्य : आन्ध्र प्रदेश		
1) सोमावरम	101/3डी	00	07	56
	101/3सी	00	19	80
	101/3बी	00	01	30
	100/2	00	12	70
	100/1	00	16	90
	100/3	00	02	73
	99/2	00	13	40
	99/1	00	30	12
	98/6	00	09	30
	98/4	00	10	09
2) कन्डराडा	14/1ई	00	05	31
	14/1एफ	00	08	56
	14/5सी	00	09	40
	14/4ए	00	04	67
	14/4बी	00	10	11
	14/4सी	00	08	58
	14/5ए	00	03	26
	14/3बी	00	05	85
	14/6	00	06	26
	15	00	30	39
	16	00	01	10
	18/6	00	00	10
	18/7ई	00	05	05
	17	00	17	70
	24/10ए	00	04	87
	24/10बी	00	12	42

1	2	3	4	5
2) कन्कराडा (निरंतर)	24/10सी	00	07	88
	24/9	00	02	47
	24/8बी	00	00	88
	24/7ई	00	00	96
	24/7डी	00	01	25
	24/7सी	00	01	90
	24/6	00	00	63
	24/8ए	00	03	52
	25/2	00	06	85
	25/1	00	00	19
	27	00	08	40
	44/9	00	01	54
	44/6	00	49	10
	44/3	00	08	74
	44/2ए	00	09	13
	44/2बी	00	13	12
	44/2सी	00	13	12
	44/1	00	10	47
	40/4	00	00	76
	58/4	00	18	63
	58/2डी	00	00	21
	58/2सी	00	04	41
	58/1ए	00	14	45
	58/2ए	00	03	90
	68/1	00	00	45
	68/2	00	25	52
	67	00	02	13
	65/19	00	14	83
	65/17	00	10	08
	65/15	00	00	10
	65/16	00	07	27
	65/14	00	00	85
	65/7	00	00	61
	65/8	00	16	54
	65/9	00	02	81
	96/2डी	00	00	10
	93/5	00	01	52
	93/4	00	01	30
	93/1ई	00	04	47
	93/1जी	00	03	26
	93/1डी	00	00	88
	93/1सी	00	00	27
	93/3	00	05	89
	93/2	00	06	06
	94/4	00	35	89
	94/6ए	00	25	16
	94/6बी	00	01	45

1	2	3	4	5
2) कन्डराडा (निरंतर)	96/3ई	00	00	10
	96/3एफ	00	06	13
	96/3जी	00	12	55
	96/1जे	00	02	90
	96/1के	00	03	32
	96/1एल	00	02	77
	96/1जी	00	04	46
	96/1एच	00	02	44
	86	00	03	06
	96/2आई	00	00	10
	96/1एफ	00	09	91
	96/2जे	00	01	55
	96/1ई	00	05	78
	96/1डी	00	03	52
	85	00	05	78
	96/2एल	00	00	59
	96/2एम	00	03	19
	96/1सी	00	10	50
	96/1बी	00	03	53
	96/1ए	00	00	10
	149	00	04	51
	152	00	15	09
	151	00	08	51
3) कुमारपुरम	32	00	04	89
	28/1	00	43	78
	28/6	00	34	59
	28/2	00	28	78
	26/6	00	04	10
	26/1बी	00	01	85
	26/2ए	00	01	13
	26/2बी	00	08	73
	26/2सी	00	02	86
	26/3	00	00	10
	26/1ए	00	14	06
	25	00	02	93
	21/5	00	07	58
	21/6	00	00	10
	21/4	00	24	12
	21/3	00	18	04
	19/2	00	82	88
	18	00	03	66
4) पिठापुरम	17	00	02	66

1	2	3	4	5
4) पिछपुरम (निरंतर)	16	00	06	19
	15/1	00	06	36
	15/2	00	13	85
	15/3	00	16	02
	15/4	00	00	21
	18/5	00	17	82
	10	00	35	25
	9	00	02	41
	8	00	01	66
	119	00	52	82
	120	00	29	45
	121	00	86	60
	113	00	09	40
	109	00	17	12
	111	00	28	60
	110	00	04	46
	190	00	05	35
	192	00	07	07
	191	00	02	46
	195	00	01	11
	188	00	00	70
	185	00	00	61
	184	00	03	92
	196	00	11	86
	197/4	00	12	79
	197/3	00	14	06
	183/2	00	01	19
	197/2	00	24	63
	223/6	00	40	08
	223/4	00	49	23
	224/8	00	00	33
	224/6	00	28	92
	224/5	00	00	45
	224/4	00	23	81
	226	00	52	96
5) अग्रहरम	97	00	13	24
	96	00	26	07
	99/1	00	31	19
	101/4	00	22	69
	101/5ए	00	22	00
	101/5बी	00	10	55
	101/6ए	00	02	54

1	2	3	4	5
5) अग्रहार्य (निरंतर)	103/1	00	08	87
	103/2	00	29	09
	104/1	00	31	96
	105/2	00	00	21
	106	00	20	83
	107	00	00	14
	108	00	30	26
	109	00	00	10
	110/2	00	03	05
	110/1	00	17	01
	128/1बी	00	36	73
	128/1ए	00	07	51
	129/1	00	09	08
	132/2	00	01	73
	131	00	18	43
	133/28	00	04	72
	133/27	00	01	66
	133/25	00	00	53
	133/24	00	00	41
	133/23	00	00	13
	133/26	00	09	35
	133/22	00	06	81
	133/21	00	08	87
	133/20	00	06	34
	133/19	00	02	50
	133/17	00	00	10
	152/3	00	14	65
	152/2	00	07	95
	152/12	00	17	81
	152/13	00	01	31
	151	00	05	25
6) रापरती	284	00	01	87
	285	00	02	84
	349/6	00	11	90
	349/5	00	00	10
	351	00	09	66
	347	00	03	58
	350/2	00	20	95
	350/1	00	10	21
	352	00	53	07
	375/2	00	01	92
	375/1	00	28	65

1	2	3	4	5
6) रापरती (निरंतर)	376	00	25	18
	372	00	43	41
	371	00	03	73
	370/3	00	01	63
	370/2	00	48	87
	370/1	00	02	77
	369/2	00	00	14
	381/3	00	03	81
	380	00	00	14
	381/1	00	05	60
	381/2	00	03	91
7) सोगापुरम	416/2	00	00	10
	416/3	00	08	98
	416/1	00	23	04
	417	00	15	78
	413/8	00	03	31
	413/7	00	13	54
	413/6	00	06	90
	413/5	00	07	00
	413/4	00	10	61
	413/2	00	01	78
	410/3	00	00	10
	410/4	00	32	84
	410/6	00	37	33
	411	00	05	08
	409	00	28	59
	432/2	00	00	96
	432/1	00	23	16
	432/3	00	09	17
	433/3	00	03	63
	433/4	00	16	57
	431/3	00	00	10
	431/2	00	01	79
	431/1	00	04	61
	435/1	00	16	48
	436/2	00	24	33
	436/1	00	01	14
	437/1	00	08	03
	437/2	00	10	91
	439	00	05	74
	289/3	00	04	25
	289/4	00	07	73

1	2	3	4	5
7) भोगपुरम (निरंतर)	289/5	00	01	07
	289/9	00	00	74
	289/8	00	18	86
	289/10	00	06	10
	289/11	00	04	32
	288/6	00	20	37
	288/1	00	01	52
	254/6	00	02	33
	254/4	00	09	52
	254/2	00	06	41
	254/1	00	11	11
	258/4	00	18	05
	258/5	00	01	88
	258/3	00	08	16
	257	00	29	88
	256/1	00	06	40
	256/2	00	00	68
	260/3	00	01	16
	260/2	00	23	16
	260/1	00	12	58
	261/1	00	15	64
	262/3सी	00	42	17
	262/3बी	00	00	10
	262/2	00	00	98
	262/1बी	00	12	64
	262/1ए	00	13	05
	265/4	00	00	36
	243/1	00	05	62
	242/2ए	00	01	41
	242/2बी	00	23	09
	242/2सी	00	05	94
	242/1	00	01	74
	217/1	00	11	72
	217/2	00	10	26
	216/2	00	14	83
	216/3	00	00	10
	215	00	05	54
	196/2	00	12	23
	196/1	00	26	13
	197/1ए	00	18	53
	197/1बी	00	03	26
	197/1सी	00	00	28

1	2	3	4	5
7) भोगपुरम (निरंतर)	198/5ए	00	15	09
	198/5बी	00	19	57
	198/2	00	01	11
	198/3	00	19	20
	202/1	00	03	55
	201/2	00	05	26
	201/1	00	27	80
	201/7	00	00	48
	200/1	00	38	74
	200/2	00	04	09
	150/4	00	00	20
	150/5	00	37	24
	149/5	00	16	73
	149/6	00	05	10
	149/4	00	01	65
	149/3	00	14	32
	149/2	00	31	49
	148	00	00	65

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक :गोल्लाप्रोलु	जिला :पुर्वी गोदावरी	राज्य :आन्ध्र प्रदेश		
1) गोल्लाप्रोलु	593/10	00	09	07
	593/4	00	23	62
	593/8	00	06	56
	593/7	00	01	14
	593/6	00	09	58
	593/5	00	08	42
	593/2ए	00	05	35
	593/2बी	00	00	72
	593/2सी	00	22	14
	592/2	00	07	26
	592/1	00	20	07
	4	00	00	10
	5/1	00	06	73
	5/2	00	20	04
	5/3	00	18	96
	5/4	00	11	31
	16	00	06	23
	14	00	03	16
	12/1	00	37	50
	11/1	00	01	95
	11/2	00	32	90
	11/6	00	27	49
	10/1	00	08	26
	26	00	09	54

1	2	3	4	5
1) गोमलाप्रोलु (निरंतर)	27/3	00	17	10
	80/3	00	05	84
	80/4	00	42	41
	78	00	59	94
	41	00	04	16
	42	00	05	02
	76	00	00	10
	77	00	00	65
	46/2	00	27	72
	46/1	00	02	50
	47/2	00	00	11
	47/1	00	20	62
	49/1	00	37	07
	50/1	00	53	63
	51/2	00	71	66
	51/3	00	01	70
	52	00	02	42
	53	00	46	86
	54/7	00	03	54
	54/5	00	23	01
	54/4	00	00	10
	54/1	00	06	75
	54/2	00	04	70
	54/3	00	01	28
	55/12	00	00	17
	55/10	00	00	32
	55/11	00	28	51
	58	00	40	98
	59/2	00	05	79
	57/1/6	00	04	68
	57/1/7	00	15	23
	57/1/8	00	25	32
2) चेन्दुर्ती	605/5	00	00	10
	605/4	00	01	15
	606/3	00	03	12
	606/2	00	27	88
	606/1	00	00	25
	607	00	07	63
3) घेबोलु	562	00	03	56
	561/4	00	00	10
	560/2	00	46	13
	560/1	00	04	16

1	2	3	4	5
3) केन्द्र (निरंतर)	561/1	00	24	11
	561/2	00	20	08
	565/2	00	00	39
	565/3	00	02	98
	566	00	09	05
	569/1ए	00	20	41
	568	00	26	49
	567/2	00	00	10
	567/3	00	24	35
	567/4	00	08	88
	588	00	00	70
	589/4	00	27	40
	589/3	00	19	28
	589/2डी	00	04	91
	589/1	00	02	67
	587	00	08	24
	582	00	22	56
	581	00	01	20
	593	00	13	21
	598	00	36	77
	594	00	92	71
	520/28	00	00	45
	518	00	77	83
	655	00	03	98
	662	00	02	34
	663	00	36	64
	666	00	28	29
	667	00	38	81
	668	00	08	44
	451/1	00	05	22
	451/2	00	11	25
	450	00	15	97
	452	00	03	56
	453	00	05	20
	456/1	00	05	25
	456/2	00	06	70
	454/2	00	05	08
	467	00	13	61
	466/1	00	05	55
	466/2	00	10	20
	464/1	00	10	24
	464/2	00	03	09

1	2	3	4	5
3) पेशवा (मिरात)	463/4	00	13	28
	463/3	00	05	17
	463/2	00	10	94
	463/1	00	11	23
	461	00	00	10
	462	00	15	12
	416/1	00	12	84
	417/2	00	05	04
	417/1	00	06	31
	418/4	00	04	65
	418/5	00	00	65
	418/7	00	06	23
	407	00	02	09
	381	00	11	76
	379/5	00	03	70
	379/4	00	07	34
	379/6	00	01	23
	379/3	00	01	38
	378/4	00	17	75
	378/5	00	02	25
	378/3	00	04	76
	377/3ए3	00	04	49
	377/3ए2	00	04	02
	377/3ए4	00	16	97
	377/3ए1	00	00	15
	377/1ए	00	00	65
	377/1बी	00	02	29
	314/4	00	12	96
	314/3बी	00	33	87
	314/1	00	06	64
	314/2	00	24	62
	312/1	00	13	78
	276	00	04	38
	277/3	00	44	83
	277/4	00	10	49
	310/2	00	00	10
	310/1बी	00	02	50
	310/1ए	00	20	25
	277/6	00	00	19
	277/5	00	00	10
	307/1	00	20	20
	307/2	00	23	40

1			2		3	4	5
3)	केन्द्रीय (निराल)	00	307/3	01084	00	26	60
00	00	00	306	011084	00	03	59
00	00	00	282	011	00	08	28
01	00	00	305/5	011	00	50	92
00	00	00	305/3	011	00	34	83
00	00	00	305/4	011	00	05	48
01	00	00	304	011084	00	02	90
00	00	00	303	011084	00	88	89
00	00	00	301/2	011084	00	12	51
10	00	00	301/1	011084	00	15	70
00	01	00	300/2	011084	00	01	30
00	01	00	300/3	011084	00	05	63
10	00	00	300/4ए	011084	00	08	77
00	01	00	300/4बी	011	00	23	27
00	00	00	300/5	011084	00	29	75
00	00	00	334	011084	00	21	04
00	00	00	336/3	011	00	19	37
00	00	00	337	011	00	20	41
00	01	00	338/1ए	011084	00	10	69
00	00	00	338/1बी	011084	00	29	07
10	00	00	338/2	011084	00	13	84
4)	दुरगाडा	00	462/1बी	011084	00	36	48
00	10	00	462/2बी	011084	00	12	52
00	00	00	463	011084	00	39	67
10	00	00	461/2बी	011084	00	00	10
00	00	00	461/3बी	011084	00	03	59
00	00	00	460/3	011084	00	07	30
00	00	00	460/2	011084	00	22	99
10	10	00	460/1	011	00	06	71
00	11	00	459/4	011	00	28	62
00	00	00	459/3	011084	00	32	45
10	10	00	458/2	011084	00	06	40
00	01	00	465	011084	00	23	30
00	01	00	475	011084	00	04	00
00	01	00	469/1	011084	00	10	49
00	01	00	469/2	011084	00	01	87
00	00	00	467/5	011	00	09	47
00	00	00	467/6	011	00	17	98
00	00	00	467/3	011084	00	06	59
00	01	00	468/1	011084	00	22	35
01	00	00	468/2	011084	00	24	43
00	00	00	469/7	011084	00	08	91

1	2	3	4	5
4) दुरगाछा (निरंतर)	469/9	00	23	06
	469/10	00	16	48
	470	00	14	59
	275	00	00	10
	274/2	00	00	26
	268	00	38	96
	269/2	00	00	19
	269/3	00	03	80
	265/4	00	06	26
	265/1	00	09	61
	265/2	00	19	53
	265/3	00	19	55
	263/1	00	03	21
	264	00	17	48
	262/1	00	03	06
	261/1	00	02	95
	305	00	08	70
	306	00	45	00
	231/1	00	13	50
	231/2	00	04	68
	230/2	00	03	57
	230/7	00	23	17
	232/1	00	01	54
	233/1	00	39	25
	234/2	00	20	01
	234/1	00	09	94
	235/2	00	00	92
	235/1	00	29	23
	227	00	61	51
	210	00	11	96
	211/3	00	00	50
	211/2	00	21	01
	211/6	00	19	90
	211/7	00	16	48
	211/9	00	15	63
	211/8	00	19	82
	223	00	08	15
	212	00	05	20
	202/2	00	08	69
	202/3बी	00	12	80
	202/3ए	00	00	10
	202/3सी	00	27	29

1	2	3	4	5
4) दुरगम्हा (निरंतर)	213	00	10	03
	214/2/बी	00	15	49
	214/1/बी	00	07	88
	215	00	10	45
	216	00	24	38
	83	00	65	89
	84	00	00	10
	79	00	13	93
	78	00	48	95

[फ़. सं. एल-14014/20/2009-जी.पी.]

के.के.शर्मा, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 2009

S. O. 1852.—Whereas it appears to Government of India that it is necessary in public interest that for transportation of natural gas from onshore terminal at east coast of Andhra Pradesh of M/s Reliance Industries Limited to consumers in various parts of the country, a pipeline should be laid by M/s Relogistics Infrastructure Limited;

And whereas, it appears to Government of India that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which are described in the Schedule annexed here to;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), Government of India hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of Right of User therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri K. Gangachalam, Competent Authority, Relogistics Infrastructure Limited, D.No. 67-11-21/2 Savisuja, Opp New Centuary Public School, L.B. Nagar, Kakinada – 533003, East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh State.

Schedule

Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk: Samalkota			District: East Godavari		State: Andhra Pradesh		
Village			Survey No./Sub-Division No		Area to be acquired for ROJ		
					Hec	Are	C-Are
1			2		3	4	5
1) Panasapadu			108/3		00	13	85
			108/2		00	20	96
			108/1		00	16	07
			107		00	01	30
			104/2A		00	49	04
			104/2B		00	03	78
			104/1		00	25	10
			105		00	00	95
			100/5B		00	13	26
			100/4A		00	26	45
			100/1B		00	00	52
			100/1A		00	07	70
2) P. Vemavaram			82		00	03	93
			93		00	04	83
			90		00	24	26
			88		00	15	09
			73/1		00	19	47
			73/2		00	19	12
			71		00	06	05
			72		00	04	26
			64		00	00	66
			74		00	18	38
			63		00	32	91
			61/1		00	15	12
			60/1		00	20	58
			59/6		00	16	86
			59/7		00	01	20
			59/3		00	03	40
			59/4		00	13	26
			58		00	04	75
			56		00	12	57
			57		00	07	16
			55		00	03	07
			38		00	03	37
			35		00	04	87
			36		00	03	89
			33/2		00	02	08

1	2	3	4	5
2) P. Venayaram (Cottar)	00	33/1	00	51
33	01	32	00	03
19	11	5	00	30
01	00	3	00	21
18	05	2	00	28
01	40	11	00	19
33	00	41/2	00	10
01	00	41/1	00	06
40	40	39	00	06
12	24	42/2	00	13
01	40	42/1	00	30
4) Samalkota	00	539	00	61
00	00	538	00	66
00	01	540	00	18
01	10	542	00	16
5) Kapavaram	01	12/1	00	58
02	01	12/3	00	69
03	00	12/4	00	69
04	01	10/1	00	05
01	00	10/2	00	34
00	00	7	00	16
01	01	6	00	06
10	20	16	00	00
00	20	17	00	30
00	00	18/2	00	23
00	40	19	00	05
11	01	20	00	21
00	20	22	00	23
00	00	23/2	00	01
00	20	23/1	00	02
00	20	1	00	04
6) Pavara	00	371	01	03
01	10	375	00	12
01	00	368	00	03
00	20	374	00	00
00	01	367/3	00	18
00	40	348	00	03
00	01	347	00	68
00	00	342	00	34
00	00	341/1	00	26
00	00	340/1	00	42
00	00	339/1	00	63
00	10			

1	2	3	4	5
6) Pavara (Contd)	338/1	00	80	44
	335	00	10	83
	334/1	00	12	94
	334/2	00	00	10
	333	00	23	81
	323/10	00	06	25
	323/9	00	27	73
	323/7	00	00	36
	322	00	04	99
	315/2	00	45	51
	311	00	04	70

Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk: Pithapuram	District: East Godavari	State: Andhra Pradesh		
1) Somavaram	101/3D	00	07	56
	101/3C	00	19	80
	101/3B	00	01	30
	100/2	00	12	70
	100/1	00	16	90
	100/3	00	02	73
	99/2	00	13	40
	99/1	00	30	12
	98/6	00	09	30
	98/4	00	10	09
2) Kandrada	14/1E	00	05	31
	14/1F	00	08	56
	14/5C	00	09	40
	14/4A	00	04	67
	14/4B	00	10	11
	14/4C	00	08	58
	14/5A	00	03	26
	14/3B	00	05	85
	14/6	00	06	26
	15	00	30	39
	16	00	01	10
	18/6	00	00	10
	18/7E	00	05	05
	17	00	17	70
	24/10A	00	04	87
	24/10B	00	12	42
	24/10C	00	07	88
	24/9	00	02	47
	24/8B	00	00	88
	24/7E	00	00	96
	24/7D	00	01	25

1	2	3	4	5
2) Kandrada (Contd)	24/7C	00	01	90
	24/6	00	00	63
	24/8A	00	03	52
	25/2	00	06	85
	25/1	00	00	19
	27	00	08	40
	44/9	00	01	54
	44/6	00	49	10
	44/3	00	08	74
	44/2A	00	09	13
	44/2B	00	13	12
	44/2C	00	13	12
	44/1	00	10	47
	40/4	00	00	76
	58/4	00	18	63
	58/2D	00	00	21
	58/2C	00	04	41
	58/1A	00	14	45
	58/2A	00	03	90
	68/1	00	00	45
	68/2	00	25	52
	67	00	02	13
	65/19	00	14	83
	65/17	00	10	08
	65/15	00	00	10
	65/16	00	07	27
	65/14	00	00	85
	65/7	00	00	61
	65/8	00	16	54
	65/9	00	02	81
	96/2D	00	00	10
	93/5	00	01	52
	93/4	00	01	30
	93/1E	00	04	47
	93/1G	00	03	26
	93/1D	00	00	88
	93/1C	00	00	27
	93/3	00	05	89
	93/2	00	06	06
	94/4	00	35	89
	94/6A	00	25	16
	94/6B	00	01	45

1			2	3	4	5
2) Kandrada (Confid)			96/3E	00	00	10
			96/3F	00	06	13
			96/3G	00	12	55
			96/1J	00	02	90
			96/1K	00	03	32
			96/1L	00	02	77
			96/1G	00	04	46
			96/1H	00	02	44
			86	00	03	06
			96/2I	00	00	10
			96/1F	00	09	91
			96/2J	00	01	55
			96/1E	00	05	78
			96/1D	00	03	52
			85	00	05	78
			96/2L	00	00	59
			96/2M	00	03	19
			96/1C	00	10	50
			96/1B	00	03	53
			96/1A	00	00	10
			149	00	04	51
			152	00	15	09
			151	00	08	51
3) Kumarapuram			32	00	04	89
			28/1	00	43	78
			28/6	00	34	59
			28/2	00	28	78
			26/6	00	04	10
			26/1B	00	01	85
			26/2A	00	01	13
			26/2B	00	08	73
			26/2C	00	02	86
			26/3	00	00	10
			26/1A	00	14	06
			25	00	02	93
			21/5	00	07	58
			21/6	00	00	10
			21/4	00	24	12
			21/3	00	18	04
			19/2	00	82	88
			18	00	03	66
4) Pithapuram			17	00	02	66

1	2	3	4	5
4) Pithapuram (Contd)	16	00	06	19
	15/1	00	06	36
	15/2	00	13	85
	15/3	00	16	02
	15/4	00	00	21
	18/5	00	17	82
	10	00	35	25
	9	00	02	41
	8	00	01	66
	119	00	52	82
	120	00	29	45
	121	00	86	60
	113	00	09	40
	109	00	17	12
	111	00	28	60
	110	00	04	46
	190	00	05	35
	192	00	07	07
	191	00	02	46
	195	00	01	11
	188	00	00	70
	185	00	00	61
	184	00	03	92
	196	00	11	86
	197/4	00	12	79
	197/3	00	14	06
	183/2	00	01	19
	197/2	00	24	63
	223/6	00	40	08
	223/4	00	49	23
	224/8	00	00	33
	224/6	00	28	92
	224/5	00	00	45
	224/4	00	23	81
	226	00	52	96
5) Agrapharam	97	00	13	24
	96	00	26	07
	99/1	00	31	19
	101/4	00	22	69
	101/5A	00	22	00
	101/5B	00	10	55
	101/6A	00	02	54

1	2	3	4	5
5) Aghaharam (Contd)	103/1	00	08	87
	103/2	00	29	09
	104/1	00	31	96
	105/2	00	00	21
	106	00	20	83
	107	00	00	14
	108	00	30	26
	109	00	00	10
	110/2	00	03	05
	110/1	00	17	01
	128/1B	00	36	73
	128/1A	00	07	51
	129/1	00	09	08
	132/2	00	01	73
	131	00	18	43
	133/28	00	04	72
	133/27	00	01	66
	133/25	00	00	53
	133/24	00	00	41
	133/23	00	00	13
	133/26	00	09	35
	133/22	00	06	81
	133/21	00	08	87
	133/20	00	06	34
	133/19	00	02	50
	133/17	00	00	10
	152/3	00	14	65
	152/2	00	07	95
	152/12	00	17	81
	152/13	00	01	31
	151	00	05	25
6) Raparhi	284	00	01	87
	285	00	02	84
	349/6	00	11	90
	349/5	00	00	10
	351	00	09	66
	347	00	03	58
	350/2	00	20	95
	350/1	00	10	21
	352	00	53	07
	375/2	00	01	92
	375/1	00	28	65

1	2	3	4	5
6) Raparhi (Contd)	376	00	25	18
	372	00	43	41
	371	00	03	73
	370/3	00	01	63
	370/2	00	48	87
	370/1	00	02	77
	369/2	00	00	14
	381/3	00	03	81
	380	00	00	14
	381/1	00	05	60
	381/2	00	03	91
7) Bhogapuram	416/2	00	00	10
	416/3	00	08	98
	416/1	00	23	04
	417	00	15	78
	413/8	00	03	31
	413/7	00	13	54
	413/6	00	06	90
	413/5	00	07	00
	413/4	00	10	61
	413/2	00	01	78
	410/3	00	00	10
	410/4	00	32	84
	410/6	00	37	33
	411	00	05	08
	409	00	28	59
	432/2	00	00	96
	432/1	00	23	16
	432/3	00	09	17
	433/3	00	03	63
	433/4	00	16	57
	431/3	00	00	10
	431/2	00	01	79
	431/1	00	04	61
	435/1	00	16	48
	436/2	00	24	33
	436/1	00	01	14
	437/1	00	08	03
	437/2	00	10	91
	439	00	05	74
	289/3	00	04	25
	289/4	00	07	73

1	2	3	4	5
7) Bhogapuram (Contd)	289/5	00	01	07
	289/9	00	00	74
	289/8	00	18	86
	289/10	00	06	10
	289/11	00	04	32
	288/6	00	20	37
	288/1	00	01	52
	254/6	00	02	33
	254/4	00	09	52
	254/2	00	06	41
	254/1	00	11	11
	258/4	00	18	05
	258/5	00	01	88
	258/3	00	08	16
	257	00	29	88
	256/1	00	06	40
	256/2	00	00	68
	260/3	00	01	16
	260/2	00	23	16
	260/1	00	12	58
	261/1	00	15	64
	262/3C	00	42	17
	262/3B	00	00	10
	262/2	00	00	98
	262/1B	00	12	64
	262/1A	00	13	05
	265/4	00	00	36
	243/1	00	05	62
	242/2A	00	01	41
	242/2B	00	23	09
	242/2C	00	05	94
	242/1	00	01	74
	217/1	00	11	72
	217/2	00	10	26
	216/2	00	14	83
	216/3	00	00	10
	215	00	05	54
	196/2	00	12	23
	196/1	00	26	13
	197/1A	00	18	53
	197/1B	00	03	26
	197/1C	00	00	28

1	2	3	4	5
7) Bhogapuram (Contd)	198/5A	00	15	09
	198/5B	00	19	57
	198/2	00	01	11
	198/3	00	19	20
	202/1	00	03	55
	201/2	00	05	26
	201/1	00	27	80
	201/7	00	00	48
	200/1	00	38	74
	200/2	00	04	09
	150/4	00	00	20
	150/5	00	37	24
	149/5	00	16	73
	149/6	00	05	10
	149/4	00	01	65
	149/3	00	14	32
	149/2	00	31	49
	148	00	00	65

Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk:Gollaprolu	District:East Godavari	State:Andhra Pradesh		
1) Gollaprolu	593/10	00	09	07
	593/4	00	23	62
	593/8	00	06	56
	593/7	00	01	14
	593/6	00	09	58
	593/5	00	08	42
	593/2A	00	05	35
	593/2B	00	00	72
	593/2C	00	22	14
	592/2	00	07	26
	592/1	00	20	07
	4	00	00	10
	5/1	00	06	73
	5/2	00	20	04
	5/3	00	18	96
	5/4	00	11	31
	16	00	06	23
	14	00	03	16
	12/1	00	37	50
	11/1	00	01	95
	11/2	00	32	90
	11/6	00	27	49
	10/1	00	08	26
	26	00	09	54

1	2	3	4	5
1) Gollaprolu (Contd)	27/3	00	17	10
	80/3	00	05	84
	80/4	00	42	41
	78	00	59	94
	41	00	04	16
	42	00	05	02
	76	00	00	10
	77	00	00	65
	46/2	00	27	72
	46/1	00	02	50
	47/2	00	00	11
	47/1	00	20	62
	49/1	00	37	07
	50/1	00	53	63
	51/2	00	71	66
	51/3	00	01	70
	52	00	02	42
	53	00	46	86
	54/7	00	03	54
	54/5	00	23	01
	54/4	00	00	10
	54/1	00	06	75
	54/2	00	04	70
	54/3	00	01	28
	55/12	00	00	17
	55/10	00	00	32
	55/11	00	28	51
	58	00	40	98
	59/2	00	05	79
	57/1/6	00	04	68
	57/1/7	00	15	23
	57/1/8	00	25	32
2) Chendurti	605/5	00	00	10
	605/4	00	01	15
	606/3	00	03	12
	606/2	00	27	88
	606/1	00	00	25
	607	00	07	63
3) Chebrolu	562	00	03	56
	561/4	00	00	10
	560/2	00	46	13
	560/1	00	04	16

1	2	3	4	5
3) Chebrolu (Contd)	561/1	00	24	11
	561/2	00	20	08
	565/2	00	00	39
	565/3	00	02	98
	566	00	09	05
	569/1A	00	20	41
	568	00	26	49
	567/2	00	00	10
	567/3	00	24	35
	567/4	00	08	88
	588	00	00	70
	589/4	00	27	40
	589/3	00	19	28
	589/2D	00	04	91
	589/1	00	02	67
	587	00	08	24
	582	00	22	56
	581	00	01	20
	593	00	13	21
	598	00	36	77
	594	00	92	71
	520/28	00	00	45
	518	00	77	83
	655	00	03	98
	662	00	02	34
	663	00	36	64
	666	00	28	29
	667	00	38	81
	668	00	08	44
	451/1	00	05	22
	451/2	00	11	25
	450	00	15	97
	452	00	03	56
	453	00	05	20
	456/1	00	05	25
	456/2	00	06	70
	454/2	00	05	08
	467	00	13	61
	466/1	00	05	55
	466/2	00	10	20
	464/1	00	10	24
	464/2	00	03	09

1	2	3	4	5
3) Chebrolu (Contd)	463/4	00	13	28
	463/3	00	05	17
	463/2	00	10	94
	463/1	00	11	23
	461	00	00	10
	462	00	15	12
	416/1	00	12	84
	417/2	00	05	04
	417/1	00	06	31
	418/4	00	04	65
	418/5	00	00	65
	418/7	00	06	23
	407	00	02	09
	381	00	11	76
	379/5	00	03	70
	379/4	00	07	34
	379/6	00	01	23
	379/3	00	01	38
	378/4	00	17	75
	378/5	00	02	25
	378/3	00	04	76
	377/3A3	00	04	49
	377/3A2	00	04	02
	377/3A4	00	16	97
	377/3A1	00	00	15
	377/1A	00	00	65
	377/1B	00	02	29
	314/4	00	12	96
	314/3B	00	33	87
	314/1	00	06	64
	314/2	00	24	62
	312/1	00	13	78
	276	00	04	38
	277/3	00	44	83
	277/4	00	10	49
	310/2	00	00	10
	310/1B	00	02	50
	310/1A	00	20	25
	277/6	00	00	19
	277/5	00	00	10
	307/1	00	20	20
	307/2	00	23	40

1	2	3	4	5
3) Chebrolu (Contd)	307/3	00	26	60
	306	00	03	59
	282	00	08	28
	305/5	00	50	92
	305/3	00	34	83
	305/4	00	05	48
	304	00	02	90
	303	00	88	89
	301/2	00	12	51
	301/1	00	15	70
	300/2	00	01	30
	300/3	00	05	63
	300/4A	00	08	77
	300/4B	00	23	27
	300/5	00	29	75
	334	00	21	04
	336/3	00	19	37
	337	00	20	41
	338/1A	00	10	69
	338/1B	00	29	07
	338/2	00	13	84
4) Durgada	462/1B	00	36	48
	462/2B	00	12	52
	463	00	39	67
	461/2B	00	00	10
	461/3B	00	03	59
	460/3	00	07	30
	460/2	00	22	99
	460/1	00	06	71
	459/4	00	28	62
	459/3	00	32	45
	458/2	00	06	40
	465	00	23	30
	475	00	04	00
	469/1	00	10	49
	469/2	00	01	87
	467/5	00	09	47
	467/6	00	17	98
	467/3	00	06	59
	468/1	00	22	35
	468/2	00	24	43
	469/7	00	08	91

1	2	3	4	5
4) Durgada (Contd)	469/9	00	23	06
	469/10	00	16	48
	470	00	14	59
	275	00	00	10
	274/2	00	00	26
	268	00	38	96
	269/2	00	00	19
	269/3	00	03	80
	265/4	00	06	26
	265/1	00	09	61
	265/2	00	19	53
	265/3	00	19	55
	263/1	00	03	21
	264	00	17	48
	262/1	00	03	06
	261/1	00	02	95
	305	00	08	70
	306	00	45	00
	231/1	00	13	50
	231/2	00	04	68
	230/2	00	03	57
	230/7	00	23	17
	232/1	00	01	54
	233/1	00	39	25
	234/2	00	20	01
	234/1	00	09	94
	235/2	00	00	92
	235/1	00	29	23
	227	00	61	51
	210	00	11	96
	211/3	00	00	50
	211/2	00	21	01
	211/6	00	19	90
	211/7	00	16	48
	211/9	00	15	63
	211/8	00	19	82
	223	00	08	15
	212	00	05	20
	202/2	00	08	69
	202/3B	00	12	80
	202/3A	00	00	10
	202/3C	00	27	29

1	2	3	4	5
3) Chebrolu (Contd)	307/3	00	26	60
	306	00	03	59
	282	00	08	28
	305/5	00	50	92
	305/3	00	34	83
	305/4	00	05	48
	304	00	02	90
	303	00	88	89
	301/2	00	12	51
	301/1	00	15	70
	300/2	00	01	30
	300/3	00	05	63
	300/4A	00	08	77
	300/4B	00	23	27
	300/5	00	29	75
	334	00	21	04
	336/3	00	19	37
	337	00	20	41
	338/1A	00	10	69
	338/1B	00	29	07
	338/2	00	13	84
4) Durgada	462/1B	00	36	48
	462/2B	00	12	52
	463	00	39	67
	461/2B	00	00	10
	461/3B	00	03	59
	460/3	00	07	30
	460/2	00	22	99
	460/1	00	06	71
	459/4	00	28	62
	459/3	00	32	45
	458/2	00	06	40
	465	00	23	30
	475	00	04	00
	469/1	00	10	49
	469/2	00	01	87
	467/5	00	09	47
	467/6	00	17	98
	467/3	00	06	59
	468/1	00	22	35
	468/2	00	24	43
	469/7	00	08	91

1	2	3	4	5
4) Durgada (Contd)	469/9	00	23	06
	469/10	00	16	48
	470	00	14	59
	275	00	00	10
	274/2	00	00	26
	268	00	38	96
	269/2	00	00	19
	269/3	00	03	80
	265/4	00	06	26
	265/1	00	09	61
	265/2	00	19	53
	265/3	00	19	55
	263/1	00	03	21
	264	00	17	48
	262/1	00	03	06
	261/1	00	02	95
	305	00	08	70
	306	00	45	00
	231/1	00	13	50
	231/2	00	04	68
	230/2	00	03	57
	230/7	00	23	17
	232/1	00	01	54
	233/1	00	39	25
	234/2	00	20	01
	234/1	00	09	94
	235/2	00	00	92
	235/1	00	29	23
	227	00	61	51
	210	00	11	96
	211/3	00	00	50
	211/2	00	21	01
	211/6	00	19	90
	211/7	00	16	48
	211/9	00	15	63
	211/8	00	19	82
	223	00	08	15
	212	00	05	20
	202/2	00	08	69
	202/3B	00	12	80
	202/3A	00	00	10
	202/3C	00	27	29

1	2	3	4	5
4) Durgada (Contd)	213	00	10	03
	214/2/B	00	15	49
	214/1/B	00	07	88
	215	00	10	45
	216	00	24	38
	83	00	65	89
	84	00	00	10
	79	00	13	93
	78	00	48	95

[F. No. L-14014/20/2009-G.P.
K.K.SHARMA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून, 2009

का. अं. 1853.—भारत सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स रिलायन्स इंडस्ट्रीज लिमिटेड के आन्ध्रप्रदेश में **पूर्वी तट पर** ओनशोर टर्मिनल से देश के विभिन्न हिस्सों में उपभोक्ताओं तक प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए, मैसर्स रिलोजिस्टिक्स इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और, भारत सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि, उस भूमि में, जिसके भीतर उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, भारत सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उनमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने के लिए उपायोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के संबंध में श्री के. गंगाचलम सक्षम प्राधिकारी, मैसर्स रिलोजिस्टिक्स इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, नं. 67-11-21/2, सावित्री, न्यू सेंचुरी पब्लिक स्कूल के सामने, एल वी नगर, काकिनाडा - 533 003, पूर्वी गोदावरी जिला, आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक : काजुलूरू	जिला : पूर्वी गोदावरी	राज्य : आन्ध्र प्रदेश		
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे सं. / सब डिविजन सं.	आर. ओ. यू. अर्जित करने के लिए क्षेत्रफल		
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	सि एयर
1	2	3	4	5
1) पल्लेपालेम	615	00	00	16
	618/7	00	04	28
	614/1	00	00	10
	613	00	01	71
	619/1	00	35	24
	619/2	00	04	76
	618/3	00	14	85
	618/2	00	07	92
	618/1	00	03	42
	623	00	27	10
	620/1	00	16	76
	622	00	15	62
	630	00	03	55
	632	00	07	32
	633/2बी	00	16	78
	633/1	00	04	00
	646/2ए	00	03	33
	646/1	00	10	44
	645/2	00	52	59
	645/1	00	01	67
	664/3	00	25	11
	664/1	00	07	65
	665	00	31	48
	667	00	13	34
2) शीला	321	00	47	37
	325/7	00	60	09
	330/2	00	29	00
	330/1	00	55	83
	355	00	11	15
	356/2बी	00	19	14
	363	00	40	29
	361	00	31	32
	357	00	00	10
	360/4	00	20	59
	347	00	84	35
	346/3	00	00	31
	346/4	00	00	10

1	2	3	4	5
2) शीला (निरंतर)	346/1	00	53	02
	232/2	00	11	33
	232/1	00	11	00
	231/4	00	31	13
	231/3	00	06	71
	231/2	00	09	88
	230	01	12	06
	228/2/1की	00	02	32
	228/2/1ए	00	06	56
	228/1/1	00	04	64
	229/3	00	11	37
	227/2/1	00	09	46
	227/2/2	00	09	46
	222/2	00	16	21
	227/1/3	00	03	12
	227/1/2	00	01	24
	224	00	32	38
	225	00	09	91
	226/1	00	05	06
	226/2	00	00	10
	62/9	00	10	64
	62/8	00	08	28
	62/5	00	03	51
	62/4	00	02	66
	62/6	00	00	10
	62/3	00	22	86
	62/2	00	08	54
	62/1	00	08	75
	61	00	07	76
	65/6	00	18	17
	57	00	69	72
	58	00	00	48
	56/9	00	15	06
	56/7	00	20	37
	56/6	00	13	51
	55/1	00	46	58
	53/1	00	42	60
	52/3	00	23	45
	51	00	15	98
	50	00	28	14
	49	00	58	11
	48	00	01	41

1	2	3	4	5
3) मंजिर	227/6	00	16	92
	227/1	00	34	47
	229/5	00	38	41
	229/2	00	13	67
	229/1	00	10	01
	230	00	09	88
	63/2	00	03	22
	63/1	00	07	84
	62/9	00	15	51
	62/8की	00	07	21
	62/3ए	00	06	11
	62/1की	00	03	10
	62/1ए	00	02	14
	61/13	00	01	02
	61/12	00	00	12
	53/2	00	02	93
	53/1/3	00	36	92
	53/1/2	00	05	49
	52/12	00	09	36
	52/11	00	09	89
	52/8	00	03	19
	52/7	00	00	33
4) बंदनपूडी	299/13	00	00	69
	299/12	00	02	51
	299/7	00	12	43
	299/6	00	17	68
	299/4	00	00	39
	299/2	00	17	92
	300/6	00	00	88
	300/5	00	10	51
	300/4	00	08	58
	300/3	00	01	99
	301/3	00	70	64
	301/4	00	03	31
	301/1	00	13	78
	302	00	02	98
	303/3	00	18	58
	303/2	00	05	57
	305/9	00	02	81
	305/8	00	00	97
	305/6	00	11	05
	305/7	00	15	06

1	2	3	4	5
4) बंदनपूड़ी (निरंतर)	306/3	00	14	46
	306/2	00	16	82
	306/1बी	00	00	10
	306/1ए	00	16	12
	309/13	00	02	33
	309/12	00	04	94
	309/11	00	00	10
	309/10	00	04	84
	309/9	00	01	66
	309/6	00	23	68
	308	00	03	59
	329/2	00	52	09
5) सालपाका	95/2	00	43	87
	95/3	00	10	35
	94/2	00	09	77
	98/2	00	03	82
	98/1	00	34	59
	97	00	15	56
	115	00	10	20
	116/2	00	14	06
	116/1	00	11	43
	117/3	00	08	05
	117/1	00	17	66
	117/2	00	04	23
	119/4	00	26	20
	119/3	00	19	26
	119/2	00	18	72
	120	00	17	25
	121	00	03	23
	122/3	00	16	08
	131	00	03	46
	132/2	00	22	04
	142	00	02	47
	143	00	15	88
	132/1	00	00	10
	141/3	00	27	39
	141/1	00	28	19
	144	00	04	59
	140	00	19	97
	139/5	00	04	78
	139/2	00	13	95
	139/3	00	13	00

1	2	3	4	5
5) सलपाकर (निरंतर)	139/1	00	00	55
	138/3	00	12	59
	138/2	00	03	00
	138/1ए	00	16	57
	138/1बी	00	04	67
	153/9	00	01	67
	137/1	00	06	29
	137/2	00	30	15
	153/4	00	00	28
	153/2	00	08	28
	153/1	00	04	02
	169	00	06	78
	168/6	00	16	61
	168/4	00	01	76
	168/2	00	05	06
	168/1	00	14	09
	174	00	04	95
	167/11	00	01	83
	167/3	00	05	35
	167/2	00	11	70
	167/1	00	14	27
	166/2बी	00	04	70
	166/1	00	49	04
	161/5	00	07	61
	161/3	00	02	40
	165	00	16	57
	164/3	00	06	01
	164/2	00	30	06
	164/1	00	04	71
	163	00	32	27

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक : करपा	जिला : पूर्वी गोदावरी	राज्य : आन्ध्र प्रदेश	
1) गोरेंपूडी	250	00	55 87
	251	00	24 69
	249/2	00	04 90
	249/1	00	00 39
	237/2	00	57 20
	237/1	00	08 35
	220	00	08 61
	236	00	00 40
	235	00	03 40
	221/10	00	14 15
	221/9	00	00 47
	221/2	00	00 48

1	2	3	4	5
1) गोरखी (मिरत)	221/3	00	08	81
	221/4	00	08	64
	221/5	00	00	29
	219/5	00	00	34
	219/6	00	09	42
	219/7	00	09	50
	219/16	00	01	45
	219/11	00	05	03
	219/8	00	05	73
	219/9	00	01	47
	219/10	00	11	94
	218/13	00	02	73
	218/12	00	09	77
	218/8	00	11	10
	218/9	00	00	46
	218/7	00	11	61
	218/6	00	05	00
	214	00	07	46
	212	00	20	92
	207	00	16	40
	206	00	53	05
	189	00	62	62
	188/5	00	13	82
	188/4	00	05	19
	191/7	00	03	52
	191/5	00	08	42
	188/3	00	02	62
	188/2	00	00	10
	191/4	00	16	02
	191/3	00	00	28
	191/2	00	10	99
	191/1	00	06	68
	192/2	00	43	76
	192/1	00	22	19
	193	00	23	92
	194	00	44	06
2) कोंगोडु	181	00	36	08
	180/2	00	00	30
	180/1	00	04	10
	172	00	00	45
	171	00	14	13
	165/3	00	15	55

1	2	3	4	5
2) संयुक्त (संयुक्त)	100	00	07	50
	100/0	00	49	52
	100/2/4	00	13	12
	100/2/5	00	02	02
	100/2/3	00	17	07
	100/2/2	00	22	40
	100/1/7	00	12	99
	100/1/12	00	23	77
	100/1/11	00	19	02
	100/1/0	00	00	93
	100/1/5	00	22	15
3) संयुक्त (संयुक्त)	100/2	00	46	12
	100/1	00	06	02
	140	00	43	90
	140/0	00	20	52
	140/4	00	00	00
	140/3	00	00	24
	140/2	00	00	01
	147/6	00	00	12
	140/1	00	00	42
	147/5	00	05	41
	147/4	00	06	79
	147/3	00	10	21
	147/2	00	10	34
	147/1	00	15	04
	00/2/4	00	00	73
	00/2/5	00	00	56
	00/1/0	00	05	43
	00/1/5	00	06	01
	02/0	00	11	00
	02/4	00	02	27
4) संयुक्त	00/1	00	11	25
	00/2	00	20	03
	01/1	00	47	03
	02	00	01	04
	07	00	06	45
	70	00	20	00
	00/2	00	26	07
	70/2/0	00	24	10
	70/1/0	00	00	10
	70/0/0	00	35	70
	74	00	43	05

4	5	6	7	8
4	78	00	23	02
	71/2	00	03	07
	72/2	00	05	17
	16	00	05	50
	12/3	00	41	14
	11/3	00	07	05
	6/9	00	03	00
	0/8	00	06	07
	6/7	00	09	09
	6/4	00	07	06
	6/3	00	06	09
	6/2	00	04	40
	6/1	00	04	19
	7/3	00	01	73
	7/2	00	19	08
	7/1	00	05	10
	8	00	00	40
	26	00	07	16
5) 1000000	144/1	00	08	04
	143	00	06	04
	147	00	00	10
	141/4	00	07	01
	141/1	00	04	74
	137/4	00	46	08
	137/2a	00	13	70
	137/2a	00	14	04
	137/1	00	00	34
	136	00	01	13
	135/2a	00	00	41
	135/2a	00	21	08
	134/7	00	07	52
	134/6	00	06	07
	131/8	00	04	04
	134/5	00	04	02
	131/4	00	15	75
	134/4	00	01	72
	134/3	00	00	20
	131/3	00	08	13
	131/2	00	09	22
	133	00	04	00
	130/4	00	02	06
	130/3	00	06	01

1	2	3	4	5
5) कोल्हापूर (मिहंता)	130/2	00	09	92
	132/2	00	10	85
	132/1	00	01	30
	128/13	00	00	10
	127	00	00	32
	129/12	00	02	82
	129/14	00	06	20
	129/9	00	06	48
	129/13	00	07	51
	129/2	00	04	35
	129/1	00	04	21
	92/3	00	14	51
	95/2	00	06	38
	95/1	00	30	74
	96/1	00	07	18
	98/3	00	09	54
	98/1ए	00	06	61
	98/1बी	00	06	61
	98/2	00	02	10
	100/2	00	14	62
	109/6	00	18	84
	105	00	14	19
	101/15एफ	00	04	20
	101/15इ	00	04	94
	101/14	00	05	17
	101/11	00	17	53
	101/2	00	07	83
	101/1	00	08	44
	103/3	00	00	33
	103/2	00	19	19
	107	00	06	52
	106	00	05	09
6) जड.बाबराग	110/3	00	11	31
	110/4	00	00	10
	110/2	00	17	49
	112	00	10	79
	114	00	11	99
	113/2	00	09	78
	113/1	00	34	21
	119	00	00	86
	117/2	00	02	61
	117/1	00	01	96

1	2	3	4	5
6) जड.वाचन (निरंतर)	118	00	42	43
	126/2	00	42	04
	124/3	00	23	73
	122/2	00	03	90
	123	00	23	36
	124/1	00	18	33
	134	00	04	18
	142/1	00	20	16
	143/1	00	04	43
	143/2	00	06	38
	140	00	31	10
	145/4	00	00	91
	145/3	00	24	32
	145/2	00	01	40
	145/1	00	02	04
	152	00	00	57
	149/1	00	09	44
	149/2	00	11	07
	150/1	00	01	52
7) अटलकटय	255/2	00	09	80
	260/3	00	36	32
	259/4	00	19	20
	259/3	00	20	35
	259/2	00	11	25
	259/1	00	09	08
	203/4	00	05	44
	203/3	00	16	27
	202	00	00	10
	275/6	00	03	35
	275/4	00	15	70
	203/1	00	12	67
	203/2	00	10	76
	276/4	00	04	18
	276/3	00	11	95
	278/2	00	10	95
	278/1	00	01	14
	277	00	63	69
	281	00	00	51
	280	00	03	94
	279/1	00	06	58
	194/1	00	11	22
	194/2	00	07	86

1	2	3	4	5
7) NUMBER (P.R.V.)	193	00	33	37
	192/4	00	05	73
	326/2	00	03	52
	192/2	00	12	16
	192/1	00	00	10
	327	00	27	56
	328/4	00	16	98
	187/3	00	00	83
	187/2	00	13	10
	187/1	00	07	95
	186	00	17	27
	343	00	43	52
	344/2	00	10	77
	344/1	00	13	68
	62	00	28	22
	61	00	04	83
	00/1	00	02	07
	59	00	07	07
	58/4	00	08	08
	58/1	00	14	98
	58/3	00	15	98
	51/7	00	06	10
	51/8	00	20	40
	52/12	00	02	05
	53	00	14	42
	52/5	00	13	28
	52/3	00	00	22
	52/1	00	11	47
	52/2	00	07	38
	42	00	10	87
	35/4	00	18	21
	35/8	00	15	96
	35/6	00	05	81
	35/5	00	11	73
	34/3	00	07	57
	34/2	00	20	90
	34/1	00	00	10
	36/1	00	05	95
	28	00	00	40
	37	00	03	48
	5/5	00	00	09
	7/3	00	00	10

1	2	3	4	5
7) कालकाश (मिल)	7/1	00	14	01
	5/4	00	12	45
	5/1	00	08	80
	6	00	12	90
	2/3	00	10	90
	2/4	00	00	10
	2/1	00	03	20
	1	00	03	35

मंडल/ तालिका/ तालिका नंबर	मिला पूर्वी नोडवरी	राज्य अंक-प्रदेश		
1) कालकाश	138	00	05	22
	133/148	00	06	10
	133/1	00	39	45
	133/4	00	03	70
	133/108	00	01	05
	133/12	00	12	65
2) कालकाश	238	00	40	54
	237/28	00	09	70
	237/182	00	02	88
	238/181	00	00	85
	237/181/181	00	24	55
	238/18	00	00	80
	238/28/1	00	09	42
	235/183	00	28	00
	235/182	00	11	17
	235/181	00	03	30
	235/18	00	02	40
	240	00	01	10
	241	00	07	80
	242/10	00	03	11
	242/9	00	08	91
	242/5	00	04	35
	242/4	00	05	04
	243/6	00	15	00
	245/6	00	03	44
	245/4	00	00	15
	245/1	00	12	96
	244	00	03	57
	253	00	15	96
	254/1	00	04	87
	258/4	00	12	92
	247/9	00	08	74
	247/10	00	27	42
	248/18	00	18	92

1	2	3	4	5
2) जम्मुतापुरख्यम (मिरंर)	248/8	00	18	78
	248/5	00	05	39
	248/4	00	11	27
	248/2	00	18	40
	252/4	00	00	60
	252/7	00	19	09
	252/6	00	03	63
	252/1	00	12	02
	251/14	00	07	51
	251/13	00	09	49
	251/12	00	01	50
	251/11	00	07	62
	251/10	00	07	54
	280/1	00	79	81
	284	00	91	71
	287/2	00	47	50
	288	00	47	91
	289/2	00	26	27
	290	00	04	26
	385	00	10	57
	299	00	12	25
	383	00	03	43
	300/1	00	36	11
	382/2	00	04	71
	381/10	00	00	19
	301/1	00	70	46
	380	00	00	61
	377	00	02	12
	302	00	11	87
	371	01	00	46
	372/1	00	20	27
	372/2	00	04	67
	374/8	00	02	99
	373	00	05	81

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक :सामलकोटा	जिला :पूर्वी मोदावरी	राज्य :आन्ध्र प्रदेश
1) मावपटन	165/12	00 38 91
	160/16	00 00 61
	180/17	00 12 72
	166	00 28 73
	165/16	00 24 16
	165/13	00 04 79
	165/6	00 22 15
	165/5	00 43 34

1	2	3	4	5
1) मजदूर (निरत)	162/2	00	00	54
	165/4	00	15	02
	164/1/2	00	00	10
	164/1/1	00	39	91
	158	00	02	10
	156/1	00	42	48
	155/2	00	16	75
	155/1	00	24	38
	148	00	02	13
	146/2ए	00	04	21
	145/2बी	00	13	62
	146/1	00	23	23
	146/2	00	13	35
	144/1	00	12	02
	144/2	00	51	52
2) मजदूर	47/4	00	36	13
	48/1	00	25	77
	49/6	00	15	45
	49/5	00	20	48
	49/2	00	27	35
	51/2	00	43	78
	51/3	00	00	10
	60	00	17	76
	61	00	44	40
	67/5	00	37	24
	67/6	00	27	85
	68/5	00	35	47
	68/2	00	01	70
	68/3	00	13	37
	69	00	36	33
	84/3	00	26	13
	85	00	10	10
	86	00	25	30
	87	00	53	55
	97/2	00	48	80
	95/1ए	00	35	10
	95/2बी	00	01	10
	97/1बी	00	09	62
	97/1एफ	00	09	68
	97/1ड	00	07	26
	98	00	00	55
	99/4	00	00	85

1	2	3	4	5
2) बौयनपुडि (मिरंसार)	99/5	00	11	70
3) मानिल्लदोडडी	4/1	00	29	30
	4/2	00	01	30
	5/1	00	33	78
	6/3	00	08	64
	5/2	00	00	82
	सर्वे नंबर 10 में	00	14	89
	10	00	07	75
	16	00	34	51
	18/2/4	00	23	72
	18/2/3	00	02	50
	18/2	00	18	04
	18/1	00	02	28
	19	00	19	39
	20	00	16	26
	31/3	00	47	15
	28/2	00	06	13
	28/1	00	40	98
	29/1	00	20	48
	29/2	00	16	49
	29/3	00	03	21
	26	00	32	06
	53	00	31	67
	52	00	11	87
	55	00	14	52
	50/1	00	07	33
	61	00	06	39
	62	00	49	74
	73	00	04	58
	74	00	21	19
	78	00	82	96
	76/2/2की	00	25	95
	76/1	00	06	36
	77/1	00	78	79
	77/2	00	09	74
4) वल्लूर	161	00	23	83
	160/4	00	07	19
	160/3	00	06	09
	159/2	00	05	57
	158/1	00	13	59
	158/2	00	13	11
	157/2की	00	08	26

1	2	3	4	5
4) कच्छ (निरंतर)	157/2ए	00	04	57
	157/1	00	05	86
	156/2	00	36	92
	152	00	09	00
	151/3	00	10	10
	151/4ए	00	07	50
	151/1डी	00	02	28
	151/1के	00	06	81
	151/1ए	00	30	40
	150/1सी1	00	00	70
	150/1के	00	08	71
	148/3	00	03	98
	150/1ए	00	22	56
	148/1	00	09	92
	146	00	12	58
	145/9	00	08	14
	145/5	00	09	76
	145/3	00	09	39
	144/2	00	11	71
	143/2	00	54	25
	143/1	00	19	16

[च. सं. एल-14014/20/2009-जी.पी.]

के.के.रामा, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 2009

S. O. 1853.—Whereas it appears to Government of India that it is necessary in public interest that for the transportation of natural gas from onshore terminal at east coast of Andhra Pradesh of M/s Reliance Industries Limited to consumers in various parts of the country, a pipeline should be laid by M/s Relogistics Infrastructure Limited;

And whereas, it appears to Government of India that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which are described in the Schedule annexed here to;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), Government of India hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of Right of User therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri K. Gangachalam, Competent Authority, Relogistics Infrastructure Limited, D.No. 67-11-21/2 Savisuja, Opp New Centuary Public School, L.B. Nagar, Kakinada - 533003, East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh State.

Schedule

Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk/MAMLA		District/EST. DISTRICT		State/GOVT. PROVINCE	
Village	Survey No./Sub-Station No.	Area to be acquired for RRA			
		Has	Ans	C-Has	
1	2	3	4	5	
1) PALLEPALEM	615	00	00	16	
	618/7	00	04	28	
	614/1	00	00	10	
	613	00	01	71	
	619/1	00	35	24	
	619/2	00	04	76	
	618/3	00	14	85	
	618/2	00	07	92	
	618/1	00	03	42	
	623	00	27	10	
	620/1	00	16	76	
	622	00	15	62	
	630	00	03	55	
	632	00	07	32	
	633/2B	00	16	78	
	633/1	00	04	00	
	646/2A	00	03	33	
	646/1	00	10	44	
	645/2	00	52	59	
	645/1	00	01	67	
	664/3	00	25	11	
	664/1	00	07	65	
	665	00	31	48	
	667	00	13	34	
2) SILA	321	00	47	37	
	325/7	00	60	09	
	330/2	00	29	00	
	330/1	00	55	83	
	355	00	11	15	
	354/2B	00	19	14	
	363	00	40	29	
	361	00	31	32	
	357	00	00	10	
	360/4	00	20	59	
	347	00	54	35	
	346/3	00	00	31	
	346/4	00	00	10	

पी.पी. 3

1	2	3	4	5
2) SILA (Contd)	346/1	00	53	02
	232/2	00	11	33
	232/1	00	11	00
	231/4	00	31	13
	231/3	00	06	71
	231/2	00	09	88
	230	01	12	06
	228/2/1B	00	02	32
	228/2/1A	00	06	56
	228/1/1	00	04	64
	229/3	00	11	37
	227/2/1	00	09	46
	227/2/2	00	09	46
	227/2	00	16	21
	227/1/3	00	03	12
	227/1/2	00	01	24
	224	00	32	38
	225	00	09	91
	226/1	00	05	06
	226/2	00	00	10
	62/9	00	10	64
	62/8	00	08	28
	62/5	00	03	51
	62/4	00	02	06
	62/6	00	00	10
	62/3	00	22	86
	62/2	00	08	34
	62/1	00	08	75
	61	00	07	76
	65/6	00	18	17
	57	00	69	72
	58	00	00	48
	56/9	00	15	06
	56/7	00	20	37
	56/6	00	13	51
	55/1	00	46	58
	53/1	00	42	60
	52/3	00	23	45
	51	00	15	98
	50	00	28	14
	49	00	58	11
	48	00	01	41

1	2	3	4	5
3) MATHERU	227/6	00	16	92
	227/1	00	34	47
	229/5	00	38	41
	229/2	00	13	67
	229/1	00	10	01
	230	00	09	88
	63/2	00	03	22
	63/1	00	07	84
	62/9	00	15	51
	62/8B	00	07	21
	62/3A	00	06	11
	62/1B	00	03	10
	62/1A	00	02	14
	61/13	00	01	02
	61/12	00	00	12
	53/2	00	02	93
	53/1/3	00	36	92
	53/1/2	00	05	49
	52/12	00	09	36
	52/11	00	09	89
	52/8	00	03	19
	52/7	00	00	33
4) BHANDANAPUDI	299/13	00	00	69
	299/12	00	02	51
	299/7	00	12	43
	299/6	00	17	68
	299/4	00	00	39
	299/2	00	17	92
	300/6	00	00	88
	300/5	00	10	51
	300/4	00	08	58
	300/3	00	01	99
	301/3	00	70	64
	301/4	00	03	31
	301/1	00	13	78
	302	00	02	98
	303/3	00	18	58
	303/2	00	05	57
	305/9	00	02	81
	305/8	00	00	97
	305/6	00	11	05
	305/7	00	15	06

1	2	3	4	5
4) BHANDAN (Bhandan)	306/3	00	14	46
	306/2	00	16	82
	306/1B	00	00	10
	306/1A	00	16	12
	309/13	00	02	33
	309/12	00	04	94
	309/11	00	00	10
	309/10	00	04	84
	309/9	00	01	66
	309/6	00	23	68
	308	00	03	59
	329/2	00	52	09
5) SALAPAKA	95/2	00	43	87
	95/3	00	10	35
	94/2	00	09	77
	98/2	00	03	82
	98/1	00	34	59
	97	00	15	56
	115	00	10	20
	116/2	00	14	06
	116/1	00	11	43
	117/3	00	08	05
	117/1	00	17	66
	117/2	00	04	23
	119/4	00	26	20
	119/3	00	19	26
	119/2	00	18	72
	120	00	17	25
	121	00	03	23
	122/3	00	16	08
	131	00	03	46
	132/2	00	22	04
	142	00	02	47
	143	00	15	88
	132/1	00	00	10
	146/3	00	27	39
	144/1	00	28	19
	144	00	04	59
	140	00	19	97
	139/5	00	04	78
	139/2	00	13	95
	139/3	00	13	00

1	2	3	4	5
1) GORBERI (Contd)	221/3	00	08	81
	221/4	00	08	64
	221/5	00	00	29
	219/5	00	00	34
	219/6	00	09	42
	219/7	00	09	50
	219/16	00	01	45
	219/11	00	05	03
	219/8	00	05	73
	219/9	00	01	47
	219/10	00	11	94
	218/13	00	02	73
	218/12	00	09	77
	218/8	00	11	10
	218/9	00	00	46
	218/7	00	11	61
	218/6	00	05	00
	214	00	07	46
	212	00	20	92
	207	00	16	40
	206	00	33	05
	189	00	62	62
	188/5	00	13	82
	188/4	00	05	19
	191/7	00	03	52
	191/5	00	08	42
	188/3	00	02	62
	188/2	00	00	10
	191/4	00	16	02
	191/3	00	00	28
	191/2	00	10	99
	191/1	00	06	68
	192/2	00	43	76
	192/1	00	22	19
	193	00	23	92
	194	00	44	06
2) KONGODU	181	00	36	08
	180/2	00	00	30
	180/1	00	04	40
	172	00	00	45
	171	00	14	13
	165/3	00	15	55

1	2	3	4	5
2) KONGODU (Contd)	164	00	07	50
	163/5	00	49	52
	163/2/4	00	13	12
	163/2/5	00	02	62
	163/2/3	00	17	67
	163/2/2	00	22	40
	163/1/7	00	12	99
	163/1/12	00	23	77
	163/1/11	00	19	02
	163/1/8	00	00	93
	163/1/5	00	22	15
3) PATARLAGADDA	150/2	00	46	12
	150/1	00	05	82
	149	00	43	90
	148/5	00	20	52
	148/4	00	08	88
	148/3	00	09	24
	148/2	00	06	01
	147/6	00	00	12
	148/1	00	00	42
	147/5	00	05	41
	147/4	00	06	79
	147/3	00	18	21
	147/2	00	16	34
	147/1	00	15	64
	63/2B	00	08	73
	63/2A	00	06	56
	63/1D	00	05	43
	63/1A	00	06	01
	62/5	00	11	89
	62/4	00	02	27
4) KARAPA	89/1	00	11	25
	89/2	00	29	63
	91/1	00	47	03
	92	00	61	04
	97	00	06	45
	79	00	28	00
	80/2	00	26	07
	76/2C	00	24	10
	76/1C	00	00	10
	75/3C	00	35	70
	74	00	43	85

1	2	3	4	5
4) KARAPA (Contd)	78	00	23	62
	71/2	00	03	87
	72/2	00	35	17
	15	00	35	50
	12/3	00	41	14
	11/3	00	37	35
	6/9	00	03	90
	6/8	00	08	87
	6/7	00	09	52
	6/4	00	07	66
	6/3	00	06	99
	6/2	00	04	40
	6/1	00	04	19
	7/3	00	01	73
	7/2	00	19	98
	7/1	00	05	10
	8	00	00	40
	26	00	07	15
5) KORUPALLE	144/1	00	38	84
	143	00	06	94
	147	00	00	10
	141/4	00	07	61
	141/1	00	04	74
	137/4	00	45	08
	137/2B	00	13	70
	137/2A	00	14	84
	137/1	00	00	34
	136	00	01	13
	135/2B	00	00	41
	135/2A	00	21	08
	134/7	00	07	52
	134/6	00	06	67
	131/8	00	04	84
	134/5	00	04	02
	131/4	00	15	75
	134/4	00	01	72
	134/3	00	00	20
	131/3	00	08	18
	131/2	00	09	22
	133	00	04	00
	130/4	00	02	88
	130/3	00	06	01

1	2	3	4	5
5) KORUPALLE (Contd)	130/2	00	09	92
	132/2	00	10	85
	132/1	00	01	30
	128/13	00	00	10
	127	00	00	32
	129/12	00	02	82
	129/14	00	06	20
	129/9	00	06	48
	129/13	00	07	51
	129/2	00	04	35
	129/1	00	04	21
	92/3	00	14	51
	95/2	00	06	38
	95/1	00	30	74
	96/1	00	07	18
	98/3	00	09	54
	98/1A	00	06	61
	98/1B	00	06	61
	98/2	00	02	10
	100/2	00	14	62
	109/6	00	18	84
	105	00	14	19
	101/15F	00	04	20
	101/15E	00	04	94
	101/14	00	05	17
	101/11	00	17	53
	101/2	00	07	83
	101/1	00	08	44
	103/3	00	00	33
	103/2	00	19	19
	107	00	06	52
	106	00	05	09
6) Z.BHAVARAM	110/3	00	11	31
	110/4	00	00	10
	110/2	00	17	49
	112	00	10	79
	114	00	11	99
	113/2	00	09	78
	113/1	00	34	21
	119	00	00	86
	117/2	00	02	61
	117/1	00	01	06

1	2	3	4	5
6) ZHIVARABAI (Contd)	118	00	42	43
	126/2	00	42	04
	124/3	00	23	73
	122/2	00	03	90
	123	00	23	36
	124/1	00	18	33
	134	00	04	18
	142/1	00	20	16
	143/1	00	04	43
	143/2	00	06	38
	140	00	31	10
	145/4	00	00	91
	145/3	00	24	32
	145/2	00	03	40
	145/1	00	02	04
	152	00	00	57
	149/1	00	09	44
	149/2	00	11	07
	150/1	00	01	52
7) ARATLAKATTA	255/2	00	09	80
	260/3	00	36	32
	259/4	00	19	20
	259/3	00	20	35
	259/2	00	11	25
	259/1	00	09	08
	203/4	00	05	44
	203/3	00	16	27
	202	00	00	10
	275/6	00	03	35
	275/4	00	15	70
	203/1	00	12	67
	203/2	00	10	76
	276/4	00	04	18
	276/3	00	11	95
	278/2	00	10	95
	278/1	00	01	14
	277	00	63	60
	281	00	00	51
	280	00	03	94
	279/1	00	06	58
	194/1	00	11	22
	194/2	00	07	86

1	2	3	4	5
7) ARATLAKATTA (Contd)	193	00	33	37
	192/4	00	05	73
	326/2	00	03	52
	192/2	00	12	16
	192/1	00	00	10
	327	00	27	56
	328/4	00	16	98
	187/3	00	00	83
	187/2	00	13	10
	187/1	00	07	95
	186	00	17	27
	343	00	43	52
	344/2	00	10	79
	344/1	00	13	68
	62	00	28	22
	61	00	04	83
	60/1A	00	02	07
	59	00	07	07
	50/4	00	08	08
	50/1	00	14	98
	50/3	00	15	00
	51/7	00	06	10
	51/8	00	20	49
	52/12	00	02	05
	53	00	14	42
	52/6	00	13	28
	52/3	00	00	22
	52/1	00	11	47
	52/2	00	07	38
	42	00	10	87
	35/4	00	18	21
	35/8	00	15	06
	35/6	00	05	81
	35/5	00	11	73
	36/3	00	07	57
	36/2	00	20	09
	35/1	00	00	10
	36/1	00	03	95
	28	00	00	40
	37	00	03	48
	5/5	00	08	89
	7/3	00	00	10

1	2	3	4	5
7) ARATLAKATTA (Contd)	7/1	00	14	01
	5/4	00	12	45
	5/1	00	08	80
	6	00	12	90
	2/3	00	10	90
	2/4	00	00	10
	2/1	00	03	20
	1	00	03	35

Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk: PEDAPUDI	District: EAST GODAVARI	State: ANDHRA PRADESH
1) KARAKUDURU	136	00 05 22
	133/14B	00 06 10
	133/1	00 39 45
	133/4	00 03 70
	133/10B	00 01 05
	133/12	00 12 65
2) ACHYUTAPURATRIAM	238	00 40 54
	237/2B	00 09 70
	237/1B2	00 02 68
	236/1B1	00 00 85
	237/1B/1C	00 24 55
	236/1A	00 00 80
	236/2B/1	00 09 42
	235/1B3	00 28 00
	235/1B2	00 11 17
	235/1B1	00 03 50
	235/1A	00 02 40
	240	00 01 10
	241	00 07 80
	242/10	00 03 11
	242/9	00 08 91
	242/5	00 04 35
	242/4	00 05 04
	245/6	00 15 00
	245/5	00 03 44
	245/4	00 00 15
	245/1	00 12 96
	244	00 03 57
	253	00 15 95
	254/1	00 04 87
	256/4	00 12 92
	247/9	00 08 74
	247/10	00 27 42
	248/10	00 18 92

1	2	3	4	5
2) ACHYUTAPURATHAM (Contd)	200/3	00	18	78
	200/5	00	05	39
	200/4	00	11	27
	200/2	00	18	40
	252/4	00	00	60
	252/7	00	19	09
	252/6	00	03	63
	252/1	00	12	02
	251/14	00	07	51
	251/13	00	09	49
	251/12	00	01	50
	251/11	00	07	62
	251/10	00	07	54
	200/1	00	79	81
	284	00	91	71
	287/2	00	47	50
	288	00	47	91
	289/2	00	26	27
	290	00	04	26
	385	00	10	57
	299	00	12	25
	383	00	03	43
	300/1	00	36	11
	382/2	00	04	71
	381/10	00	00	19
	301/1	00	70	46
	300	00	00	61
	377	00	02	12
	302	00	11	87
	371	01	00	46
	372/1	00	20	27
	372/2	00	04	67
	374/8	00	02	99
	373	00	05	81

Mandal/Taluk/Taluka	SHRIKANTH GURUPURAM	SHRIKANTH GURUPURAM	SHRIKANTH GURUPURAM	SHRIKANTH GURUPURAM
1) MADHAVAPATNAM	165/12	00	38	91
	160/16	00	00	61
	160/17	00	12	72
	166	00	28	73
	165/16	00	24	16
	165/13	00	04	79
	165/6	00	22	15
	165/5	00	43	34

1	2	3	4	5
1) MADHAVAPATNAM (Contd)	162/2	00	00	54
	165/4	00	15	02
	164/1/2	00	00	10
	164/1/1	00	39	91
	158	00	02	10
	156/1	00	42	48
	155/2	00	16	75
	155/1	00	24	38
	148	00	02	13
	145/2A	00	04	21
	145/2B	00	13	62
	146/1	00	23	23
	146/2	00	13	35
	144/1	00	12	02
	144/2	00	51	52
2) BOYANAPUDI	47/4	00	36	13
	48/1	00	25	77
	49/6	00	15	45
	49/5	00	20	48
	49/2	00	27	35
	51/2	00	43	78
	51/3	00	00	10
	60	00	17	75
	61	00	44	40
	67/5	00	37	24
	67/6	00	27	85
	68/5	00	35	47
	68/2	00	01	70
	68/3	00	13	37
	69	00	36	33
	84/3	00	26	13
	85	00	10	10
	86	00	25	30
	87	00	53	55
	97/2	00	49	80
	95/1A	00	35	10
	95/2B	00	01	10
	97/1G	00	09	62
	97/1F	00	09	66
	97/1E	00	07	26
	98	00	00	55
	99/4	00	00	55

1	2	3	4	5
2) BOYANAPUDI (Contd)	99/5	00	11	70
3) MASILLADURDI	4/1	00	29	30
	4/2	00	01	30
	5/1	00	33	78
	6/3	00	08	64
	5/2	00	00	82
	In Svy. No. 90	00	14	89
	10	00	07	75
	16	00	34	51
	10/24	00	23	72
	10/23	00	02	50
	10/2	00	18	04
	10/1	00	02	28
	19	00	19	39
	20	00	16	26
	34/3	00	47	15
	20/2	00	06	13
	20/1	00	40	98
	20/1	00	20	48
	20/2	00	16	49
	20/3	00	05	21
	26	00	32	06
	53	00	31	67
	52	00	11	87
	55	00	14	52
	50/1	00	07	33
	61	00	06	39
	62	00	49	74
	75	00	04	58
	76	00	21	19
	78	00	62	96
	76/2/2B	00	25	95
	76/1	00	06	36
	77/1	00	78	79
	77/2	00	09	74
4) VALLURU	101	00	23	83
	100/4	00	07	19
	100/3	00	06	09
	100/2	00	05	57
	100/1	00	13	39
	100/2	00	13	11
	100/2C	00	06	26

अनुसूची

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक : कालीडीन्डी	जिला : कृष्णा	राज्य : आन्ध्र प्रदेश		
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे सं. / सब डिविजन सं.	आर.ओ.यू. अर्जित करने के लिए बेतुफल		
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	सि एयर
1	2	3	4	5
1) कोन्डंगी	1	00	03	70
	गट नंबर 1 में उप्पटेरु नदी	00	02	03
2) अमरावती	1	00	28	42
	2	00	32	00
	3	00	15	28
	4	00	11	75
3) कालीडीन्डी	127	00	03	28
	128	00	39	45
	132	00	06	22
	133	01	48	00
	136	00	03	98
	137	00	32	43
	138	00	52	69
	154	00	29	34
	155	00	39	16
	172	00	94	11
	173	00	01	02
	174	00	12	95
	645	00	69	94
	654	00	08	79
	655	00	35	21
	656	00	18	56
	657	00	05	35
	660	00	17	65
	661	00	42	97
	794	00	42	38
	796	00	43	44
	806	00	11	33
	807	00	04	83
	812	01	24	32
	813	00	17	60
	830	00	54	68
	854	00	28	84
	855	00	58	47
	856	00	59	29
	857	00	67	43
	858	00	70	11

1	2	3	4	5
3) कालीटोडा (निरंतर)	863	00	15	47
	1004	00	33	11
	1007	00	07	14
	1008	00	02	76
	1009	00	10	57
	1010	00	01	14
	1017	00	43	36
	1018	00	15	12
	1019	00	41	84
	1020	00	23	64
	1021	00	04	33
	1022	00	25	20
	1023	00	49	33
	1218	00	30	53
	1219	00	39	24
	1222	00	17	45
	1223	00	00	80
	1224	00	20	89
	1228	00	02	75
	1229	00	65	24
	1230	00	19	06
	1231	00	42	55
	1232	00	15	43
	1233	00	19	82
	1249	00	56	46
	1250	00	36	11
	1251	00	27	99
	1271	00	57	87
	1272	00	08	98
	1273	00	01	36
	1274	00	60	08
	1276	00	10	50
	1277	00	10	60
4) सनाल्दावरम	413	00	26	71
	414	00	24	22
	415	00	35	25
	416	00	19	95
	417	00	26	32
	418	00	25	24
	419	00	17	59
	420	00	17	85
	421	00	18	16

1	2	3	4	5
4) सनस्यमन (निरंतर)	422	00	28	22
	423	00	17	11
	424	00	06	58
	425	00	05	18
	426	00	04	54
	428	00	09	73
5) कोरकोलु	62	00	28	92
	63	00	47	53
	68	00	34	40
	70	00	28	51
	71	00	49	24
	72	00	04	61
	73	00	01	96
	339	00	19	65
	340	00	21	67
	344	00	08	61
	345	00	37	19
	359	00	53	71
	360	00	05	65
	361	00	40	18
	367	00	01	56
	371	00	06	37
	372	00	08	72
	373	00	04	24
	374	00	42	11
	375	00	48	65
	377	00	12	87
	378	00	20	70
	379	00	00	23
	380	00	23	91
	381	00	84	28
	382	00	06	96
	383	00	34	66
	384	00	10	43
	386	00	49	72
6) अककुल	4	00	17	73
	6	00	00	36
	8	00	07	16
	9	00	50	85
	मट नंबर 9 में वर्ग केवल	00	01	76
	48	00	00	62
	मट नंबर 48 में नाला	00	04	00

1	2	3	4	5
6) अंक (मिटर)	64	00	26	83
	65	00	09	78
	72	00	30	40
	73	00	05	97
	74	00	27	82
	75	00	07	33
	84	00	41	47
	85	00	34	31
	86	00	00	26
	87	00	28	45
	88	00	05	15
	92	00	31	65
	93	00	07	05
7) कोड	15	00	20	88
	16	00	48	51
	20	00	10	87
	21	00	25	79

संख्या/ कोड/ लघु संकेत	विवरण	संख्या/ कोड/ लघु संकेत	विवरण
1) प्रारंभिक	22	00	15
	23	00	36
	33	00	01
	34	00	07
	35	00	44
	39	00	45
	40	00	22
	43	00	28
	44	00	37
	51	00	65
	54	00	36
	56	00	28
	57	00	05
	60	00	25
	109	00	36
	113	00	21
	114	00	22
	116	00	55
	119	00	19
	120	00	18
	122	00	10
	123	00	32
	124	00	38
	125	00	32
	127	00	02

1	2	3	4	5
2) बोम्बिनमपाडु	423	00	14	77
	426	00	78	91
	432	00	07	56
	435	00	59	99
	443	00	58	11
	445	00	07	20
	452	00	66	41
	461	00	92	92
	468	00	06	27
3) कोरगुन्टपालेम	121	00	46	49
	125	00	07	57
	134	00	98	24
	135	00	56	54
	139	00	02	47
4) इडिपल्ले	51	00	55	64
	52	00	01	13
	गट नंबर 52 में नदी	00	03	06
	53	00	07	29
	गट नंबर 53 में नदी	00	00	40
	54	00	13	92
	62	00	07	16
	गट नंबर 62 में नदी	00	02	29
	67	00	35	33
	68	00	16	09
	69	00	20	49
	73	00	25	51
	76	00	44	09
	90	00	16	34
	91	00	25	22
	92	00	44	29
	94	00	00	10
	95	00	46	98
	96	00	19	89
	97	00	42	44
5) पेदगोनूरु	6	00	74	77
	20	00	05	63
	21	00	26	53
	22	00	26	72
	23	00	27	19
	29	00	31	83
	32	00	09	02
	33	00	03	44

1	2	3	4	5
5) पेदावल्कि (भारत)	34	00	29	08
	46	00	08	54
	49	00	25	76
	53	00	08	86
	55	00	76	47
	56	00	30	44
	57	00	05	57
	61	00	22	27
	62	00	15	38
	गट नंबर 62 में पेदावल्कि चेख	00	26	10
	70	00	27	50
	71	00	10	12
	72	00	34	18
	86	01	98	58
	93	00	18	68
	94	00	08	63
	95	00	15	64
	564	00	07	00
	570	00	39	54
	571	00	13	22
	572	00	85	25
	573	00	25	14
	577	00	27	77
	578	00	44	45
	579	00	14	86
	580	00	22	25
	581	00	05	43
	588	00	11	01
6) कोमल	160/7	00	01	33
	161	00	64	51
	162	01	01	38
	167	00	41	10
	172/1	00	00	11
7) चेख	गट नंबर 92 में चेख	00	00	10
	39	00	26	31
	42	00	01	35
	43	00	77	41
	गट नंबर 43 में तलाव	00	01	87
	44	00	10	63
	45	00	51	98
	46	00	34	09
	48	00	05	24

1	2	3	4	5
7) चेन्नई (Tamil)	49	00	14	16
	गट नंबर 49 में नाला	00	04	79
	51	01	00	55
	52	00	04	76
	62	00	27	37
	63	00	00	29
	88	00	32	21
	89	00	00	10
	90	00	26	64
	91	00	37	51
	92	00	08	12
	94	00	00	43
	101	00	55	49
	102	00	03	94
	103	00	10	70
	106	00	08	30
	107	00	10	08
	109	00	32	13
	110	00	30	64
8) काकरवाडा	2	00	02	80
	गट नंबर 2 में नाला	00	01	45
	3	00	00	12
	गट नंबर 3 में नाला	00	00	10
	4	00	37	61
	5	00	36	11
	6	00	03	91
	8	00	05	91
	9	00	27	47
	10	00	36	91
	11	00	22	51
	16	00	40	22
	17	00	33	37
	18	00	09	50
	19	00	42	95
	20	00	42	23
	84	00	20	82
9) मुदीनेपल्लि	359	00	28	99
	360	00	49	99
	362	00	22	03
	363	00	23	08
	366	00	03	32
	गट नंबर 369 में नाला	00	02	66

1	2	3	4	5
9) कुर्नेयल (निरंतर)	372	00	00	14
	373	00	40	00
	374	00	08	88
	376	00	17	97
	377	00	13	82
	378	00	32	74
	379	00	30	54
	382	00	00	15
	388	00	34	04
	391	00	16	04
	393	00	07	95
	394	00	42	92
	395	00	02	49
10) पेनुमल्लि	33	00	18	61
	34	00	62	22
	38	00	37	50
	39	00	13	23
	40	00	06	38
	42	00	03	85
	43	00	53	34
	44	00	36	78
	45	00	00	59
	92	00	13	54
	96	00	01	97
	97	00	39	07
	98	00	18	21
	99	00	10	12
	102	00	13	25
	103	00	02	57
	108	00	11	84
	120	00	08	46
	121	00	48	30
	122	00	35	28
	123	00	00	62
	124	00	03	66
	गट नंबर 124 में रास्ता	00	08	12
	125	00	43	90
	126	00	01	97
11) सन्कर्षनापुरम	33	00	01	72
	34	00	05	10
	36	00	21	98
	49	00	10	54

1	2	3	4	5
11) सन्तर्जनापुर (निरंतर)	50	00	38	92
	51	00	03	77
	52	00	58	85
	57	00	11	86
	58	00	12	87
	59	00	39	04
	60	00	06	85
	62	00	00	26

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक : गुडलकल्लोर	जिला : कुण्डा	राज्य : आन्ध्र प्रदेश
1) विन्नाकोट	102	00 03 19
	103	00 21 28
	104	00 21 17
	105	00 22 08
	106	00 16 30
	108	00 50 78
	109	00 01 08
	117	00 00 32
	118	00 52 52
	120	00 50 83
	121	00 91 87
	127	00 07 34
	128	00 24 05
	133	00 00 64
	473	00 26 68
	481	00 00 59
	483	00 28 45
	484	00 07 77
	गट नंबर 484 में नदी	00 21 01
	485	00 07 62
	486	00 65 34
	497	00 00 10
	498	00 27 79
	499	00 26 83
	500	00 08 14
	501	00 36 19
2) चन्द्राला	71	00 10 39
	गट नंबर 71 में नाला	00 01 58
	72	00 01 95
	गट नंबर 72 में नाला	00 03 72
	73	00 27 52
	75	00 52 25
	76	00 05 45
	78	00 02 41

1	2	3	4	5
2) चन्द्रमाला (चन्द्रिका)	81	00	00	13
	82	00	34	92
	83	00	24	41
	84	00	04	62
	85	00	49	80
	94	00	13	16
	172	00	05	84
	174	00	06	29
	175	00	03	26
	180	00	04	75
	181	00	43	64
	185	00	31	18
	186	00	55	21
	187	00	20	29
	189	00	30	92
	190	00	12	48
	191	00	07	84
3) अञ्जलि	657	00	13	74
	523	00	26	13
	524	00	39	56
	526	00	03	83
	559	00	16	53
	560	00	10	02
	561	00	07	80
	564	00	22	79
	565	00	26	51
	566	00	08	41
	567	00	48	37
	568	00	16	30
	572	00	00	10
	576	00	43	62
	581	00	11	04
	582	00	35	86
	583	00	09	00
	584	00	02	16
	585	00	39	67
	586	00	07	66
	595	00	09	11
	596	00	20	16
	597	00	40	66
	598	00	10	19
	599	00	44	85

1	2	3	4	5
3) अमरावती (निरंतर)	600	00	14	74
	602	00	20	10
	658	00	05	33
	659	00	08	56
	660	00	40	24
	661	00	27	57
	667	00	22	99
	669	00	38	51
	670	00	49	77
	671	00	02	30
	672	00	43	33
	673	00	03	08
	675	00	23	62
4) वेमगुन्या	3	00	00	22
	गट नंबर 3 में नाला	00	00	10
	4	00	03	18
	गट नंबर 4 में नाला	00	01	23
	13	00	06	74
	14	00	40	85
	16	00	35	65
	18	00	75	63
	19	00	00	86
	24	00	01	16
	25	00	29	25
	27	00	17	49
	गट नंबर 27 में नाला	00	03	97
	28	00	25	39
	29	00	40	84
5) पेन्जोला	75	00	08	79
	गट नंबर 75 में नाला	00	03	98
	84	00	36	92
	85	00	32	30
	86	00	13	36
	87	00	00	46
	88	00	44	86
	102	00	21	98
	103	00	35	15
	105	00	05	61
	217	00	11	29
	218	00	16	03
	219	00	28	35
	225	00	28	49

1	2	3	4	5
5) पेन्जेंट (निरंतर)	226	00	16	50
	227	00	15	09
	228	00	17	49
	229	00	02	54
	गट नंबर 229 में नाला	00	05	78
	230	00	12	20
	231	00	15	56
	233	00	20	15
	235	00	50	28
	238	00	04	97
	240	00	01	20
	298	00	27	91
	299	00	14	63
	300	00	25	89
	301	00	18	27
	304	00	01	15
	305	00	14	91
	306	00	04	63
	गट नंबर 306 में रास्ता	00	11	85
	309	00	27	46
	310	00	29	74
	316	00	19	83
	317	00	60	68
	359	00	40	70
	360	00	05	90
	गट नंबर 360 में नाला	00	02	90
	361	00	09	39
	362	00	24	94
	363	00	47	41
	367	00	05	47
	368	00	24	37
	369	00	33	97
	370	00	13	37
	377	00	17	20
	378	00	05	09
	379	00	34	71
	380	00	10	78
	381	00	05	27
	384	00	00	27
	385	00	03	11

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक इपामारू	जिला इकुष्णा	राज्य : आन्ध्र प्रदेश
1) रिम्नापुडी	82	00 18 53
	83	00 17 71

1	2	3	4	5
1) रिम्नापुरी (निरत)	84	00	07	13
	85	00	39	58
	87	00	00	97
	95	00	67	30
	102	00	04	05
	103	00	08	69
	104	00	56	70
	149	00	34	87
	150	00	79	89
	151	00	06	36
	162	00	31	01
	163	00	27	80
	164	00	13	33
	165	00	09	41
	167	00	00	28
	168	00	22	76
	169	00	31	11
	170	00	04	41
	171	00	07	95
	180	00	38	56
2) कोन्हीपास	174	00	31	55
	175	00	00	33
	181	00	05	19
	182	00	29	86
	183	00	20	89
	184	00	22	41
	185	00	50	66
	187	00	05	10
	189	00	23	41
	197	00	21	90
	198	00	00	10
3) रापली	12	00	37	70
	14	00	14	11
	15	00	43	04
	16	00	15	38
	17	00	19	57
	19	00	03	28
	गट नंबर 19 में रास्ता	00	04	18
	23	00	00	10
	24	00	98	76
	28	00	07	33
	29	00	57	35

1	2	3	4	5
3) बराली (मिहिर)	30	00	18	31
	33	00	03	17
	35	00	32	84
	36	00	01	89
	गट नंबर 45 में नाला	00	09	04
	49	00	03	08
	50	00	82	05
	52	00	52	51
	53	00	16	34
	54	00	00	29
	56	00	00	10
	57	00	59	17
	59	00	26	63

मंडल/ तहसिल/ सतुक संख्या	जिला संख्या	राज्य	अन्य प्रदेश
1) बराली (मिहिर)	510	00	01 67
	511	00	49 37
	512	00	26 73
	513	00	33 03
	514	00	06 58
	517	00	05 52
	520	00	30 63
	533	00	14 05
	534	00	46 40
	535	00	00 28
	539	00	15 69
	540	00	48 41
	541	00	02 29
	544	00	15 36
	552	00	16 85
	553	00	01 91
	554	00	58 03
	555	00	13 42
	556	00	13 88
	557	00	02 73
	561	00	39 69
	562	00	10 38
	563	00	24 09
	564	00	04 92
	565	00	31 50
	579	00	10 18
2) बराली (मिहिर)	7	00	47 84
	10	00	04 85
	गट नंबर 10 में रोड	00	02 84

1	2	3	4	5
2) बरौली (मिहिर)	13	00	45	17
	15	00	39	30
	16	00	30	18
	17	00	27	51
	19	00	01	79
	27	00	28	81
	28	00	02	76
	91	00	13	04
	गट नंबर 91 में नदी	00	06	00
	94	00	23	53
	95	00	38	15
	101	00	26	23
	102	00	06	54
	103	00	04	82
मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक : पमिडीमुक्कला	जिला : कृष्णा	राज्य : आन्ध्र प्रदेश		
1) मामिल्लापल्ले	103	00	09	50
	104	00	08	73
	110	00	22	43
	111	01	04	49
2) पेनुमत्ता	8	00	00	86
	13	00	04	28
	14	00	30	33
	15	00	41	66
	16	00	01	82
	गट नंबर 16 में नाला	00	01	75
	17	00	05	03
	गट नंबर 17 में नाला	00	04	42
	18	00	50	41
	19	00	00	14
	25	00	37	35
3) कृष्णापुरम	144	00	12	77
	146	00	22	00
	147	00	45	73
	148	00	27	13
	149	00	01	58
	150	00	28	70
	151	00	03	80
	153	00	50	00
	154	00	08	36
	155	00	36	36
	166	00	13	53
	170	00	08	42
	172	00	04	86

1	2	3	4	5
3) कुन्नापुर (वित्त)	181	00	38	37
	182	00	09	95
	183	00	20	53
	184	00	00	12
	329	00	13	33
	331	00	49	81
	332	00	09	94
	334	00	55	89
	335	00	11	22
	336	00	00	98
	341	00	12	12
	343	00	06	13
	344	00	47	77
	347	00	09	43
	349	00	48	99
	351	00	04	10
	352	00	43	63
	358	00	23	46
	359	00	36	59
	360	00	07	10
4) जोगगुडी	5	00	67	71
	6	00	49	70
	7	00	14	58
	32	00	07	76
	33	00	46	49
	40	00	41	75
	343	00	19	91
	344	00	45	61
5) कुडेल	130	00	27	30
	133	00	06	65
	143	00	04	17
	145	00	08	77
	146	00	25	86
	151	00	24	55
	152	00	35	21
	153	00	36	14
	154	00	02	08
	158	00	35	44
	166	00	35	44
	167	00	25	83
	169	00	17	65
	175	00	15	05

1	2	3	4	5
5) कुंदेल (विशेष)	176	00	40	63
	178	00	37	84
	189	00	33	31
	190	00	13	85
	192	00	05	20
	193	00	09	57
	233	00	16	86
	गट नंबर 233 में नवी	00	03	20
	234	00	24	37
	235	00	05	67
	236	00	22	75
	239	00	40	59
	243	00	04	88
	गट नंबर 243 में नवी	00	02	69
	244	00	19	23
	245	00	13	90
	309	00	24	91
	347	00	28	69
6) ईनापुरा	31	00	41	13
	97	00	08	94
7) लंकापल्लि	4	00	17	31
	गट नंबर 4 में नवी	00	05	67
	144	00	28	98
	154	00	04	86
	155	00	24	99
	156	00	00	10
	157	00	36	73
	गट नंबर 145 और गैर गैर की सीमा के बीच में	00	04	83

[सं. सं. एस-14014/1991/आ.सी.
कोलोकास; अमर-समिति]

New Delhi, the 28th June, 2000

S. O. 1894.—Whereas it appears to Government of India that it is necessary in public interest that for transportation of natural gas from tap off point of Kakinada – Hyderabad – Uran – Ahmedabad trunk gas pipeline at Vijayawada in Andhra Pradesh of M/s Reliance Gas Transportation Infrastructure Limited to consumers in various parts of the country, a pipeline should be laid by M/s Relogistics Infrastructure Limited;

And whereas, it appears to Government of India that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which are described in the Schedule annexed here to;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), Government of India hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of Right of User therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri M.A. Gaffar, Competent Authority, Relogistics Infrastructure Limited, House No. 59-1-18/3, Opp Road to Maries Stella College, Bus Route No. 5, Ramachandra Nagar, Vijayawada - 520 003, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh State.

Schedule

Mandals/Taluk/Taluk/Mandal		District: Krishna		State: Andhra Pradesh		
Village	Survey No. / Sub-Division No.	Area to be acquired for R&N				
		Hec	Ac	C-Ac		
I	2	3	4	5		
1) Kondangi	1	00	03	70		
	Upputeru River in Gat No. I	00	02	03		
2) Amaravati	1	00	28	42		
	2	00	32	00		
	3	00	15	28		
	4	00	11	75		
3) Kalidindi	127	00	03	28		
	128	00	39	45		
	132	00	06	22		
	133	01	48	00		
	136	00	03	98		
	137	00	32	43		
	138	00	52	69		
	154	00	29	34		
	155	00	39	16		
	172	00	94	11		
	173	00	01	02		
	174	00	12	95		
	645	00	69	94		
	654	00	08	79		
	655	00	35	21		
	656	00	18	56		
	657	00	05	35		
	660	00	17	65		
	661	00	42	97		
	794	00	42	38		
	796	00	43	44		
	806	00	11	33		
	807	00	04	83		
	812	01	24	32		
	813	00	17	60		
	830	00	54	68		
	854	00	28	84		
	855	00	58	47		
	856	00	59	29		
	857	00	67	43		
	858	00	70	11		

1	2	3	4	5
3) Kallidindi (Contd)	863	00	15	47
	1004	00	33	11
	1007	00	07	14
	1008	00	02	76
	1009	00	10	57
	1010	00	01	14
	1017	00	43	36
	1018	00	15	12
	1019	00	41	84
	1020	00	23	64
	1021	00	04	33
	1022	00	25	20
	1023	00	49	33
	1218	00	30	53
	1219	00	39	24
	1222	00	17	45
	1223	00	00	80
	1224	00	20	89
	1228	00	02	75
	1229	00	65	24
	1230	00	19	06
	1231	00	42	55
	1232	00	15	43
	1233	00	19	82
	1249	00	56	46
	1250	00	36	11
	1251	00	27	99
	1271	00	57	87
	1272	00	08	98
	1273	00	01	36
	1274	00	60	08
	1276	00	10	50
	1277	00	10	60
4) Sanarudravaram	413	00	26	71
	414	00	24	22
	415	00	35	25
	416	00	19	95
	417	00	26	32
	418	00	25	24
	419	00	17	59
	420	00	17	85
	421	00	18	16

1	2	3	4	5
4) Sanandhravaram (Contd)	422	00	28	22
	423	00	17	11
	424	00	06	58
	425	00	05	18
	426	00	04	54
	428	00	09	73
5) Korukollu	62	00	28	92
	63	00	47	53
	68	00	34	40
	70	00	28	51
	71	00	49	24
	72	00	04	61
	73	00	01	96
	339	00	19	65
	340	00	21	67
	344	00	08	61
	345	00	37	19
	359	00	53	71
	360	00	05	65
	361	00	40	18
	367	00	01	56
	371	00	06	37
	372	00	08	72
	373	00	04	24
	374	00	42	11
	375	00	40	65
	377	00	12	87
	378	00	20	70
	379	00	00	23
	380	00	23	91
	381	00	24	28
	382	00	06	96
	383	00	34	66
	384	00	10	43
	386	00	49	72
6) Avakuru	4	00	17	73
	6	00	00	36
	8	00	07	16
	9	00	50	85
	Dharma Cheruvu in Gat No.9	00	01	76
	48	00	08	62
	Nala in Gat No.48	00	04	09

1	2	3	4	5
6) Avulavara (Contd)	64	00	26	83
	65	00	09	78
	72	00	30	40
	73	00	05	97
	74	00	27	82
	75	00	07	33
	84	00	41	47
	85	00	34	31
	86	00	00	26
	87	00	28	45
	88	00	25	15
	92	00	31	65
	93	00	07	05

7) Kotcherla	15	00	20	88
	16	00	48	51
	20	00	10	87
	21	00	25	79

Manal/Tehsil/Taluk/Mandal/Munipalli	Manal/Tehsil/Taluk/Mandal/Munipalli	Manal/Tehsil/Taluk/Mandal/Munipalli	Manal/Tehsil/Taluk/Mandal/Munipalli	Manal/Tehsil/Taluk/Mandal/Munipalli
1) Mutakalapalli	22	00	15	00
	23	00	36	26
	33	00	01	76
	34	00	07	51
	35	00	44	36
	39	00	45	89
	40	00	22	89
	48	00	28	82
	44	00	37	70
	51	00	65	81
	54	00	36	74
	56	00	28	80
	57	00	05	38
	60	00	25	69
	109	00	36	73
	113	00	21	97
	114	00	22	06
	116	00	55	09
	119	00	19	93
	120	00	18	65
	122	00	10	24
	123	00	32	21
	124	00	38	53
	125	00	32	74
	127	00	02	51

1	2	3	4	5
2) Bomminampadu	423	00	14	77
	426	00	78	91
	432	00	07	56
	435	00	59	99
	443	00	58	11
	445	00	07	20
	452	00	66	41
	461	00	92	92
	468	00	06	27
3) Korraguntapalem	121	00	46	49
	125	00	07	57
	134	00	98	24
	135	00	56	54
	139	00	02	47
4) Idepalle	51	00	55	64
	52	00	01	13
	River in Gat No.52	00	03	06
	53	00	07	29
	River in Gat No.53	00	00	40
	54	00	13	92
	62	00	07	16
	River in Gat No.62	00	02	29
	67	00	35	33
	68	00	16	09
	69	00	20	49
	73	00	25	51
	76	00	44	09
	90	00	16	34
	91	00	25	22
	92	00	44	29
	94	00	00	10
	95	00	46	98
	96	00	19	89
	97	00	42	44
5) Peddagonnuru	6	00	74	77
	20	00	05	63
	21	00	26	53
	22	00	26	72
	23	00	27	19
	29	00	31	83
	32	00	09	02
	33	00	03	44

1	2	3	4	5
5) Peddagermala (Contd)	34	00	29	08
	40	00	00	54
	49	00	25	76
	53	00	00	88
	55	00	76	47
	56	00	30	44
	57	00	05	57
	61	00	22	27
	62	00	15	38
	Peddabattla-Chowwara (Dist No. 62)	00	28	10
	70	00	27	50
	71	00	10	12
	72	00	34	18
	80	01	90	52
	93	00	18	60
	94	00	00	66
	95	00	15	64
	564	00	07	00
	570	00	39	54
	571	00	18	22
	572	00	80	25
	573	00	25	14
	577	00	27	77
	578	00	44	45
	579	00	14	80
	580	00	22	25
	581	00	00	43
	582	00	13	01
6) Komara	1007	00	01	33
	104	00	64	53
	105	01	01	38
	107	00	41	10
	1721	00	00	13
7) Chevana	Chevana (Dist No. 92)	00	00	10
	39	00	25	33
	42	00	01	35
	43	00	77	44
	Pondur (Dist No. 45)	00	01	87
	44	00	10	66
	45	00	53	98
	46	00	34	00
	48	00	00	24

11	12	13	14	15
7) Chavara (Contd)				
	48	000	114	116
	Nala in Ger No:49	000	004	789
	51	001	000	555
	52	000	004	776
	62	000	227	337
	63	000	000	29
	88	000	32	21
	89	000	000	110
	90	000	226	664
	91	000	337	51
	92	000	08	112
	94	000	000	43
	101	000	555	449
	102	000	003	994
	103	000	110	770
	106	000	008	330
	107	000	110	008
	109	000	332	113
	110	000	330	664
8) Kakaravada				
	2	000	002	880
	Nala in Ger No:2	000	001	445
	3	000	000	112
	Nala in Ger No:3	000	000	110
	4	000	337	661
	5	000	326	111
	6	000	003	991
	8	000	005	991
	9	000	227	447
	10	000	326	991
	11	000	222	551
	16	000	440	222
	17	000	333	337
	18	000	409	560
	19	000	442	995
	20	000	442	223
	24	000	320	882
9) Mudioppalli				
	259	000	228	009
	260	000	449	009
	262	000	222	003
	263	000	223	008
	266	000	003	332
	Nala in Ger No:269	000	002	666

1	2	3	4	5
9) Mudinepalli (Contd)	372	00	00	14
	373	00	40	00
	374	00	08	88
	376	00	17	97
	377	00	13	82
	378	00	32	74
	379	00	30	54
	382	00	00	15
	388	00	34	04
	391	00	16	04
	393	00	07	95
	394	00	42	92
	395	00	02	49
10) Penumalli	33	00	18	61
	34	00	62	22
	38	00	37	50
	39	00	13	23
	40	00	06	38
	42	00	03	85
	43	00	53	34
	44	00	36	78
	45	00	00	59
	92	00	13	54
	96	00	01	97
	97	00	39	07
	98	00	18	21
	99	00	10	12
	102	00	13	25
	103	00	02	57
	108	00	11	84
	120	00	08	46
	121	00	48	30
	122	00	35	28
	123	00	00	62
	124	00	03	66
	Cart Track in Gat No.124	00	08	12
	125	00	43	90
	126	00	01	97
11) Sankarshana Puram	33	00	01	72
	34	00	05	10
	36	00	21	98
	49	00	10	54

1	2	3	4	5
14) Sankarshana Puram (Contd)	50	00	38	92
	51	00	03	77
	52	00	58	85
	57	00	11	86
	58	00	12	87
	59	00	39	04
	60	00	06	85
	62	00	00	26

Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk:Gudiavalleru	District:Krishna	State:Andhra Pradesh
1) Vinnakota	102	00 03 19
	103	00 21 28
	104	00 21 17
	105	00 22 08
	106	00 16 30
	108	00 50 78
	109	00 01 08
	117	00 00 32
	118	00 52 52
	120	00 50 83
	121	00 91 87
	127	00 07 34
	128	00 24 05
	133	00 00 64
	473	00 26 68
	481	00 00 59
	483	00 28 45
	484	00 07 77
	River in Gat No.484	00 21 01
	485	00 07 62
	486	00 65 34
	497	00 00 10
	498	00 27 79
	499	00 26 83
	500	00 08 14
	501	00 36 19
2) Chandrala	71	00 10 39
	Nala in Gat No.71	00 01 58
	72	00 01 95
	Nala in Gat No.72	00 03 72
	73	00 27 52
	75	00 52 25
	76	00 05 45
	78	00 02 41

1	2	3	4	5
2) Ghendehle (Contd)	81	80	80	183
	82	80	84	82
	83	80	84	41
	84	80	84	62
	85	80	89	80
	84	80	183	46
	172	80	85	84
	174	80	86	29
	175	80	83	26
	180	80	84	75
	181	80	43	44
	185	80	31	188
	186	80	55	21
	187	80	20	29
	189	80	80	92
	190	80	12	48
	191	80	07	84
3) Angaluru	557	80	183	74
	523	80	26	183
	524	80	89	86
	526	80	83	83
	559	80	46	53
	560	80	10	02
	561	80	07	80
	564	80	22	79
	565	80	26	51
	566	80	88	41
	567	80	88	37
	568	80	46	30
	572	80	80	10
	576	80	83	02
	581	80	11	84
	582	80	35	26
	583	80	89	80
	584	80	82	46
	585	80	89	67
	586	80	07	66
	595	80	89	11
	596	80	20	46
	597	80	40	46
	598	80	10	49
	599	80	44	85

1	2	3	4	5
33) [REDACTED]	688	00	14	74
	689	00	20	10
	690	00	05	35
	691	00	08	56
	692	00	40	24
	693	00	27	57
	694	00	22	99
	695	00	38	51
	696	00	49	77
	697	00	02	30
	698	00	43	33
	699	00	05	08
	700	00	25	62
4) [REDACTED]	3	00	00	22
	Nakim-Gat No.3	00	00	10
	4	00	03	18
	Nakim-Gat No.4	00	04	23
	13	00	06	74
	14	00	48	85
	15	00	35	65
	16	00	75	65
	17	00	00	86
	28	00	01	16
	29	00	29	25
	27	00	17	49
	Nakim-Gat No.27	00	03	97
	28	00	25	39
	29	00	48	84
5) [REDACTED]	75	00	00	79
	Nakim-Gat No.75	00	06	98
	84	00	36	92
	85	00	32	38
	86	00	13	36
	87	00	00	46
	88	00	44	86
	102	00	21	98
	103	00	35	15
	105	00	05	64
	217	00	11	29
	218	00	16	65
	219	00	23	35
	225	00	23	49

1	2	3	4	5
5) Penjendra (Contd)	226	00	16	50
	227	00	15	09
	228	00	17	49
	229	00	02	54
	Nala in Gat No.229	00	05	78
	230	00	12	20
	231	00	15	56
	233	00	20	15
	235	00	50	28
	238	00	04	97
	240	00	01	20
	298	00	27	91
	299	00	14	63
	300	00	25	89
	301	00	18	27
	304	00	01	15
	305	00	14	91
	306	00	04	63
	Cart Track in Gat No.306	00	11	85
	309	00	27	46
	310	00	29	74
	316	00	19	83
	317	00	60	68
	359	00	40	70
	360	00	05	90
	Nala in Gat No.360	00	02	90
	361	00	09	39
	362	00	24	94
	363	00	47	41
	367	00	05	47
	368	00	24	37
	369	00	33	97
	370	00	13	37
	377	00	17	20
	378	00	05	09
	379	00	34	71
	380	00	10	78
	381	00	05	27
	384	00	00	27
	385	00	03	11

Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk:Pamaru	District:Krishna	State:Andhra Pradesh
1) Rimmanapudi	82	00 18 53
	83	00 17 71

1	2	3	4	5
1) Rimmanapudi (Contd)	84	00	07	13
	85	00	39	58
	87	00	00	97
	95	00	67	30
	102	00	04	05
	103	00	08	69
	104	00	56	70
	149	00	34	87
	150	00	79	89
	151	00	06	36
	162	00	31	01
	163	00	27	80
	164	00	13	33
	165	00	09	41
	167	00	00	28
	168	00	22	76
	169	00	31	11
	170	00	04	41
	171	00	07	95
	180	00	38	56
2) Kondiparru	174	00	31	55
	175	00	00	33
	181	00	05	19
	182	00	29	86
	183	00	20	89
	184	00	22	41
	185	00	50	66
	187	00	05	10
	189	00	23	41
	197	00	21	90
	198	00	00	10
3) Raparla	12	00	37	70
	14	00	14	11
	15	00	43	04
	16	00	15	38
	17	00	19	57
	19	00	03	28
	Cart Track in Gat No.19	00	04	18
	23	00	00	10
	24	00	98	76
	28	00	07	33
	29	00	57	35

1	2	3	4	5
3) Kaptia (Contd)	30	00	18	31
	33	00	03	17
	35	00	32	84
	36	00	01	89
	Note in Gat No. 45	00	09	04
	49	00	03	08
	50	00	82	05
	52	00	52	51
	53	00	16	34
	54	00	00	29
	56	00	00	10
	57	00	59	17
	59	00	26	63

Mandal/Tahsil/Taluk/Block	District/Division	State/Andhra Pradesh
1) Bhaisapenumarra	510	00 01 67
	511	00 49 37
	512	00 26 73
	513	00 33 03
	514	00 06 58
	517	00 05 52
	520	00 30 63
	533	00 14 05
	534	00 46 40
	535	00 00 28
	539	00 15 69
	540	00 48 41
	541	00 02 29
	544	00 15 36
	552	00 16 85
	553	00 01 91
	554	00 58 03
	555	00 13 42
	556	00 13 88
	557	00 02 73
	561	00 39 69
	562	00 10 38
	563	00 24 09
	564	00 04 92
	565	00 31 50
	579	00 10 18
2) Barlapudi	7	00 47 84
	10	00 04 95
	Road in Gat No. 10	00 02 84

1	2	3	4	5
2) Harlapudi (Contd)	13	00	45	17
	15	00	39	30
	16	00	39	18
	17	00	27	51
	19	00	01	79
	27	00	28	81
	28	00	02	76
	91	00	13	04
	River in Gat No.91	00	06	09
	94	00	23	53
	95	00	38	15
	101	00	26	23
	102	00	06	54
	103	00	04	82
Mandali/Tehsil/Taluk: Pambidimarudala District: Krishna State: Andhra Pradesh				
1) Mamillapalle	103	00	09	50
	104	00	08	73
	110	00	22	43
	111	01	04	49
2) Penumutsa	8	00	00	86
	13	00	04	28
	14	00	30	33
	15	00	41	66
	16	00	01	82
	Nala in Gat No.16	00	01	75
	17	00	05	03
	Nala in Gat No.17	00	04	42
	18	00	50	41
	19	00	00	14
	25	00	37	35
3) Krishnapuram	144	00	12	77
	146	00	22	00
	147	00	45	73
	148	00	27	13
	149	00	01	58
	150	00	28	70
	151	00	03	80
	153	00	50	00
	154	00	08	36
	155	00	36	36
	166	00	13	53
	170	00	08	42
	172	00	04	86

1	2	3	4	5
3) Krishnapuram (Contd)	181	00	38	37
	182	00	09	95
	183	00	20	53
	184	00	00	12
	329	00	13	33
	331	00	49	81
	332	00	09	94
	334	00	55	89
	335	00	11	22
	336	00	00	98
	341	00	12	12
	343	00	06	13
	344	00	47	77
	347	00	09	43
	349	00	48	99
	351	00	04	10
	352	00	43	63
	358	00	23	46
	359	00	36	59
	360	00	07	10
4) Choragudi	5	00	67	71
	6	00	49	70
	7	00	14	58
	32	00	07	76
	33	00	46	49
	40	00	41	75
	343	00	19	91
	344	00	45	61
5) Kuderu	130	00	27	30
	133	00	06	65
	143	00	04	17
	145	00	08	77
	146	00	25	86
	151	00	24	55
	152	00	35	21
	153	00	36	14
	154	00	02	08
	158	00	35	44
	166	00	35	44
	167	00	25	83
	169	00	17	65
	175	00	15	05
	176	00	40	63
	178	00	37	84
	189	00	33	31
	190	00	13	85
	192	00	05	20
	193	00	09	57
	233	00	16	85
	River in Gat No. 233	00	03	20
	234	00	24	37

1	2	3	4	5
5) Kuderu (Contd)	235	00	05	67
	236	00	22	75
	239	00	40	59
	243	00	04	88
	River in Gat No.243	00	02	69
	244	00	19	23
	245	00	13	90
	309	00	24	91
	347	00	28	69
6) Inapuru	31	00	41	13
	97	00	09	94
7) Lankapalli	4	00	17	31
	River in Gat No.4	00	05	67
	144	00	28	98
	154	00	04	86
	155	00	24	99
	156	00	00	10
	157	00	36	73
	In bet Gat no. 145 & VB	00	04	83

[F. No. L-14014/19/2009-G.P.
K.K.SHARMA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 29 जून, 2009

का. आ. 1855.— भारत सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स रिलाएन्स इंडस्ट्रीज लिमिटेड के आन्ध्रप्रदेश में **पूर्वी तट पर ऑनशोर टर्मिनल** से देश के विभिन्न हिस्सों में उपभोक्ताओं तक प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए, मैसर्स रिलोजिसिटिक्स इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड द्वारा **काकिनाडा-वासुदेवपुर-हान्डी पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए;**

और, भारत सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि, उस भूमि में, जिसके भीतर उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, भारत सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उनमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने के लिए उपायोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के संबंध में श्री के. गंगाचलम सक्षम प्राधिकारी, मैसर्स रिलोजिसिटिक्स इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर लिमिटेड, नं. 67-11-21/2, साविसुजा, न्यु सेंचुरी पब्लिक स्कूल के सामने, एल वी नगर, काकिनाडा - 533 003, पूर्वी गोदावरी जिला, आन्ध्र प्रदेश राज्य को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक इत्यादिसी	जिला : पुर्वी मेरठ	राज्य : अन्य प्रदेश		
सर्व का नाम	सर्व सं. / सब विविध सं.	अन्य सं. व अतिरिक्त करने के लिए संख्या		
		केन्द्रीय	एकर	वि एकर
1	2	3	4	5
1) रायिकनपट्ट	269	00	59	35
	270	00	02	30
	273/3	00	01	93
	273/4	00	39	34
	271	00	01	22
	272	00	41	80
	249	00	70	86
	246/8	00	08	42
	226	00	07	97
	228	00	30	80
	227/3	00	28	62
	227/4	00	17	98
	227/2	00	08	45
	230	00	02	71
	148	00	22	23
	147	00	05	33
	149/1	00	00	74
	146	00	22	88
	145	00	07	14
	144/3	00	05	65
	144/4	00	21	99
	143	00	23	52
	123	00	26	26
	122	00	45	73
	118	00	04	14
	117/11	00	16	67
	117/10	00	00	87
	116	00	02	13
	113/8	00	21	34
	113/3	00	01	67
	113/6	00	22	09
	113/5	00	06	34
	113/7	00	16	69
	114/7	00	15	92
	111/1	00	05	14
	111/2	00	00	15
	34/1	00	00	76

1	2	3	4	5
1) लमिअलु (मिअर)	34/2	00	14	31
	34/3	00	31	48
	34/4	00	46	26
	33/1	00	03	82
2) ली.ई.दि.अलुअलेन	329/1	00	00	40
	329/2	00	00	40
	328	00	01	58
	289/1	00	98	42
	271	00	05	25
	301/4	00	04	21
	300/1	00	11	10
	300/2	00	11	47
	302/2	00	01	97
	297/3	00	40	22
	298/4	00	14	03
	298/1	00	04	17
	293	00	01	71
	294/4	00	07	73
	294/5	00	06	20
	292/1	00	04	11
	292/2	00	07	90
	292/3	00	07	90
	291/7	00	20	04
	291/2	00	14	66
	291/3	00	08	07
	289/1	00	05	60
	289/2	00	04	61
	289/3	00	07	17
	288/2	00	13	39
	286	00	63	68
	285	00	01	08
	277	00	04	23
	275	00	08	99
	276	00	37	85
	115	00	41	71
	116	00	07	69
	117	00	32	73
	118	00	03	42
	119/2	00	13	27
	119/3	00	00	50
	119/4	00	11	97
	119/5	00	05	08

1	2	3	4	5
2) पी.ई.विन्ध्यपालेम (निरंतर)	120/3	00	00	40
	120/4	00	07	57
	124	00	33	54
	125	00	19	25
	128	00	02	77
	127	00	27	65
	126	00	01	16
	133	00	03	07
	136	00	09	38
	137	00	41	76
	140	00	01	13
	139	00	35	56
	142/2	00	05	95
	142/3	00	18	45
	144	00	01	76
	146	00	28	90
	198	00	02	53
	147	00	17	72
	190	00	23	16
	189	00	00	76
	188	00	12	53
	151	00	07	80
	187	00	32	72
	162/2	00	01	06
	184	00	25	02
	183	00	17	09
	185/1	00	08	14
	185/2	00	08	51
	185/3	00	08	18
	185/4	00	02	47
	185/6	00	03	80
	180/2	00	00	81
	179	00	09	00
	178/2	00	19	90
	178/1	00	01	63
	391	00	49	67
	392	00	15	78
	393	00	39	48
	394	00	06	64
3) बेन्डपूडी	5	00	14	33
	6	00	46	47
	8	00	80	54

1	2	3	4	5
3) केन्द्रीय (मिलर)	18	00	21	88
	14	00	24	33
	15/1	00	03	46
	15/2	00	24	86
4) ए.ओ.एस.ए.	22	00	07	83
	21/2	00	51	97
	20/2	00	28	51
	20/1	00	26	72
	18	00	04	74
	17/1	00	20	20
	17/3	00	29	95
	16/3	00	27	63
	16/4	00	14	87
	16/2	00	00	25
	15/4	00	22	24
	15/3	00	18	70
	15/2	00	26	04
	12/1ए	00	02	48
	6/2	00	01	22
	6/1ए	00	00	15
	6/3	00	10	33
	6/4ए	00	13	31
	11/4ए	00	03	21
	11/2ए	00	00	56
	11/2बी	00	07	13
	11/2सी	00	55	71
	11/1बी	00	02	79
	11/3	00	00	17
	7/1बी	00	15	10
	7/2बी	00	29	54
	7/1ए	00	29	54
	63	00	11	44
	10/2	00	00	10
	62/1	00	19	61
	65	00	61	86
	69/3	00	01	30
	67	00	05	63
	68	00	08	11
	69/2	00	00	10
	47/1	00	10	39
	47/2	00	70	03
	72/3	00	16	82

1	2	3	4	5
4) ए.बेस्तापारी (मिरतार)	72/2बी	00	06	92
	114	00	08	71
	113	00	04	60
	110/2बी	00	26	23
	108	00	06	06
	104/1ए	00	03	00
	104/2बी	00	01	48
	104/3बी	00	46	82
	103/2	00	06	79
	102/4	00	06	96
	102/3	00	00	10
	129	00	04	14
	127	00	09	66
	130	00	51	22
	131/2	00	20	37
	131/1	00	00	90
	143	00	44	87
	142	00	38	02
	141	00	32	83
	140	00	18	72

5) पैडीपाला अग्रहार	1/2	00	19	40
	2	00	15	18
सर्वे न. 1 और 2 के बीच में तथा सर्वे न. 2 और बीच की सीमा के बीच		00	86	76

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक श्रुती	जिला श्रुती नौकरी	राज्य अज्ञान प्रदेश		
1) तेदुगुन्दा	1114/3	00	04	49
	1115	00	09	01
	1123	00	64	42
	1122	00	19	39
	1124/1	00	16	63
	1125	00	08	03
	1067/1	00	27	69
	1067/2	00	30	10
	1066/2	00	00	10
	1058	00	00	10
	1066	00	69	81
	1059	00	50	67
	1060/3	00	06	02
	1060/2	00	10	14
	1060/1	00	25	14
	991	00	08	91
	988	00	01	88
	989	00	21	96
	990	00	42	62

1	2	3	4	5
1) तेटुगुन्ध (भित्तर)	993	00	04	33
	995	00	73	13
	1025/1	00	02	77
	1025/2	00	04	31
	996	00	00	46
	1024/1	00	01	38
	1024/2	00	12	90
	1024/3	00	14	99
	1024/4	00	00	19
	1014	00	00	49
	1017	00	49	50
	1016	00	23	04
	1018	00	50	93
	912	00	07	99
	911	00	00	12
	910/2	00	01	54
	910/6ए	00	30	49
	910/5	00	10	08
	910/7ए	00	22	85
2) हंसावरण	253	00	60	67
	251	00	00	10
	250	00	58	04
	249/2	00	20	96
	249/1	00	04	56
	248	00	22	93
	247/3	00	10	34
	242	00	45	27
	241	00	18	60
	163/1	00	04	12
	163/2	00	01	44
	163/3	00	11	76
	163/4	00	02	98
	164	00	16	32
	165/2	00	17	49
	165/3	00	00	94
	159	00	03	35
	158	00	97	63
	157/2	01	23	48
	157/3	00	55	48
	155/5	00	30	42
	260	00	05	63
	138/1	00	44	59

1	2	3	4	5
2) संख्या (संख्या)	132/2	00	34	03
	132	00	26	23
	130	00	65	04
	129	00	09	47
	128	00	26	55
	123/2	00	01	43
	125/2	00	44	78
	126/2	00	00	10
	126/1	00	31	84
	117/3	00	12	91
	117/2	00	10	93
	116	00	05	93
	115	00	57	20
	101/2	00	26	81
	101/10	00	24	57
	102/1	00	12	84
	102/2	00	11	30
	103	00	31	42
	43	00	39	57
	46	00	28	00
	47	00	26	11
	41/3	00	01	69
	41/4	00	04	09
	41/5	00	05	54
	41/6	00	08	01
	41/7	00	07	23
	41/8	00	08	54
	41/9	00	10	47
	38/1	00	20	71
	38/2	00	21	33
	38/3	00	10	82
	38/4	00	11	07
	38/5	00	07	71
	38/6	00	09	91
	40/2	00	16	42
	38/1	00	39	28
	38/2	00	03	44
	38/3	00	01	59
	12	00	23	68
3) संख्या	275/1	00	30	63
	275/2	00	19	50
	275/3	00	16	37

१	२	३	४	५
३) सप्तम (मिह)	२७४/२	००	१६	६३
	२७४/१	००	५६	८२
	२७६/२	००	०१	५५
	२७६/१	००	२७	६६
	२७३/४	००	०६	१८
	२७७	००	०३	१३
	२७९/२	००	२५	७५
	२७९/१	००	१२	७४
	२८०/७	००	०१	४८
	२८३	००	३८	७८
	२८२/४	००	११	१८
	२८२/३	००	०७	२०
	२८२/५	००	००	८२
	२८२/२	००	१७	५८
	२८१/३	००	०५	९९
	२८१/१	००	००	३३
	२८४	००	०५	६७
	१५२	०१	००	३४
	१५३/१	००	२६	३६
	१५३/२	००	१४	६१
	१५३/३	००	०३	०९
	१५६	००	००	१५
	१५५	००	०४	५९
	१५७/९	००	०१	४३
	१५७/१०	००	०७	४७
	१५७/१३	००	०९	९६
	१५७/१२	००	०१	८०
	१५७/१४	००	१४	५९
	१४१/१	००	२०	००
	१४१/२	००	१७	९६
	१४१/३	००	१३	२१
	१४०/४	००	०७	०८
	१४०/५	००	१५	५२
	१४०/६	००	००	१०
	१४०/२	००	१८	३०
	१४०/१	००	२७	४१
	१३९/१	००	०५	२६
	१३९/२	००	३८	३३
	१८१	००	२३	९०
	१८२/१	००	२६	३९
	१८२/२	००	२१	३०
	१८२/३	००	०३	५९

1	2	3	4	5
3) कल्लूरु (निरंतर)	183/9	00	16	27
	183/8	00	14	76
	183/7	00	06	51
	111/1	00	18	53
	110/3	00	20	42
	110/1	00	09	81
	110/2	00	29	61
	109/1	00	01	80
	109/2	00	15	70
	109/4	00	06	54
	109/3	00	15	10
	107	00	03	85
	93/1	00	24	02
	105/4	00	24	66
	105/2	00	00	10
	105/3	00	24	96
	94/3	00	05	21
	94/1	00	00	10
	94/2	00	68	68
	57/1	00	15	26
	56/1	00	17	97
	56/2	00	01	64
	56/3	00	00	10
	56/8	00	00	89
	56/9	00	05	07
	56/10	00	06	69
	48	00	06	10
	49/6	00	00	96
	49/5	00	07	55
	49/4	00	02	09
	49/1	00	06	33
	49/2	00	02	29
	49/3	00	01	56
	47/4	00	06	95
	47/5	00	13	56
	47/2	00	11	47
	47/7	00	12	82
4) कवलपाडु	24	00	45	13
	93	00	02	62
	94/3	00	53	55
	95/1	00	24	45
	95/2	00	19	89

1	2	3	4	5
4) ककनाड (मिरत)	89	00	70	08
	85/1	00	02	18
	85/11	00	26	14
	85/7	00	07	91
	85/10	00	00	21
	84/7	00	15	53
	84/6	00	25	05
	84/5	00	01	30
	84/4	00	00	32
	82/2	00	20	62
	81/1	00	00	31
	81/5	00	51	24
	81/2	00	05	71
	81/3	00	08	44
	81/4	00	01	86

[फा. सं. एल-14014/17/2009-जी.पी.]

के.के. शर्मा, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th June, 2009

S. O. 1855.—Whereas it appears to Government of India that it is necessary in public interest that for the transportation of natural gas from onshore terminal at East coast of Andhra Pradesh of M/s. Reliance Industries Limited, to consumers in various parts of the country, Kakinada-Basudebpur-Howrah a pipeline should be laid by M/s. Relogistics Infrastructure Limited;

And whereas, it appears to Government of India that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which are described in the Schedule annexed here-to;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), Government of India hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of Right of User therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri K. Gangachalam, Competent Authority, Relogistics Infrastructure Limited, D.No. 67-11-21/2 Savisuja, Opp New Centuary Public School, L.B. Nagar, Kakinada - 533003, East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh State.

Schedule

Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk: Thondangi		District: East Godavari		State: Andhra Pradesh	
Village	Survey No./Sub-Division No.	Area to be acquired for R.R.			
		Net	Res	C-Res	
1	2	3	4	5	
1). Ravikampadu	269	00	59	35	
	270	00	02	30	
	273/3	00	01	93	
	273/4	00	39	34	
	271	00	01	22	
	272	00	41	80	
	249	00	70	86	
	246/8	00	08	42	
	226	00	07	97	
	228	00	30	80	
	227/3	00	28	62	
	227/4	00	17	98	
	227/2	00	08	45	
	230	00	02	71	
	148	00	22	23	
	147	00	05	33	
	149/1	00	00	74	
	146	00	22	88	
	145	00	07	14	
	144/3	00	05	65	
	144/4	00	21	99	
	143	00	23	52	
	123	00	26	26	
	122	00	45	73	
	118	00	04	14	
	117/11	00	16	67	
	117/10	00	00	87	
	116	00	02	13	
	113/8	00	21	34	
	113/3	00	01	67	
	113/6	00	22	09	
	113/5	00	06	34	
	113/7	00	16	69	
	114/7	00	15	92	
	111/1	00	05	14	
	111/2	00	00	15	
	34/1	00	00	76	

1	2	3	4	5
1) Ravikampala (Corrid)	34/2	00	14	31
	34/3	00	31	48
	34/4	00	46	26
	33/1	00	03	82
2) P.E.Chinnayapalem	329/1	00	00	40
	329/2	00	00	40
	328	00	01	58
	269/1	00	98	42
	271	00	05	25
	301/4	00	04	21
	300/1	00	11	10
	300/2	00	11	47
	302/2	00	01	97
	297/3	00	40	22
	298/4	00	14	03
	298/1	00	04	17
	293	00	01	71
	294/4	00	07	73
	294/5	00	06	20
	292/1	00	04	11
	292/2	00	07	90
	292/3	00	07	90
	291/7	00	20	84
	291/2	00	14	66
	291/3	00	08	07
	289/1	00	05	60
	289/2	00	04	61
	289/3	00	07	17
	288/2	00	13	39
	286	00	63	68
	285	00	01	08
	277	00	04	23
	275	00	08	99
	276	00	37	85
	115	00	41	71
	116	00	07	69
	117	00	32	73
	118	00	03	42
	119/2	00	13	27
	119/3	00	00	50
	119/4	00	11	97
	119/5	00	05	08

1	2	3	4	5
2) P.E.Chinnayapalem (Contd)	120/3	00	00	40
	120/4	00	07	57
	124	00	33	54
	125	00	19	25
	128	00	02	77
	127	00	27	65
	126	00	01	16
	133	00	03	07
	136	00	09	38
	137	00	41	76
	140	00	01	13
	139	00	35	56
	142/2	00	05	95
	142/3	00	18	45
	144	00	01	76
	146	00	28	90
	198	00	02	53
	147	00	17	72
	190	00	23	16
	189	00	00	76
	188	00	12	53
	151	00	07	80
	187	00	32	72
	162/2	00	01	06
	184	00	25	02
	183	00	17	09
	185/1	00	08	14
	185/2	00	08	51
	185/3	00	08	18
	185/4	00	02	47
	185/6	00	03	80
	180/2	00	00	81
	179	00	09	00
	178/2	00	19	90
	178/1	00	01	63
	391	00	49	67
	392	00	15	78
	393	00	39	48
	394	00	06	64
3) Bendapudi	5	00	14	33
	6	00	46	47
	8	00	80	54

1	2	3	4	5
3) Bendapudi (Contd)	16	00	21	86
	14	00	24	33
	15/1	00	03	46
	15/2	00	24	86
4) A.Kothapalle	22	00	07	83
	21/2	00	51	97
	20/2	00	28	51
	20/1	00	26	72
	18	00	04	74
	17/1	00	20	20
	17/3	00	29	95
	16/3	00	27	63
	16/4	00	14	87
	16/2	00	00	25
	15/4	00	22	24
	15/3	00	18	70
	15/2	00	26	04
	12/1A	00	02	40
	6/2	00	01	22
	6/1B	00	00	15
	6/3	00	10	33
	6/4B	00	13	31
	11/4A	00	03	21
	11/2A	00	00	56
	11/2B-	00	07	13
	11/2C	00	55	71
	11/1B	00	02	79
	11/3	00	00	17
	7/1B	00	15	10
	7/2B	00	29	54
	7/1A	00	29	54
	63	00	11	44
	10/2	00	00	10
	62/1	00	19	61
	65	00	61	86
	69/3	00	01	30
	67	00	05	63
	68	00	08	11
	69/2	00	00	10
	47/1	00	10	39
	47/2	00	70	03
	72/3	00	16	82

1	2	3	4	5
4) A.Kothapalle (Contd)	72/2B	00	06	92
	114	00	08	71
	113	00	04	60
	110/2B	00	26	23
	108	00	06	06
	104/1A	00	03	00
	104/2B	00	01	48
	104/3C	00	46	82
	103/2	00	06	79
	102/4	00	06	96
	102/3	00	00	10
	129	00	04	14
	127	00	09	66
	130	00	51	22
	131/2	00	20	37
	131/1	00	00	90
	143	00	44	87
	142	00	38	02
	141	00	32	83
	140	00	18	72
5) Paidipala Agraharam	1/2	00	19	40
	2	00	15	18
	In Det. Svy no. 1&2 and in Det. Svy. No.2 & V.B	00	86	76
Mandal/Tahsil/Taluk/Town	Distric/Block/Grav/Post	Statistical Data Products		
1) Tetagunta	1114/3	00	04	49
	1115	00	09	01
	1123	00	64	42
	1122	00	19	39
	1124/1	00	16	63
	1125	00	08	03
	1067/1	00	27	69
	1067/2	00	30	10
	1068/2	00	00	10
	1058	00	00	10
	1066	00	69	81
	1059	00	50	67
	1060/3	00	06	02
	1060/2	00	10	14
	1060/1	00	25	14
	991	00	08	91
	988	00	01	88
	989	00	21	96
	990	00	42	62

1	2	3	4	5
1) Tetagmata (Contd)	993	00	04	33
	995	00	73	13
	1025/1	00	02	77
	1025/2	00	04	31
	996	00	00	46
	1024/1	00	01	38
	1024/2	00	12	90
	1024/3	00	14	99
	1024/4	00	00	19
	1014	00	00	49
	1017	00	49	50
	1016	00	23	04
	1018	00	50	93
	912	00	07	99
	911	00	00	12
	910/2	00	01	54
	910/6A	00	30	49
	910/5	00	10	08
	910/7A	00	22	85
2) Hamsavaram	253	00	60	67
	251	00	00	10
	250	00	58	04
	249/2	00	20	96
	249/1	00	04	56
	248	00	22	93
	247/3	00	10	34
	242	00	45	27
	241	00	18	60
	163/1	00	04	12
	163/2	00	01	44
	163/3	00	11	76
	163/4	00	02	98
	164	00	16	32
	165/2	00	17	49
	165/3	00	00	94
	159	00	03	35
	158	00	97	63
	157/2	01	23	48
	157/3	00	55	48
	155/5	00	30	42
	260	00	05	63
	138/1	00	44	59

1	2	3	4	5
2) Hamsavaram (Contd)	138/2	00	34	03
	132	00	26	23
	130	00	65	04
	129	00	09	47
	128	00	26	55
	123/2	00	01	43
	125/2	00	44	78
	126/2	00	00	10
	126/1	00	31	84
	117/3	00	12	91
	117/2	00	10	93
	116	00	05	93
	115	00	57	20
	101/2	00	26	81
	101/1B	00	24	57
	102/1	00	12	84
	102/2	00	11	30
	103	00	31	42
	43	00	39	57
	46	00	28	00
	47	00	26	11
	41/3	00	01	69
	41/4	00	04	09
	41/5	00	05	54
	41/6	00	08	01
	41/7	00	07	23
	41/8	00	08	54
	41/9	00	10	47
	39/1	00	20	71
	39/2	00	21	33
	39/3	00	10	82
	39/4	00	11	07
	39/5	00	07	71
	39/6	00	09	91
	40/2	00	16	42
	38/1	00	39	28
	38/2	00	03	44
	38/3	00	01	59
	12	00	23	68
3) Valluru	275/1	00	30	63
	275/2	00	19	50
	275/3	00	16	37

1	2	3	4	5
3) Valluru (Contd)	274/2	00	10	63
	274/1	00	56	82
	276/2	00	01	55
	276/1	00	27	88
	273/4	00	06	18
	277	00	03	13
	279/2	00	25	75
	279/1	00	12	74
	280/7	00	01	48
	283	00	38	78
	282/4	00	11	18
	282/3	00	07	20
	282/5	00	00	82
	282/2	00	17	58
	281/3	00	05	99
	281/1	00	00	33
	284	00	05	67
	152	01	00	34
	153/1	00	26	36
	153/2	00	14	61
	153/3	00	03	09
	156	00	00	15
	155	00	04	59
	157/9	00	01	43
	157/10	00	07	47
	157/13	00	09	96
	157/12	00	01	80
	157/14	00	14	59
	141/1	00	20	00
	141/2	00	17	96
	141/3	00	13	21
	140/4	00	07	08
	140/5	00	15	52
	140/6	00	00	10
	140/2	00	18	30
	140/1	00	27	41
	139/1	00	05	26
	139/2	00	38	33
	181	00	23	90
	182/1	00	26	39
	182/2	00	21	30
	182/3	00	03	59
	183/9	00	16	27
	183/8	00	14	76
	183/7	00	06	51
	111/1	00	18	53
	110/3	00	20	42
	110/1	00	09	81
	110/2	00	29	61
	109/1	00	01	80
	109/2	00	15	70
	109/4	00	06	54

1	2	3	4	5
3) Vallura (Contd)	105/3	00	15	10
	107	00	03	85
	93/1	00	24	02
	105/4	00	24	66
	105/2	00	00	10
	105/3	00	24	96
	94/3	00	05	21
	94/1	00	00	10
	94/2	00	68	68
	57/1	00	15	26
	56/1	00	17	97
	56/2	00	01	64
	56/3	00	00	10
	56/8	00	00	89
	56/9	00	05	07
	56/10	00	06	69
	48	00	06	10
	49/6	00	00	96
	49/5	00	07	55
	49/4	00	02	09
	49/1	00	06	33
	49/2	00	02	29
	49/3	00	01	56
	47/4	00	06	95
	47/5	00	13	56
	47/2	00	11	47
	47/7	00	12	82
4) Kavalapedu	24	00	45	13
	93	00	02	62
	94/3	00	53	55
	95/1	00	24	45
	95/2	00	19	89
	00	00	70	08
	85/1	00	02	18
	85/11	00	26	14
	85/7	00	07	91
	85/10	00	00	21
	84/7	00	15	53
	84/6	00	25	05
	84/5	00	01	30
	84/4	00	00	32
	82/2	00	20	62
	81/1	00	00	31
	81/5	00	51	24
	81/2	00	05	71
	81/3	00	08	44
	81/4	00	01	86

F. No. L-14014/17/2000-G.P.
K.K. SHARMA, Under Secy.

1	2	3	4	5
4) कलकत्ता (निरंतर)	89	00	70	08
	85/1	00	02	18
	85/11	00	26	14
	85/7	00	07	91
	85/10	00	00	21
	84/7	00	15	53
	84/6	00	25	05
	84/5	00	01	30
	84/4	00	00	32
	82/2	00	20	62
	81/1	00	00	31
	81/5	00	51	24
	81/2	00	05	71
	81/3	00	08	44
	81/4	00	01	86

[फा. सं. एल-14014/17/2009-जी.पी.]

के.के. शर्मा, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th June, 2009

S. O. 1855.—Whereas it appears to Government of India that it is necessary in public interest that for the transportation of natural gas from onshore terminal at East coast of Andhra Pradesh of M/s. Reliance Industries Limited, to consumers in various parts of the country, Kakinada-Basudebpur-Howrah a pipeline should be laid by M/s. Relogistics Infrastructure Limited;

And whereas, it appears to Government of India that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which are described in the Schedule annexed here-to;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), Government of India hereby declares its intention to acquire the Right of User therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of Right of User therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri K. Gangachalam, Competent Authority, Relogistics Infrastructure Limited, D.No. 67-11-21/2 Savisuja, Opp New Centenary Public School, L.B. Nagar, Kakinada - 533003, East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh State.

Schedule

Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk:Thondangi		District:East Godavari		Statistical Division:Pradesh	
Village	Survey No./Sub-Station No.	Area to be acquired for Road			
		Has	Res	C-Res	
1	2	3	4	5	
1). Ravikampadu	269	00	59	35	
	270	00	02	30	
	273/3	00	01	93	
	273/4	00	39	34	
	271	00	01	22	
	272	00	41	80	
	249	00	70	86	
	246/8	00	08	42	
	226	00	07	97	
	228	00	30	80	
	227/3	00	28	62	
	227/4	00	17	98	
	227/2	00	08	45	
	230	00	02	71	
	148	00	22	23	
	147	00	05	33	
	149/1	00	00	74	
	146	00	22	88	
	145	00	07	14	
	144/3	00	05	65	
	144/4	00	21	99	
	143	00	23	52	
	123	00	26	26	
	122	00	45	73	
	118	00	04	14	
	117/11	00	16	67	
	117/10	00	00	87	
	116	00	02	13	
	113/8	00	21	34	
	113/3	00	01	67	
	113/6	00	22	09	
	113/5	00	06	34	
	113/7	00	16	69	
	114/7	00	15	92	
	111/1	00	05	14	
	111/2	00	00	15	
	34/1	00	00	76	

1	2	3	4	5
1) Ravikampada (Contd)	34/2	00	14	31
	34/3	00	31	48
	34/4	00	46	26
	33/1	00	03	82
2) P.E.Chinnayapalem	329/1	00	00	40
	329/2	00	00	40
	328	00	01	58
	269/1	00	98	42
	271	00	05	25
	301/4	00	04	21
	300/1	00	11	10
	300/2	00	11	47
	302/2	00	01	97
	297/3	00	40	22
	298/4	00	14	03
	298/1	00	04	17
	293	00	01	71
	294/4	00	07	73
	294/5	00	06	20
	292/1	00	04	11
	292/2	00	07	90
	292/3	00	07	90
	291/7	00	20	04
	291/2	00	14	66
	291/3	00	08	07
	289/1	00	05	60
	289/2	00	04	61
	289/3	00	07	17
	288/2	00	13	39
	286	00	63	68
	285	00	01	08
	277	00	04	23
	275	00	08	99
	276	00	37	85
	115	00	41	71
	116	00	07	69
	117	00	32	73
	118	00	03	42
	119/2	00	13	27
	119/3	00	00	30
	119/4	00	11	97
	119/5	00	05	08

1	2	3	4	5
2) P.E.Chinnayapalem (Contd)	120/3	00	00	40
	120/4	00	07	57
	124	00	33	54
	125	00	19	25
	128	00	02	77
	127	00	27	65
	126	00	01	16
	133	00	03	07
	136	00	09	38
	137	00	41	76
	140	00	01	13
	139	00	35	56
	142/2	00	05	95
	142/3	00	18	45
	144	00	01	76
	146	00	28	90
	198	00	02	53
	147	00	17	72
	190	00	23	16
	189	00	00	76
	188	00	12	53
	151	00	07	80
	187	00	32	72
	162/2	00	01	06
	184	00	25	02
	183	00	17	09
	185/1	00	08	14
	185/2	00	08	51
	185/3	00	08	18
	185/4	00	02	47
	185/6	00	03	80
	180/2	00	00	81
	179	00	09	00
	178/2	00	19	90
	178/1	00	01	63
	391	00	49	67
	392	00	15	78
	393	00	39	48
	394	00	06	64
3) Bendapudi	5	00	14	33
	6	00	46	47
	8	00	80	54

1	2	3	4	5
3) Bendapudi (Contd)	16	00	21	86
	14	00	24	33
	15/1	00	03	46
	15/2	00	24	86
4) A.Kothapalle	22	00	07	83
	21/2	00	51	97
	20/2	00	28	51
	20/1	00	26	72
	18	00	04	74
	17/1	00	20	20
	17/3	00	29	95
	16/3	00	27	63
	16/4	00	14	87
	16/2	00	00	25
	15/4	00	22	24
	15/3	00	18	70
	15/2	00	26	04
	12/1A	00	02	40
	6/2	00	01	22
	6/1B	00	00	15
	6/3	00	10	33
	6/4B	00	13	31
	11/4A	00	03	21
	11/2A	00	00	56
	11/2B	00	07	13
	11/2C	00	55	71
	11/1B	00	02	79
	11/3	00	00	17
	7/1B	00	15	10
	7/2B	00	29	54
	7/1A	00	29	54
	63	00	11	44
	10/2	00	00	10
	62/1	00	19	61
	65	00	61	86
	69/3	00	01	30
	67	00	05	63
	68	00	08	11
	69/2	00	00	10
	47/1	00	10	39
	47/2	00	70	03
	72/3	00	16	82

1	2	3	4	5
4) A.Kothapalle (Contd)	72/2B	00	06	92
	114	00	08	71
	113	00	04	60
	110/2B	00	26	23
	108	00	06	06
	104/1A	00	03	00
	104/2B	00	01	48
	104/3C	00	46	82
	103/2	00	06	79
	102/4	00	06	96
	102/3	00	00	10
	129	00	04	14
	127	00	09	66
	130	00	51	22
	131/2	00	20	37
	131/1	00	00	90
	143	00	44	87
	142	00	38	02
	141	00	32	83
	140	00	18	72
5) Paidipala Agraharam	1/2	00	19	40
	2	00	15	18
	In Det. Svy no. 1&2 and In Det. Svy. No.2 & V.B	00	86	76
Mandal/Tehsil/Taluk/Tank	Plot/Block/Section	State Revenue Product		
1) Tetagunta	1114/3	00	04	49
	1115	00	09	01
	1123	00	64	42
	1122	00	19	39
	1124/1	00	16	63
	1125	00	08	03
	1067/1	00	27	69
	1067/2	00	30	10
	1068/2	00	00	10
	1058	00	00	10
	1066	00	09	81
	1059	00	50	67
	1060/3	00	06	02
	1060/2	00	10	14
	1060/1	00	25	14
	991	00	08	91
	988	00	01	88
	989	00	21	96
	990	00	42	62

1	2	3	4	5
1) Telangana (Contd)	993	00	04	33
	995	00	73	13
	1025/1	00	02	77
	1025/2	00	04	31
	996	00	00	46
	1024/1	00	01	38
	1024/2	00	12	90
	1024/3	00	14	99
	1024/4	00	00	19
	1014	00	00	49
	1017	00	49	50
	1016	00	23	04
	1018	00	50	93
	912	00	07	99
	911	00	00	12
	910/2	00	01	54
	910/6A	00	30	49
	910/5	00	10	08
	910/7A	00	22	85
2) Hamsavaram	253	00	60	67
	251	00	00	10
	250	00	58	04
	249/2	00	20	96
	249/1	00	04	56
	248	00	22	93
	247/3	00	10	34
	242	00	45	27
	241	00	18	60
	163/1	00	04	12
	163/2	00	01	44
	163/3	00	11	76
	163/4	00	02	98
	164	00	16	32
	165/2	00	17	49
	165/3	00	00	94
	159	00	03	35
	158	00	97	63
	157/2	01	23	48
	157/3	00	55	48
	155/5	00	30	42
	260	00	05	63
	138/1	00	44	59

1	2	3	4	5
2) Hamsavaram (Contd)	138/2	00	34	03
	132	00	26	23
	130	00	65	04
	129	00	09	47
	128	00	26	55
	123/2	00	01	43
	125/2	00	44	78
	126/2	00	00	10
	126/1	00	31	84
	117/3	00	12	91
	117/2	00	10	93
	116	00	05	93
	115	00	57	20
	101/2	00	26	81
	101/1B	00	24	57
	102/1	00	12	84
	102/2	00	11	30
	103	00	31	42
	43	00	39	57
	46	00	28	00
	47	00	26	11
	41/3	00	01	69
	41/4	00	04	09
	41/5	00	05	54
	41/6	00	08	01
	41/7	00	07	23
	41/8	00	08	54
	41/9	00	10	47
	39/1	00	20	71
	39/2	00	21	33
	39/3	00	10	82
	39/4	00	11	07
	39/5	00	07	71
	39/6	00	09	91
	40/2	00	16	42
	38/1	00	39	28
	38/2	00	03	44
	38/3	00	01	59
	12	00	23	68
3) Valluru	275/1	00	30	63
	275/2	00	19	50
	275/3	00	16	37

1	2	3	4	5
3) Vallara (Contd)	100/3	00	15	10
	107	00	03	85
	93/1	00	24	02
	105/4	00	24	66
	105/2	00	00	10
	105/3	00	24	96
	94/3	00	05	21
	94/1	00	00	10
	94/2	00	68	68
	57/1	00	15	26
	56/1	00	17	97
	56/2	00	01	64
	56/3	00	00	10
	56/8	00	00	89
	56/9	00	05	87
	56/10	00	06	69
	48	00	06	10
	49/6	00	00	96
	49/5	00	07	55
	49/4	00	02	09
	49/1	00	06	33
	49/2	00	02	29
	49/3	00	01	56
	47/4	00	06	95
	47/5	00	13	56
	47/2	00	11	47
	47/7	00	12	82
4) Kavalapadu	24	00	45	13
	93	00	02	62
	94/3	00	53	55
	95/1	00	24	45
	95/2	00	19	89
	00	00	70	08
	85/1	00	02	18
	85/11	00	26	14
	85/7	00	07	91
	85/10	00	00	21
	84/7	00	15	53
	84/6	00	25	05
	84/5	00	01	30
	84/4	00	00	32
	82/2	00	20	62
	81/1	00	00	31
	81/5	00	51	24
	81/2	00	05	71
	81/3	00	08	44
	81/4	00	01	86

F. No. L-14014/172008-G.P.
K.K. SHARMA, Under Secy.

1	2	3	4	5
3) Valluru (Contd)	274/2	00	10	63
	274/1	00	56	82
	276/2	00	01	55
	276/1	00	27	88
	273/4	00	06	18
	277	00	03	13
	279/2	00	25	75
	279/1	00	12	74
	280/7	00	01	48
	283	00	38	78
	282/4	00	11	18
	282/3	00	07	20
	282/5	00	00	82
	282/2	00	17	58
	281/3	00	05	99
	281/1	00	00	33
	284	00	05	67
	152	01	00	34
	153/1	00	26	36
	153/2	00	14	61
	153/3	00	03	09
	156	00	00	15
	155	00	04	59
	157/9	00	01	43
	157/10	00	07	47
	157/13	00	09	96
	157/12	00	01	80
	157/14	00	14	59
	141/1	00	20	00
	141/2	00	17	96
	141/3	00	13	21
	140/4	00	07	08
	140/5	00	15	52
	140/6	00	00	10
	140/2	00	18	30
	140/1	00	27	41
	139/1	00	05	26
	139/2	00	38	33
	181	00	23	90
	182/1	00	26	39
	182/2	00	21	30
	182/3	00	03	59
	183/8	00	16	27
	183/7	00	14	76
	111/1	00	06	51
	110/3	00	18	53
	110/1	00	20	42
	110/2	00	09	81
	109/1	00	29	61
	109/2	00	01	80
	109/4	00	15	70
		00	06	54

श्रम एवं रोजगार मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 3 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1856.-औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार यूको बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण पटना के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 168 से 1999, 2(सी) से 2001, 78(सी) से 2008) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/210/98-आईआर(बी-II)]

राजेन्द्र कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 3rd June, 2009

S.O. 1856.—In Pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No.168 of 1999, 2(C) of 2001, 78 (C) of 2008) of the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Patna as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of UCO Bank and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 3-6-2009.

[No. L-12012/210/98-IR (B-II)]

RAJINDER KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, SHRAM BHAWAN, BAILEY ROAD, PATNA

Reference Case No. 168 of 1999

No. 2(C) of 2001

No. 78 (C) of 2008

Between the management of UCO Bank, Zonal Office, Mauryalok Complex, Patna and their workman Shri Ziyaur Rahman, represented by UCO Bank Employees Association, Patna.

For the Management: Shri A.K. Sinha, Asst. Chief Officer (Law), UCO Bank, Patna.

For the Workman: Shri B. Prasad, State Secretary UCO Bank, Employee Association Patna.

Present: Vasudeo Ram, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Patna.

AWARD

Patna. dated the 18th May, 2009

By adjudication Order No.L-12012/210/98-IR (B-II) dated 26-3-1999, 30-3-1999 the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947(hereafter called 'the Act' for brevity) referred the

following dispute between the management of UCO Bank and their workman to Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, Dhanbad for adjudication on the followings:

"Whether Shri Ziyaur Rahman has worked from 1-1-91 to 31-1-97? If yes, whether the action of the management of UCO Bank Patna in terminating his services w.e.f. 1-9-97 is justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

2. Subsequently, vide adjudication order No. L-12012/210/98-IR(B-II) dated 23-11-2000 the dispute was transferred to this Tribunal for adjudication.

3. Both the parties filed written statement. The contention of the workman is that there was permanent vacancy of two peons in Kishanganj Branch. Sri Ziyaur Rahman, was orally appointed by the management of UCO Bank, Kishanganj Branch against the vacancy to discharge the duties of a peon on and from 1-1-1991. On appointment the workman discharged the duties of a peon from 10 A.M. to 6 P.M. regularly as per the instruction of the manager. The workman was paid initially @Rs. 15 per day which was subsequently raised to Rs.30 and thereafter @Rs.42 per day. The payment were made through vouchers. In that way the workman worked continuously till 31-1-1997. On 31-1-1997 he was orally asked not to attend the duties from the next day as his services stood terminated. The workman further contends that termination of his services was 'retrenchment' as defined under Section 2(100) of the Act. The management neither served notice nor paid notice pay nor was given retrenchment compensation prior to termination of his services as required under Section 25F of the Act. The workman made requests to the management for reinstatement but the management paid no heed to. Thereafter the workman approached the sponsoring union which raised industrial dispute which culminated in this reference. The workman claims reinstatement in service with back wages and regularisation besides other reliefs.

4. The contention of the management is that the Branch Manager of Kishanganj branch of UCO Bank had no authority to appoint. He engaged Sh. Ziyaur Rahman to perform certain contingent work and his engagement ab-initio was void. The normal procedure for appointment was not followed. There was no vacancy of peon in the Bank when the workman was engaged. His engagement or payment of wages to him was never approved by the competent authority. The workman had no legal right to continue. Further, the contention of the management is that the Bank incurred heavy losses and under a policy decision discontinued engagement of casual workers. Since Sh. Rahman was not appointment disengagement from duty never amounted to 'retrenchment'. According to the management the workman is not entitled to any relief and the reference must be answered in favour of the management.

5. Upon the pleadings of the parties and also keeping in view the terms of reference the following points arise out for decision:—

- (i) Whether Sh. Zipsur Rahman worked from 1-1-1991 to 31-1-1997?
- (ii) Whether the action of the management of UCO Bank in terminating the services of Sh. Zipsur Rahman w.o.f. 31-1-1997 is justified or not?
- (iii) To what relief or reliefs, if any, the workman is entitled?

POINT NO. 5

Point No. (i)

6. Both the parties have adduced evidence in support of their respective contentions. The workman has examined himself (as W.W.1) and has got certain documents exhibited. The Management did not examine any witness, adduced documentary evidence only. The workman has got exhibited Xerox copy of payment vouchers (Exts.W/W/1). It is a matter of record that petitions were filed on behalf of the workmen requiring the management to furnish details of work, date-wise, month-wise and year-wise and the wages paid to the workman. In compliance of the same the management filed a petition giving details of the days worked by the workman month-wise and year-wise. The management with hold without concern the details of dates worked by the workman and the details of wages paid to him by the management. The details of days worked filed by the management has been marked exhibit on behalf of the workman (Ext.W/2). The management has filed the photo copy of payment voucher dated 24-4-97 (Ext.M/1), copy of letter dated 16-12-1997 of Reserve Bank of India (Ext.M/1) showing that the earlier bank (in fresh commitment) to continue photocopy of circular of the Bank dated 20-2-83 (Ext.M/2) concerning policy and procedure to be adopted for recruitment in the post of Subordinate to be adopted for recruitment in the post of subordinate Clerk, photocopy of order passed in W.P. No.1300 of 1998 on August 1, 1999 by Hon'ble Calcutta High Court in all India UCO Bank Federation case (Ext.M/3), photocopy of Circular of UCO Bank dated 28-4-1997 concerning prohibition of engagement of casual workers in the branches (Ext.M/4) and photocopy of Bank's Circular dated 20-3-97 on the same subject (Ext.M/5).

7. The management has not disputed that the workman worked from 1-1-1991 to 31-1-1997 as mentioned in the W.S. of the workman. The workman in the very first line of his examination in chief has supported the same. I have gone through his cross-examination carefully and did not find that the same statement of W.W.1 made before the Tribunal has been challenged by the management. It has already been mentioned above that the management has not examined any witness on its own behalf to

contravert the said statement of the workman. The details of working of the workman called for from the management on behalf of the workman has not fully been produced. The management withheld the details (except Ext.W/2) and no reason for the same was shown by the management. I may mention here that Ext.W/2 is a letter of the management, of course in compliance of the order of Tribunal to produce the documents called for by the workman; it is not a document showing working dates and other details. It is not in dispute that the workman was removed from the service, it will be examined later on whether this removal amounted to retrenchment or simple discontinuance. Keeping in view the discussions made above I find that the workman has been able to show that he worked on from 1-1-1991 to 31-1-1997 under the management in Kidderpore branch of UCO Bank. This point is decided accordingly.

Definition (ii):

8. At the very outset I would like to mention that Section 2(2) of the Industrial Workmen's Act follows:—

"Workman" means any person (including an apprentice employed in any industry to do any manual, unskilled, skilled, technical, Operational, clerical or supervisory work for hire or reward, whether the terms of employment be express or implied, and for the purpose of any proceeding under this Act in relation to industrial dispute, includes any such person who has been dismissed, discharged or retrenched in connection with, or as a consequence of, that dispute, or whose dismissal, discharge or retrenchment has led to that dispute, but does not include any such person—

- (a) who is subject to the Air Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950), or the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950), or the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957) or
- (b) who is employed in the police service or as an officer or other employee of a prison; or
- (c) who is employed mainly in a managerial or administrative capacity; or
- (d) who, being employed in a supervisory capacity, draws wages exceeding one thousand six hundred rupees per month or exercise, either by the nature of the duties attached to the office or by reason of the powers vested in him, functions mainly of a managerial nature).

From the above definition it can not be disputed that Sh. Zipsur Rahman was a workman. It is a fact that no procedure for his appointment was followed but it remains a fact that he was really engaged to work by the branch manager and the workman was paid wages for the service rendered to the management. This simply shows that the workman worked within the knowledge of the entire Bank

management. It can not be said that the management had no knowledge of his engagement. Nothing has been adduced by the management to show that the Branch Manager was punished or any action against him was taken by the management for taking work from and making payment of wages to Sh. Ziyaur Rahman. This simply means that the work from the workman was taken under implied consent of the management. It is a fact that the management from time to time issued letters directing the Branch Manager/Officers to refrain from making unauthorised engagement of casual workers.

9. I have already discussed above that the workman worked under the management from 1-1-1991 to 31-1-1997. The management knowingly and deliberately withheld the details of his work. Even from the letter of the management (Ext. W/2) it is clear that the workman put in 253 days work (more than 240 days) in the year 1996 i.e. during 12 calendar months preceding his removal/disengagement from the work. Under the circumstances I find that the workman put in continuous service as defined under Section 25B of the Act. His removal from the service was nothing but 'retrenchment' as defined under Section 2 (90) of the act.

Section 25F of the Act deals with the condition precedent to retrenchment of workman. According to the said Section no employee who has put in continuous service for not less than one year can be retrenched unless he has been given one month's notice in writing or has been paid in lieu of such notice and has been paid retrenchment compensation. Any retrenchment in violation of Section 25F of the Act is illegal and unjustified. Under the circumstances I find and hold that the termination of service of the workman is unjustified. It has been held in AIR Supreme Court 2799 that workman worked for 240 days continuously therefore though there was no letter of appointment, termination of his services would be contrary to provisions of the Act. This point is decided accordingly.

Point No. (iii) :

10. Keeping in view the discussions made above that the services of the workman was terminated illegally I find that the workman deserves to be reinstated with back wages. This point is answered accordingly.

11. Under the circumstances I find and hold that the workman worked from 1-1-1991 to 31-1-1997 under the management and the action of the management of UGO Bank in terminating his services is unjustified. I further find that workman Sh. Ziyauddin Rahmani deserves to be reinstated with back wages calculated at the rate last paid. The management is directed to comply the same within 60 days from the date of publication of the Award.

and this is my Award.

ASUDEO RAM, Presiding Officer

3月20日

का 14) की भांति 17 के अनुसूचन में, केन्द्रीय सरकार पंचायत राज का विकास करने के प्रयत्नों के संबंध में विवेचनाओं और उपरोक्त अनुसूचन के अंतर्गत अनुसूचन में विहित औद्योगिक विभाग में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिनियम पट्टा के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 76(सी) का 2088) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/37/2000-आ.आ.स.बी-11]

राज्यपालक, डेपुटी कमिश्नरी

New Delhi, 3rd June 2009

SO. 1897.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (No. 76(G) of 2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (Textile Labour Court, Patna) now established in the Ministry in the Industrial Dispute between the employees in relation to the management of Purnea District Bank and the workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 1-6-2000.

[No. 1-120123-72000-11-11]

FOLK OF THE ROMAN DOLL

BEFORE THE JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE ON
THE ARMY, SENATE AND HOUSE, JANUARY 1904.

Reference Characters and Dates

Between the management of Punjab National Bank Regional Office, Darbhanga and the workers Blue Kinnor Punjab:

For the Management: None

For the Workman: **Shirley F. Farns, General Secretary,**
Black Chapter of Federation of Black
Employees of Federal Government

Persons: Vaneke Kamp, Planning Officer, Rotterdam
Trompsburg

Abstract

Printed on 11/15/2009

By a judgment of the High Court of Madras dated 25th March 1953, the Government of Madras Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (3) of sub-section (1) and Sub-section (2A) of Section 6 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter called "the Act" for brevity), has referred the dispute between the management of Punjab National Bank, Regional Office, Delhi and their workmen, Shree Kamesh Prasad & Co. Thane, for adjudication on the following:

"Whether the control of the management of Punjab National Bank, National Office, Darbhanga in

terminating the service of Sri Bindo Kumar Pandit without complying section 25F of I.D. Act and not regularising in subordinate cadre of group-IV post is legal and justified? What relief the workman concerned is entitled to?"

2. Notices were issued to the parties on receipt of the reference. The workman appeared but the management did not appear to contest. Hence the case has been heard ex-parte. The workman submitted statement of claim mentioning therein that he was orally appointed by the management of Punjab National Bank to discharge the duties of a peon at Bazar Branch D.N. Singh Road, Bhagalpur w.e.f 1-1-1999. He used to work from 10 A.M. to 6 P.M. regularly. He was initially paid his wages @ Rs. 625 per month which was subsequently raised to Rs. 1600 per month. The wages was paid through vouchers of different heads. He worked till 30-4-2007 and from 2-5-2007 his services were terminated. He was neither given any notice, nor was paid notice pay or compensation. According to the workman his termination of service is illegal and unjustified and he deserves to be regularized in service in subordinate cadre of group IV post.

3. The following points arise out for decision:—

(i) Whether the action of the management of Punjab National Bank, Regional Office, Darbhanga in terminating the service of Shri Binod Kumar Pandit without complying Section 25F of I.D. Act is legal and justified?.

(ii) Whether the action of the management of Punjab National Bank, in not regularizing Shri Binod Kumar Pandit in service is legal & justified?

(iii) To what relief or reliefs the workman is entitled?

FINDINGS

Point No. (i)

4. The workman has examined himself as W.W.1 and has filed photo copies of 198 Dabit Vouchers (Exts. W to W/197) and ten copies of Dak Delivery Register (Exts. W/198 to W/207) in support of his contention. The workman (W.W.1) in his statement made before this tribunal has fully supproted his case. His statement taken together with the documents filed by him (Exts. W series) fully support that the workman put in continuous service to the management as poen though he was orally appointed. He put in more than 240 days service in the calendar years preceeding his retrenchment. Section 25F deals with condition precedent to retrenchment. The management neither gave one month notice nor paid notice pay or compensation before retrenchment of the workman. It has been held in the decision reported in AIR 2005-Supreme Court 2799 that the workman worked 240 days continuously therefore through there was no letter of appointment, termination of his service would be contrary to the

provisions of the Act. Under the circumstances I find that this action of the management is wholly illegal and unjustified. This point is decided accordingly.

Point No. (ii):

5. There are catena of decisions including that of the Hon'ble Supreme Court that regularization is an act of Executive and it is none of the business of the tribunal to pass order on regularization. Under the circumstances I hold that it is beyond the jurisdiction of this tribunal to pass any order on the point of regularization. This point is answered accordingly.

Point No. (iii):

6. The workman worked continuously for nearly eight years. The management retrenched him illegally. Under the circumstances I find that the workman deserves to be reinstated as class-IV subordinate staff under the management with full back wages. This point is decided accordingly.

7. In the result I find and hold that the action of the management of Punjab National Bank, Regional Office, in terminating the services of Shri Binod Kumar Pandit without complying Section 25F of I.D. Act is illegal and unjustified and the said workman deserves to be reinstated on the post of Subordinate IV grade Peon with full back wages. The management is directed to comply the same within 60 days from the date of publication of the Award.

8. And that is may Award.

VASUDEO RAM, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 3 जून, 2009

कार.अ. 1858.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सेंट्रल बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के संबंध निबोधकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 20/02) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/178/2001-आईआर(बी-II)]

राजेन्द्र कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 3rd June, 2009

S.O. 1858.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 20/02) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employees in relation to the management of Central Bank of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-2009.

[No. L-12012/178/2001-IR (B)]

RAJINDER KUMAR

ANNEXURE
BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,
JABALPUR

No. CGIT/LC/R/20/02

Presiding Officer : Shri Mohd. Shakir Hasan

Shri Rakesh Kumar Gurjar,

Near-Vasant Talkies,

Ward No.6,

Hoshangabad (MP).

... Workman/Union

Versus

The Regional Manager,

Central Bank of India,

Regional Office,

Hoshangabad (MP).

... Management

AWARD

Passed on this 26th day of May, 2009

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-12012/178/2001-IR (B-II) dated 16-1-2002 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:—

“Whether the action of the management of Regional Manager, Central Bank of India, Hoshangabad in terminating the services of Shri Rakesh Kumar Gurjar w.e.f. 9-2-2001 is justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled for?”

2. The case of the applicant in short is that he was appointed on the post of Sweeper/Farras from Jan-1995 by Regional Manager, Regional Office, Central Bank of India, Hoshangabad on a fixed pay of Rs. 175 per month. The pay was subsequently enhanced time to time. In the month of Jan-2000, his pay was enhanced to Rs. 740 per month. It is stated that in Feb-2001, when the applicant demanded pay @ Rs. 740, the then Regional Manager threatened and orally told him not to work. It is stated that on 9th Feb-2001, the Regional Manager paid Rs.565.70 though he worked till 31-3-2001. It is stated that the applicant had worked for more than 240 days in a calendar year and had never censored by the department. It is also alleged that similarly other additional workers such as Bharat Bhushan Tiwari, Jitender Kumar were made permanent on the post. It is stated that applicant claims that the management be directed to regularise him and to pay back wages with cost of the suit.

3. The non-applicant/management also appeared and contested the case by filing written statement. The case of the management in short is that the applicant was never appointed as permanent employee. There was no post of Safai karamchari/Toilet cleaner/Farras in the bank and the appointment cannot be done without going through the norms of selection. It is stated that the services of the applicant was hired purely on daily wages basis on occasion of excess work and the engagement automatically came to end on completion of the specific job. It is stated that remuneration was paid only when the applicant worked on temporary basis

and on his demanding enhanced salary @ Rs. 740 per month, the Regional Manager stopped him. It is denied that the applicant had ever work for more than 240 days in a calendar year as such I.D. Act is not applicable and he is not entitled to either reinstatement or any back wages from Feb-2001. It is submitted that the reference be dismissed.

4. The workman filed an affidavit that the non-applicant/Management has appointed him on the post of Safai Karamchari and has posted him at Samjipura Branch, Hoshangabad Area. He has also stated in his affidavit that the back wages has been paid by the Bank. He wants to withdraw the case and in this regard, he will not file any case. The non-applicant does not want to adduce any evidence in view of the affidavit executed by the applicant as the matter is finally settled between them.

5. In the result, no dispute award is passed without any costs.

6. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

MOHD. SHAKIR HASAN, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 3 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1859.-औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार यूको बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण, पटना के पंचाट [संदर्भ संख्या 77(सी) ऑफ 2008] को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12011/79/2008-आईआर(बी-II)]

राजेन्द्र कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 3rd June, 2009

S.O. 1859.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award [Ref. No. 77 (C) of 2008] of the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Patna as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of UCO Bank and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-2009.

[No. L-12011/79/2008-IR (B-II)]

RAJINDER KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL, SHRAM BHAWAN, BAILEY ROAD,
PATNA

Reference Case No. 77 (C) of 2008

Between the management of UCO Bank, Zonal Office, Central Jail Road, (Jawaripur), Bhagalpur, Bihar and their workman Om Prakash Tiwary.

For the Management : Shri A.K. Sinha, Management Representative

For the Workman: Shri B. Prasad, State Secretary,
UCO Bank Employee Association,
Saboo Complex, Exhibition Road
Patna.

Present: Vasudeo Ram, Presiding Officer, Industrial
Tribunal, Patna.

AWARD

Patna, dated the 9th May, 2009

By adjudication Order No.L-12011/79/2008-IR (B-II) dated 24-10-2008, the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter called 'the Act' for brevity) referred the dispute between the Management of UCO Bank, Zonal Office, Central Jail Road (Jawaripur), Bhagalpur and their workman Om Prakash Tiwary to this tribunal for adjudication on the following:

"Whether the action of the management of Zonal Office, Bhagalpur in terminating the services of Shri Om Prakash Tiwary, temporary Peon without assigning any reason and without giving retrenchment benefit as per Section 25F of I.D. Act is legal and justified? Whether the workman is entitled for regularization? What relief the concerned workman is entitled to?"

2. The parties appeared on notice and filed their respective written statements. The contention of the workman is that he was orally appointed by the management of UCO Bank to discharge the duties of a Peon in Dholbajja Bazar Branch of the Bank w.e.f. 29-5-1995. After appointment the workman used to discharge the duties of a Peon from 9 A.M. to 6 P.M. on the instructions of the manager for which he was initially paid @Rs. 20 per day which was subsequently raised to Rs. 30 per day. The payment used to be made through debit vouchers. There was no permanent Peon posted in the Branch at that time. He worked continuously till 13-5-97. On 14-5-97 the workman was stopped from working and he was informed that his services were terminated. The workman was not given any notice, notice pay or retrenchment compensation. The workman raised industrial dispute which culminated in Reference Case. According to the workman the management violated the provisions under Section 25F of the Act and his termination from service and not regularizing him in service is illegal and unjustified. The workman claims that he be reinstated in the Bank as a Peon with back wages and his service as Peon be regularized.

3. The contention of the management is that the person concerned (the alleged workman) was never recruited by the Bank according to the recruitment rules

and as such the person concerned is not the employee of the Bank, he was engaged from time to time as 'Coolie' for doing miscellaneous work. The Branch Manager had no authority to appoint any body on casual basis. The non-engagement/discontinuation of a coolie does not come under retrenchment and that being the position compliance of the provision laid down w/e 25F of the Act is not at all required. According to the management the concerned person (so called workman) is not entitled to any relief under the law.

4. Upon the pleadings of the parties and the terms of reference the following points arise out for adjudication:

- (i) Is the Reference maintainable?
- (ii) Whether the action of the management of UCO Bank in terminating the services of Om Prakash Tiwary without complying Section 25F of the Act is legal & justified?
- (iii) Whether the action of the management in not reinstating Shri Tiwary in service is legal & justified?
- (iv) Whether the action of the management in not regularizing Shri Tiwary in service is legal & justified?
- (v) To what relief or reliefs the alleged workman is entitled to?

FINDINGS

Point No. (i)

5. Both the parties have adduced oral as well as documentary evidence in support of their respective contentions. The workman examined three witnesses including himself as W.W.1 besides Krishnadeo Pandey (W.W.2) and Ashok Kumar Tiwary (W.W.3). One Tapan Kumar Das, Assistant Chief Officer of UCO Bank (M.W.1) has been examined on behalf of the management. The workman has got exhibited one photocopy of payment list of the workman (Ext.W) and the management has got exhibited the photocopy of letter dated 29-3-97 issued by Zonal Manager of UCO Bank (Ext.M) on the subject of unauthorized engagement of casual workman on daily wages.

6. There is no dispute on the point rather it is admitted in the statements of the witnesses including the management witness that there was a sanctioned post of Peon in Dholbajja Branch of UCO Bank and the same was lying vacant. There is also no dispute on the point that the Branch Manager of the said bank engaged Shri Om Prakash Tiwary. It has come from the mouth of workman and his witnesses that Shri Tiwary did the work of a peon usually from 9 A.M to 6 P.M. It is also not disputed that earlier he was paid Rs. 20 per day which was subsequently raised to Rs. 30 per day. The payment used to be made through Debit Vouchers. According to the management since

Shri Tiwary was not duly appointed through the process of appointment, there was no relationship of employer and employee between the management of UCO Bank and Shri Tiwary and hence the dispute raised is not an industrial dispute and hence the reference is not maintainable.

7. The definition of "workman" as laid down under Section 2 (S) of the Act reads as follows:

"Workman" means any person (including an apprentice) employed in any industry to do any manual, unskilled, skilled, technical, operational, clerical or supervisory work for hire or reward, whether the terms of employment be express or implied, and for the purpose of any proceeding under this Act in relation to an industrial dispute, includes any such person who has been dismissed, discharged or retrenched in connection with, or as a consequence of, that dispute, or whose dismissal, discharge or retrenchment has led to that dispute, but does not include any such person—

(i) who is subject to the Air Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950), or the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950), or the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957); or

(ii) who is employed in the police service or as an officer or other employee of a prison; or

(iii) who is employed mainly in a managerial or administrative capacity; or

(iv) who being employed in a supervisory capacity, draws wages exceeding one thousand six hundred rupees per annum or exercises, either by the nature of the duties attached to the office or by reason of the powers vested in him, functions mainly or a managerial nature.

According to the definition Shri Tiwary is undoubtedly a workman. The details of payment made to Shri Tiwary from 29-5-1995 to 13-5-1997 verified by the Bank (Ext.W) shows that the workman undoubtedly has put in continuous service in Dholrajia Branch of the Bank. The management has filed the photocopy of letter issued by the Zonal Manager of UCO Bank on 29-3-1997 (Ext.M) concerning unauthorized engagement of casual workers on daily wages. This workman was engaged much prior to issuance of the said letter and was removed from service just after the said letter was issued. Under the circumstances it can not be said that this workman was engaged in violation of the directions contained in that letter.

8. The management has taken the plea that the workman was not a member of the union when all the regular employees of the Bank were the members of the union. In this regard I find that it is not disputed that this workman was not a regular employee of the Bank; he was a daily wager who put in continuous service as desired by

the management. There is no dispute on the point that the workman was paid by the Bank management for the services put in by him as per the disclosed by the workman and his witnesses before this Tribunal. Since the workman was a daily wager he could not be made a member of the union of Bank employees. Section 36 of the Act which deals with the representation of the parties reads as follows:—

36 "Representation of parties—(1) A workman who is a party to a dispute shall be entitled to be represented in any proceeding under this Act by;

(a) (any member of the executive or other office bearer) of a registered trade union of which he is a member;

(b) (any member of the executive or other office bearer) of a federation of trade unions to which the trade union referred to in clause (a) is affiliated;

(c) where the worker is not a member of any trade union, by (any member of the executive or other office bearer) of any trade union associated with, or by any other workman employed in, the industry in which the worker is employed and authorised in such manner as may be prescribed."

Under the circumstances this workman though was not a member of union of Bank employees represented by the office bearer of the trade union connected with the industry in which the workman was engaged. Under the circumstances this cannot be said not maintainable on the ground that the workman was not a member of the union of Bank employees.

Section 2 (k) of the Act defines 'Industrial Dispute' as follows:—

"Industrial dispute" means any dispute or difference between employers and employees, or between employers and workmen, or between workmen and workmen, which is connected with the employment or non-employment or the terms of employment or with the conditions of labour, of any person;

In view of the discussions made above I find and hold that the dispute between the management and the workman is undoubtedly an 'industrial dispute' and the reference made for adjudication is maintainable. This point is decided accordingly.

Point No. (2) & (iii):

9. I have already discussed above that the facts of this case are not disputed rather admitted. It is an admitted fact that the sanctioned post of peon was lying vacant in the Bank. This workman was engaged on daily wages to work and he worked from 29-5-95 to 13-5-1997 continuously. It is a fact that no advertisement for the post was made nor the processes of appointment were followed and the

workman put in more than 240 days continuous service within a calendar year. The management as appears from the statement of W.W.1 removed Shri Tiwary from the work and engaged another daily rated workers Shri Prithvichand Yadav. Admittedly Shri Tiwary was not given one month notice or was paid notice pay or the compensation. His services was terminated without complying the provisions as laid down under Section 25F of the Act, or to say the removal of workman Shri Tiwary from the work was in violation of the provisions laid down under Section 25F of the Act. The work from the workman was taken and payment of wages was made to him quite within the knowledge of the management of the Bank. The management of the Bank did not take any action against the Officer who had engaged him on work and made payment. It is really surprising that the management on the one hand took work from the workman, made payment to him and on the other hand took the plea that the engagement of workman was illegal.

10. From the above discussions I find that the removal of the workman from employment was retrenchment as defined under Section 2 (00) of the Act. The workman has stated that he was not reinstated inspite of his repeated requests made to the authorities concerned. Under the circumstances I find that the termination of the services of the workman by the management without complying the provisions laid down under Section 25F of the Act and not reinstating him is not only illegal it is unjustified also. Point Nos. (ii) & (iii) are decided accordingly.

Point No. (iv) :

11. I have already discussed that the workman was engaged without observing the procedures of appointment. There are catena of decisions and it is well settled principle of law that no direction for regularisation of services of daily wage workers can be issued. Moreover, regularisation in service is essentially the executive work, the work of management and it is none of the business of tribunal to issue directions for regularisation. Under the circumstances this tribunal can not hold as whether or not the action of the management in not regularising the services of the workman was illegal or unjustified or otherwise. This point is decided accordingly.

Point No. (v) :

12. I have already discussed and held above that the termination of services of the workman by the management without complying the provision laid down under Section 25F of the Act and not reinstating the workman is illegal and unjustified. Under the circumstances I find that the workman deserves to be reinstated with full back wages. This point is decided accordingly.

13. In the result I find and hold that the action of the management of UCO Bank in terminating the services of Sri Om Prakash Tiwary without assigning any reason and

without giving any retrenchment benefit as per Section 25F of the Act is illegal and unjustified. I further find that the workman deserves to be reinstated with back wages @ last paid. The management is directed to comply the same within two months from the date of publication of the Award.

14. And this is my Award.

VASUDEO RAM, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 5 जून, 2009

का.अ. 1960.-औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की क्ला 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के संघर्ष निरोधकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकारन कानपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 5/2006) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 5-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/110/2005-आईआर(बी-1)]

अजय कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 5th June, 2009

S.O. 1960.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 5/2006) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Kanpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of State Bank of India and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 5-6-2009.

[No. L-12012/110/2005-IR (B-I)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI R. G. SHUKLA, PRESIDING OFFICER,
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-
CUM-LABOUR COURT, SHRAM BHAWAN, ATI
CAMPUS, GOVIND NAGAR, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 5 of 2006

BETWEEN

Raj Kishore Srivastava,
Son of Ram Kumar,
107 Khlera,
Newelganj
Kanpur

And

The Deputy General Manager,
State Bank of India,
Zonal Office,
Main Road,
Kanpur.

AWARD

1. Central Government, MOL, New Delhi, vide notification no. L-12012/110/2005-IR (B-1) dated 23-1-06, has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:—

2. Whether the demand of Sri Raj Kishore Srivastava to reemploy him under Section 25H of the I.D. Act, with the management of State Bank of India Kanpur, is justified? If so, what benefits the workman is entitled?

3. Case of the workman in short is that with effect from 8-6-86, he was engaged by the opposite party as peon-cum-messenger and he discharged entire function of the post of peon. The work and conduct of the workman ever remained outstanding. On account of unfair labour practice he was paid his wages through voucher with a view that he may not have any proof of work. The other allegations raised by the workman are outside the scope and ambit of the reference order, therefore, the same is being ignored. It is the specific case of the workman that management violated the provisions of Section 25H of the Act. On the basis of above it has been prayed that the workman be reinstated in service of the opposite party bank with full back wages, continuity of service and all consequential benefits.

4. The claim of the workman has been denied by the opposite party on the ground that the workman was never appointed by the bank, workman was never paid his wages as per rules of the bank, he never performed any regular and permanent nature of work, workman had never under went through regular selection process, services of the workman were never terminated by the opposite party, the case of the workman is not covered under the provisions of I.D. Act and lastly the workman is not entitled for any relief as claimed by him.

5. After exchange of pleadings between the parties both parties adduced oral as well as documentary evidence.

6. Having heard the arguments of the contesting parties at length and having considered the records of the case carefully in the light of terms of reference, tribunal is of the view that the language used in the schedule of reference order is not indicative of the fact that the case of the workman amounts to retrenchment or not.

7. It may be pointed out that present case is not a case of retrenchment under Section 2-A of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and also that demand of reemployment cannot be covered under the provisions of the Act and when it is not a case of retrenchment no benefit whatsoever can be given to the workman.

8. For the reasons discussed above, it is held that since the instant case is not a case of retrenchment, no benefit can be awarded to the workman under the Act, by this tribunal.

9. Accordingly the claim of the workman is rejected holding that he is not entitled for any relief as claimed by him.

10. Reference is decided accordingly against the workman.

R. G. SHUKLA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1861.-औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारत संचार निगम लिमिटेड के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में लेबर कोर्ट, पुणे के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/36/2003-आईआर(डीयू)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th June, 2009

S.O. 1861.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Labour Court, Pune as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 8-6-2009.

[No. L-40012/36/2003-IR (DU)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. M. KAKADE, PRESIDING OFFICER, SECOND LABOUR COURT, PUNE.

REF. (L.D.A.) NO. 344 OF 2003

BETWEEN

(1) General Manager,
Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.,
Bajirao Road,
Pune - 411 002

... First Party

AND

Smt. Indubai Sitaram Nalavade,
S. No. 112/111 Alandi Road,
Gunjal Vasti,
Pune - 411 015

... Second Party

AWARD

(Date: 19-12-2008)

This is a reference made by the Deputy Commissioner of Labour under Sec. (1) and Sec. 12(5) r/w. Sec. 2(a) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of industrial dispute between the parties as above mentioned in the schedule.

Matter was kept for the evidence of the second party, but second party is remaining absent since long. Today also second party is absent. It seems that second party is not interested to prosecute his claim. I, therefore, have no alternative, but to dispose of reference for want of prosecution by second party. I, therefore, proceed to pass following Order.

ORDER

Reference is hereby disposed for want of prosecution by 2nd party. No order as to costs.

Place PUNE

Date: 19/12/2008

V.M. KAKADE, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 जून, 2009

का.प्र. 1842. औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का. 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसार में, कोचीय सरकार सुपरिसेडेंट ऑफ पोस्ट ऑफिसों के प्रबंधन के संबंध में कोचीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकारन कानून के संघट (संघट नं. 205/2000) को अतिरिक्त कमीशन, कोचीय सरकार को 8-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-4001240/2000-आर्ज (डी.ए.)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेपुटी ऑफिसरी

New Delhi; the 8th June, 2009

S.O. 1842.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the seventh (Ref. No. 205/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Labour Court, Nagpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employees in relation to the management of Superintendent of Post Offices and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 8-6-2009.

[No.L-4001240/2000-IR (DU)]

SURENDR SINGH, Deput Officer

पुणे

BEFORE SHRI A.N. YADAV, CHIEF JUSTICE,
(CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-
LABOUR COURT, NAGPUR)

(Case No. 205/2000)

(Date: 27/05/09)

The Supdt. of post office
Nandl Division, Nandl-431602

...Party No. 1

Verans

Rathan Kabbir Khan Yakkabhan
Through Secretary (Bharat E.D.)

Emo-Union, Matangpura, Nandl-431602 ...Party No. 2

AWARD

Date: 27/5/2009

1. The Central Government, after satisfying the existence of dispute between The Supdt. of post office Nandl Division Nandl-431602 Party No. 1 and Rathan Kabbir Khan Yakkabhan Through Secretary (Bharat E.D.) Emo-Union, Matangpura Nandl-431602 referred the same for adjudication to this Tribunal vide letter No. L-4001240/2000/IR (DU) dt. 30-5-2000 under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 110 of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) with the following schedule:

"Whether the action of the management of Supdt. Post Office Division Nandl in terminating the services of Sh. Rathan Kabbir Khan Yakkabhan Ex. E.D. BNP, Kapota Bho (Shah) is legal, proper and justified? If not to what extent the workman is entitled and from which date? What other directions are necessary in the matter?"

2. It is the case of petitioner Kabbir Khan that he was appointed on 16-11-1996 as the post of BNP-Kapota under Sub-SD, having branch office at Nandl, sponsoring from employment exchange. His monthly salary was Rs. 13400/- and he was given a house. He was terminated without giving any opportunity or calling any explanation. By filing a petition in the court he has contended that his appointment was on a regular basis and regular post. He was not paid the wages of a regular employee though he was performing the duties of a permanent employee. He worked for 16 months i.e. for more than 240 days continuously and has acquired the status of permanent employee. It was necessary and obligatory on the part of the management to regularize his services. However he was terminated without paying the gratuity, compensation, and without following the proper procedure. At the time of termination the respondent immediately appointed a fresh man without giving preference to him. Thus, it is contended that his termination is illegal and he is entitled for reinstatement with the full back wages.

3. The management appeared filed its written statement denying the claim of the petitioner. It has denied that the Department of Post is immediately. However it has admitted that he was engaged on 16-11-96 as a Extra Branch Post Master at Kapota branch post office on a temporary and provisional basis. Further it is contended that, he was engaged during the period when one regular employee was placed under put off the duty. He was never engaged as a regular employee. It has denied that his name was sponsored by employment exchange and he was given any written order. It has also denied the contentions of the petitioner regarding unblemished and good service. According to the respondent, the petitioner was engaged purely on temporary and provisional basis as a extra regular employee was put off the duty of Extra Departmental

himself petitioner. Some departmental inquiry was initiated against him. Therefore his engagement was on adhoc basis as a stop gap arrangement for performing the duties of the employee whose services were put off. However the management after the inquiry raised the suspension and took him again on the duty. Therefore the services of the petitioner were terminated. There was no need to follow the provision of the Sections 25 (F) and 25(G). According to management it is legal and termination was proper. It has also contended that it is not an industry.

4. Heard Mr. R.N. Sen Advocate of the petitioner and Mr. R.S. Sundaram for the Respondent. Mr. Sen submitted that the petitioner has completed more than 240 days of continuous service with the respondent and his services were terminated without complying the mandatory provisions under section 25F & G of ID Act. He was not paid any retraining compensation and therefore his termination was illegal.

5. As against this Mr. Sundaram submitted that it was only a stop gap arrangement. He was engaged on daily wages purely on adhoc and temporary basis. As per service conditions of the department 3 years continuous service on a clear vacant post is necessary, for acquiring the permanency. The petitioner has neither completed 3 years service nor was his appointment on vacant post. Thus according to Management he is not at all entitled for the retraining compensation or for further regularization.

6. I have gone through the record it seems that the petitioner has filed his affidavit. However he did not turn and offered for the cross-examination. Therefore he could not be cross-examined. In such circumstances the affidavit without offering for cross examination has no meaning. It cannot be treated even as evidence. Consequently the claim of the petitioner remained without evidence on his behalf. The respondent was given the opportunity to adduce the evidence. Accordingly the management has examined its Divisional Manager who has stated as per his pleading and remained unshaken during the cross examination.

7. Perusal of the case papers indicates that though the petitioner has alleged as sponsored by employment exchange, there is nothing to prove it. Similarly no appointment order is produced by the petitioner. Even as per management also no appointment order was given to the petitioner in writing. It is a fact that the petitioner was engaged during the suspension period of the regular employee. The petitioner was engaged as a stop gap arrangement during the suspension period of one of its employee. The regular employee was under suspension. Therefore his post was not a vacant post. Therefore the engagement of the petitioner was not in a vacant post. The provisions of 25F and G are applicable only to the persons who are entitled for the regularization. The petitioner since was not engaged on any vacant post is not at all entitled for regularization. Therefore his termination without following

the provisions of Sec. 25F and G of ID Act is legal. In view of the principles of law stated above the management is entitled to engage any person by way of stop gap arrangement. Therefore his appointment for a short period was proper and his termination after raising the suspension of the regular employee was also legal. In the result there is no substance in the claim of the petitioner and it deserves to be dismissed. Accordingly I dismissed it and the passed the negative award.

Nagar:

25-5-09

AN: YADAV, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 जून 2009

का. 30/ 1863- औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसूची में, केन्द्रीय सरकार (विशेष प्रेषित फंड कमिशनर के प्रत्यक्ष के संयुक्त विशेषज्ञों और उनके कार्यकर्ता के बीच) अनुसूची में विहित औद्योगिक विवाद में लेबर कोर्ट पुणे के फंड (संदर्भ संख्या -) के प्रारम्भिक अवधि में, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एन-42312/61/2009-आईएन(सी)]

सुप्रीम कोर्ट, नई दिल्ली

New Delhi, the 8th June, 2009

S. O. 1863- Importance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ((14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Labour Court, Pune as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employees in relation to the management of Regional Provident Fund Commissioner and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government 8-6-2009.

[No. L-42312/61/2009 (IN)]

SHRI YADAV, Presiding Officer

ANNEXURE

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947
GENERAL ORDER

Reference (IDP) No. 400/2009

The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner,
Regional Office, Mumbai (East)
304, Elphinstone Road, Mumbai (East)
Mumbai-400 001

... I Party

ANN

Shri K. Shinde:
303, Elphinstone Road, Mumbai
Phone 411012

... II Party

Comm:

: Shri P. T. Kulkarni

ATTORNEYS: Mr. Gupta Advocate for First Party
: Mr. Mahalik Advocate for Second Party

AWARD

(Dated: 29-4-2009)

This reference is made by Government of India/Bharat Sarkar, Ministry of Labour/Shram Mantralaya, Shram Shakti Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110001 under Section 10, clause (d) of sub-section (1) and 2(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of industrial dispute between above referred parties regarding the reinstatement with full back wages and continuity of service.

2. The case of the Second Party in brief is that he was appointed as "Safaiwala/Sweeper" by appointment order dated 29-9-1993 issued by the First Party. On receiving the appointment order, he joined his service as safaiwala/sweeper as per the terms and conditions given by the appointment order and he continuously worked with the First Party for about three years. Suddenly, notice dated 24-10-1996 bearing No. MH/PF/R. Vig./362/DC/85, to terminate his service, was served upon him without giving specific reason. As per the terms and conditions of the appointment order, he was to be treated as temporary for two years and after completion of two years, he was to be kept on probation and during the probation period, he was terminated by executing colourable exercise of the employer without following due procedure of law. Any show cause notice, memo was not given to him, any enquiry was not conducted and he was illegally terminated. Hence, he approached the Labour Commissioner's Office but the matter could not be settled and the reference came to be made to this Court which was registered on 4-9-2000. Vide this statement of claim, the Second Party prayed for reinstatement with full back wages and continuity of service.

3. The First Party resisted the claim by filing written statement at Exh. 16. The allegations of colourable exercise of the powers of the employer, illegal termination, deviation from the legal procedure etc have been totally denied. It has been contended that by appointment order dated 29-9-1993, he was taken in the employment as Safaiwala on probation period of two years. His appointment was made subject to the submission of declaration form in the prescribed proforma attached to the appointment letter. The appointment was provisional subject to character and antecedent verification wherein it was also clearly mentioned in the declaration form, in the form of specific warning that if the information provided is false or any material fact is suppressed then the candidate will be unfit for employment. It is also informed to the Second Party that if any information furnished is found to be false then his service is liable to be terminated. In his declaration form, he had filled that he was never arrested and never prosecuted for any offence but in police verification letter dated 25-5-1999 sent by the Police Commissioner, Pune it was disclosed that the offence was registered against him vide Register No. 79 of 1991 U/s 337, 504 and 506(2) of the Indian Penal Code at Wanawadi Police Station against the

Second Party and Criminal Case No. 138 of 1991 was pending in the Court. On the basis of that report, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Pune had brought these facts to the knowledge of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Maharashtra and Goa vide letter dated 10-4-1996 along with the fact about unauthorised absenteeism of the Second Party for 34 days and subsequently by termination notice dated 24-10-1996, the Second Party was informed that his service shall terminated on expiry of one month from the date of service of the notice. His services came to be terminated as he had furnished false information for getting employment and his acquittal in the criminal case would not give any right for reinstatement. On these and other grounds, it prayed for rejection of the reference.

4. On the basis of the pleadings, averments, allegations and rival contentions, following issues came to be framed on 23-12-2008 at Exh. 18; the reasonings and findings thereon are as follows:

ISSUES**FINDINGS**

- | | |
|---|----------|
| 1. Whether the Second Party was illegally terminated by the First Party? | No |
| 2. Whether the Second party is entitled for reinstatement with continuity of Service and full back wages as prayed for? | No |
| 3. What Award ? | Rejected |

REASONS

5. **ISSUE NO. 1 :** The Second Party—Sunil Gulab Salunkhe has examined himself at Exh. 21 and relied upon documentary evidence. On the other hand, the First Party has also examined the solitary witness-Pratibha Vilas Godse at Exh. 27 and placed reliance on the documentary evidence.

6. The undisputed facts in this reference are that the Second Party came in service of the First Party in the year 1993 by virtue of the appointment letter dated 29-9-1993 and the declaration form was filled and submitted by the Second Party to the First Party. His name was referred through Employment Exchange and the employment was given on the basis of interview. Initially, his salary was Rs. 3,000 per month. Further, the undisputed facts are that any enquiry was not conducted and his termination was done by virtue of termination notice dated 24-10-1996.

7. It is evident from the testimony of the Second Party—Sunil Gulab Salunkhe that he is educated upto 6th Std in Marathi Medium and cannot read, write, speak and understand English. The attestation form (Exh.22) was in English and his cousin in his handwriting filled that attestation form. The Second Party signed in Marathi and submitted to the First Party. The Second Party specifically deposed that his cousin did not ask him whether any criminal case was pending against him, whether he was detained in any case and whether he was convicted. On 24-10-1996, termination notice (Exh. 23) was sent to him

and vide that notice, his service was terminated. It is further evident that he was knowing that police verification for him called for by the First Party.

8. In cross examination, he clearly admitted that he was terminated, as criminal case was pending against him about which he did not inform to the provident Fund Commissioner i.e. First Party. He further deposed that he had informed about the reason of termination to his Advocate before filing the statement of claim. He had also informed his lawyer before filing statement of claim that his application form and declaration form was filled by his cousin. He further admitted that in the statement of claim neither the reason for termination is mentioned nor it is mentioned that his cousin had actually filled the form. From this part of the testimony of the Second Party in cross examination, it becomes clear that if it was the fact his cousin has filled the declaration form and if any reason of termination was not disclosed to the Second Party by the First Party, it would have certainly incorporated in the statement of claim especially when, both facts were disclosed by the Second Party to the lawyer before filing statement of claim. It shows that both these grounds are after thought sprouted up obviously in the legal brain otherwise the same could have been mentioned in the statement of claim. Moreover, he himself has deposed that he was knowing that police verification was called by the First Party. It shows that the Second Party was well aware of the reason of termination when he received notice of termination and the information given in the declaration/attestation form was given by the Second Party himself or even if it was filled by somebody else, it was filled on the basis of the information given by the Second Party. He has also deposed in cross examination that he has never intimated to the Provident Fund Officer that the form was filled by his brother/cousin.

9. In further cross examination, the Second Party—Sunil Gulab Salunkhe denied that he was arrested by Wanwadi Police in Criminal case. However, immediately he admitted that he was released on bail in police station. He has also clearly admitted in cross examination that alongwith this sanctioned leave of 49 days, he was subsequently absent for 34 days without any information or permission in the year 1993. Though he has denied that he was arrested in criminal case, he has clearly deposed that he was released on bail. Needless to mention that releasing on bail is a next step of arrest and hence his denial of arrest stand no where. Moreover, it is not disputed that he was prosecuted and tried in a criminal case which can be gathered by his own letter dated 10-2-1997 (Exh. 25).

10. It is evident from the First Party witness—Pratibha Godse that she was working as Muster Clerk between December 1993 to May 1997. During the probation period of the Second Party, police verification was called for and the police verification report (Exh. 17/2) of the Second Party was “adverse” as the criminal case was pending

against him. She also deposed that his attendance was not satisfactory. He was irregular in attendance for which Mr. T.M. Adiga, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Pune had made report dated 10-4-1996 (Exh. 27) to Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Maharashtra and Goa, Mumbai.

11. In cross examination, this witness deposed that she does not know when the name of Second Party was sent for police verification and in what period, police verification has to be done. She also could not tell exact date and year when police verification report was received. She specifically deposed in cross that Second Party was terminated on the basis of irregular attendance and police verification report. She admitted that in termination notice (Exh. 23), any ground of absenteeism and police verification report is not mentioned. She also mentioned that on termination of the employee on probation, enquiry is to be conducted.

Though she has deposed in cross that she does not know when the police verification report was called for and when the police verification report was received, it makes no difference because the report of Police Commissioner, Pune (Exh. 17/2) dated 25-5-1995 clearly speaks that it was received on 30-5-1995 and with reference of the undated letter of the First Party of the year 1994. It means in 1994 the police verification was requested for by the First Party and its report was received on 30-5-1995. Though she has admitted that in the termination notice dated 24-10-1996 (Exh. 23) there is no mention about the ground of termination, the Second Party has deposed in chief and admitted in cross about the reason of termination is, “giving false information and unauthorised absenteeism”. Hence, the admissions given by this witness in cross-examination and the fact that the grounds are not mentioned in the notice of termination is of no use of the Second Party, in the light of its own testimony and admissions given by him in cross-examination.

12. The learned Advocate—Mr. Mahadik, for the Second Party, has submitted that the Second Party being educated upto 6th Std only, was not aware of English and hence what was filled in the declaration/attestation form by his cousin was not known to him. He has also submitted that the Second Party had not given any false information and even if criminal case was pending against him and he had not mentioned in the declaration/attestation form, it may amount to misconduct in which the independent departmental enquiry was to be held and then only the termination could have been done. Here without enquiry, termination has been executed which amounts to absolutely illegal termination as one of the ground is unauthorised absenteeism. With this he drew my attention to the case of Deepak Ganpat Tari v/s. New Excelsior Theatre Pvt. Ltd and others [2009 (1) Mh. L.J.] Though in the application/list of case laws, two citations have been mentioned, only one i.e. aforementioned citation's copy of Judgment have

been made available by him. He has also submitted that in the criminal case, Second Party has been acquitted on 30-1-1997 and hence, deserves to be reinstated with full back wages. It has been further submitted by him that the First Party has not adduced any documentary evidence to show that the poor attendance and unauthorised absence of the Second Party.

13. The learned Advocate—Mr. Gupta, for the First Party, has submitted that for the employment of the Second Party, clean character, free from criminal antecedent was the condition precedent which was specifically mentioned in the terms and conditions of the appointment letter. Despite that he had deliberately suppressed the fact that he was arrested, released on bail and criminal prosecution was going on against him. He has also submitted that if his cousin has filled it without his instructions, he would have definitely mentioned it in the statement of claim or even in his letter dated 10-2-1997 (Exh. 25). But it has been for the first time mentioned in the evidence without pleading. It shows that he was well aware of his criminal prosecution and has deliberately suppressed the fact and given false information. He further submitted that as he himself admitted his 34 days unauthorised absence, the First Party was not required to file any muster roll to prove the same. He has also submitted that due to false information and deliberate suppression of fact, the very foundation of appointment had collapsed and on that basis his services were terminated with the support of unauthorised absenteeism of 34 days during probation. He placed his reliance on the case of Superintendent of Post Offices and others v/s. R. Valasina Babu [2007 (112) FLR 914].

14. Admittedly, the evidence of the Second Party that his cousin has filled up the form without taking about the criminal case and arrest is obviously without pleading and hence need not be considered. Moreover, if it would have been so it could have mentioned in his own letter dated 10-2-1997 (Exh. 25), but it is not so. As he has clearly admitted in cross-examination that he had remained unauthorisedly absent for 34 days, the First Party was obviously not required to adduce documentary evidence to that effect. However, letter dated 10-4-1996 (Exh. 1773) speaks about it which has been corroborated by the oral testimony of the First Party witness—Pratibha Velm Godea. From the document (Exh. 22), it is clear that the specific three warnings were mentioned; on the top of this form it was clearly warned that:

1. The Furnishing of false information or suppression of any actual information in the Attestation Form would be a disqualification and is likely to render the candidate unfit for employment under the Government.
2. If detailed, convicted, debarred etc. subsequent to the completion and submission of this form the detail should be communicated immediately to the

Union Public Service Commission or the authority to whom the attestation form has been submitted; as the case may be, failing which it will be deemed to be a suppression of factual information.

3. If the fact that false information has been furnished or that factual information in the attestation form comes to notice at any time, during the service of a person, his services would be liable to be terminated.

For these warnings, a specific note has also been mentioned below: para 12.

15. Admittedly, on the day of filling form on the day of appointment, the Second Party was well aware that he was arrested, released on bail and the criminal prosecution was pending against him but he had given false information and deliberately suppressed actual fact while giving information in para 12 of the attestation form which was subsequently disclosed with police verification report dated 25-5-1996 (Exh. 1772) and this report has not been denied or challenged by the Second Party.

16. In the authority relied upon by learned Advocate—Mr. Gupta, for the First Party, the candidate had obtained appointment by producing false certificate which was later on cancelled and hence it was held by Their Lordships that:

“Once the certificate on the basis of which the employee obtained employment is cancelled, no question of allowing him to continue service would arise, if he had been appointed on the basis of such certificate”.

The ratio of the authority is that when the employee concerned has played fraud in obtaining an appointment, he should not be allowed to get the benefit thereof as the foundation of the appointment collapses, and in this case it is not necessary to initiate any disciplinary proceeding against the employee.

The same ratio and substance of the authority relied upon by learned Advocate—Mr. Mishra, for the Second Party, is that if the termination is on some misconduct and neither any enquiry is held nor any retrenchment compensation is paid, it amounts to illegal termination.

17. However, in the instant case, the termination is mainly based on the false information and/or suppression of actual information even while seeking employment and it has not been considered the misconduct that it is an initial disqualification for the appointment/employment. Hence the facts of the instant case are attracting the ratio laid down in the aforementioned authority relied upon by learned Advocate—Mr. Gupta, for the First Party, and at the same time the authority relied upon by learned Advocate—Mr. Mishra, for the Second Party cannot be made applicable.

18. Having gone into the entire matter in detail, both the sides, keeping in view the facts and circumstances established on record, and the ratio of the authority relied upon by/assent of Adhocate—Mr. Gupta, for the First Party, it is very clear that the Second Party has gone to the extent of suppressing his criminal prosecution by giving false information to settle employment, despite clear-cut warning emanated in the attention from filled by him which was the basic foundation of the employment. Subsequently by witness of Bhagpolice verification report dated 25-5-1995 (Fah. 17/2), it comes to the knowledge of the First Party that false information was given or by deliberately suppressing actual fact that it was nothing but the fact that nothing or the wrong actual foundation of the employment and eventually the employment was also to be withdrawn. The ratio of the authority in the case of Superintendent of Post Offices and others vs. R. Valsania Bahu [2007 (112) FLR 914] relied upon by/assent of Adhocate—Mr. Gupta, for the First Party, stands to support this view. Moreover, admittedly, the attendance of the Second Party was very poor and he remained absently absent for 34 days. In these circumstances, when the copy foundation of the employment was destroyed, his services were discontinued and it does not amount to any illegal termination even if any enquiry was not conducted because in such circumstances enquiry was not at all necessary. Though the support has been taken for termination for unauthorized absence of 34 days, the unauthorized absence was not made basic for termination. It was only a part considered by the First Party but clearly termination was based on giving false information by suppressing actual fact of criminal prosecution. Had it been so that the Second Party had mentioned about his current and criminal prosecution against him, he would have been given the employment and hence, the termination on that ground is absolutely legal.

19. Having considered the foregoing discussions, coupled with the facts and circumstances, keeping in view the ratios of the authorities relied upon by the learned Adhocate of both the sides, I have thoughtfully reached the conclusion that the First Party did not illegally terminate the services of the Second Party.

20. ISSUE NO. 2 : In view of the findings in Issue No. 1, where in the commission has been held to be legal and proper, for the foregoing reason, keeping in view the consideration of the part of the Second Party, I am of the considered opinion that there is no statutory bar for the relief of reinstatement, back wages and continuity of service, as prayed for. I, therefore, answer this Issue No. 2 accordingly, and in the aftermath, pass following order :

ORDER

The reference stands rejected with no order as to the costs.

Place : Bhopal

Date : 29-4-2009

P. T. RAHULI, Presiding Officer

बि.सि.सी., 9 जून, 2009

का.अ. 1864.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसूची में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं भारतीय खाद्य निगम के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध निोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुसूची में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकार/अम-वाक्यस्य, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या सीजीआई/एससी/अस/52/03) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं.सं. 22012275/2002-आईआर(सीएम-II)]

अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2009

S. O. 1864.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. CGIT/LC/R/52/03) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Food Corporation of India, Regional Office and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 9-6-2009.

[No. L-22012275/2002-IR(CM-II)]

AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

OFFICE OF THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CENTRAL LABOUR COURT,
JABALPUR

NO. CGIT/LC/R/52/03

Presiding Officer : Shri Mahesh Shakti Hasan

The President,
FCH Karmachari Parishad,
44/26, South T.T. Nagar,
Bhopal (M.P.)

...Workman/Union

Verans

The Senior Regional Manager,
Food Corporation of India,
Regional Office, Chetak Building,
MP Nagar, Bhopal (M.P.)

...Management

AWARD

Resard on this 1st day of June, 2009

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No. L-22012275/2002-IR(CM-II) dated 5-3-2003 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal :

“Whether the action of the management of Food Corporation of India, Bhopal in not paying overtime allowance as prescribed under M.P. Shops & Establishment Act, 1938 to the employees working in godown, store, depots and laboratories is legal and justified? If not, to what relief they are entitled to?”

2. The case of the applicant/Union in short is that FCI Karmchari Parishad is a registered Union having about 1500 members. It is stated that non-applicant/management of FCI are not doing payment of the overtime for additional work to the workmen in M.P. who, were doing overtime since September, 1989. It is stated that the workman who are working in the godowns, stores, depots and laboratories in FCI in the State of Madhya Pradesh, are entitled for the overtime at the double rate in accordance with law since September, 1989. It is stated that a miscellaneous petition No. 502/90 was filed before the Hon'ble High Court at M. P. Jabalpur wherein the Hon'ble Court asked from the Madhya Pradesh Government Bhopal as to whether M. P. Shop and Establishment Act, 1958 is applicable in the case. The Under Secretary, Government of M. P. Bhopal informed *vide* letter No. 4/B/1/97/16-1 dated 13-1-1998 that the workmen of Godowns, stores, Depots, Laboratories and fields of FCI are within the purview of M. P. Shop and Establishment Act, 1958 (in short hereinafter referred as MP S & E Act) and only offices are exempted from the purview of M.P. S&E Act, 1958. The applicant/Union sent a registered letter dated 13-10-2001 to the non-applicant/management but even then the payment of overtime at double rates has not been paid as provided in M.P.S&E Act, 1958. Hence the case.

3. The non-applicant/management has appeared and filed Written Statement. The case of the management, *inter alia* is that the State Government Notification bearing No. 70318797-XVI dated 24-11-1972 of the Madhya Pradesh Government shows that the offices of the FCI in the M.P. are exempted from the operation of M.P.S&E Act, 1958 and therefore, the FCI is making payments of overtime to its employees at the single rate of their original rate of wages since September, 1989. It is stated that the reference is vague and it is made without taking into consideration of the Notification issued by the Government of MP. It is submitted that the reference deserves to be answered in negative.

4. The only question for decision is whether the Madhya Pradesh Shop and Establishments Act, 1958 is applicable to the employees of FCI working in the godowns, stores, depots and laboratories.

5. The applicant/Union has examined oral and documentary evidence in order to prove his case. The Union has filed photocopy of the order dated 25-6-1997 passed by the Hon'ble Court, M.P., Jabalpur in Misc. Petition No. 502/90 Food Corporation of India V/s. State of M.P. & another (Paper Nos. 62/5 to 62/7) wherein the Hon'ble Court had directed the Government of M.P. to interpretate the word "Offices". The Hon'ble Court has held that—

"7. In view of the aforesaid position, I do not go into the merits of the matter and dispose off this writ petition with directions as under :

(a). The respondent No.1 shall decide the question of interpretation, as indicated in para-3 of the application above, and shall thus take proper decision whether the petitioner is or is not exempt

from the compliance of the provisions of Madhya Pradesh Shops and Establishment Act, 1958 within a period of SIX MONTHS from today before taking any action against the petitioner in terms of Annexure-M-I or any other order."

6. The photocopy of the letter No. 4/B/1/97/16 A dated 13-1-1998 of Under Secretary, Labour Department, Government of M. P. (Paper No.10) is also filed which was in compliance of the Misc. Case 502/90 whereby it is clarified by the Government of M.P. that the Godowns, Stores, Depots and Laboratories of Food Corporation of India located in M.P. are not exempted from the purview of M.P. Shop and Establishment Act, 1958 rather only offices are exempted from the said Act. This appears to be proved that the action of the management of Food Corporation of India, Bhopal in not paying overtime allowance as prescribed under M.P. Shops & Establishment Act 1958 working in godown, store, depots and laboratories is not legal and justified. Photocopy of the letter dated 13-10-01 (Paper No. 11) is also filed to show that the lawyer of the Union sent letter to the management to pay overtime in accordance with the provision of M.P. S&E Act, 1959. The Union has also filed list of employees which are paper Nos. 17 to 17/29. The management witness Anupam B. Vyas has also admitted that these are the lists of their employees. The Union has also filed the photocopies of orders which are Paper Nos. 73/3 to 74/42 to show that the employees were ordered to do overtime. Thus the documentary evidence of the applicant/Union proves that M.P. S&E Act, 1958 is applicable to the employees working in godowns, stores, depots and laboratories.

7. The applicant/Union has also examined witnesses namely Shri Birit Pal Gupta, Shri L. S. Thakur, Shri Chotelal Gupta, Shri Shanker Lal Malviya and Shri D. P. Jharia. They all have supported the case of the Union that they had worked overtime and the payment was not done according to the M. P. S&E Act, 1959. The oral evidence also supports the case of the applicant/Union.

8. On the other hand, the non-applicant/management has also adduced oral and documentary evidence. The management has filed State Government, Notification bearing No. 7031-8797XVI dated 24-11-72 which is marked as R/I. The said notification shows that in exercise of power conferred by clause(k) of sub-clause(1) of Section 3 of the Madhya Pradesh Shop and Establishment Act, 1958, the State Government exempt the offices of the Food Corporation of India located in the State of Madhya Pradesh from the operation of the said Act. It appears from the discussion made above that the dispute arises with respect to the interpretation of the word "Offices". A writ petition was filed before the Hon'ble High Court which was Misc. Case 502/90 (Paper No. 65/5 to 65/7) wherein the Hon'ble Court directed the Govt. to interpret the word "Offices". Subsequently after interpretation, the Government of M.P. issued a letter (Paper No.10) that the godowns, stores, depots and laboratories located in the state of MP are not exempted from the purview of M.P. Shop & Establishment Act, 1958. Thus it is clear that only offices of the FCI is exempted and other establishments of the FCI is not exempted and M.P. (S&E

Act, 1958 is applicable in those establishment. Thus simply the notification filed by the management has not proved that the action of the management in not paying overtime allowance to the employees as per MP S&E Act, 1958 is legal and justified. The non-applicant/management has filed another DO letter EP-17(2)/96-Vol.III/406 dated 24-9-2003/1-10-2003 which is marked as R/II. This letter shows that the management has sent a letter to Chief Secretary, Government of M.P. for exempting the Food Corporation of India in the State of M.P. from the provision of Local Shops & Establishment Act. This letter itself shows that the FCI is not exempted from the purview of M. P. S&E Act and even then the FCI was denying payment of overtime as per the said Act. This letter further proves the case of the applicant/management.

9. The non-applicant/management has examined one witness Anupam B. Vyas who is officiating as Deputy General Manager, FCI, Regional Office, Bhopal. He has stated in his evidence that the FCI has made payment of the overtime to the employees at the single rate of their original rate of wages. This itself shows that the employees of the FCI working in godown, stores, depots and laboratories are not paid as per the provision of M. P. S&E Act, 1958. Thus the evidence of the management does not prove that the action of the management of FCI is legal and justified.

10. In the result, I direct the non-applicant/management to examine the list of employees (Paper Nos 17 to 17/29) and if it is found that they have worked overtime and then the difference amount of overtime be paid to them as prescribed in Madhya Pradesh Shop and Establishment Act, 1958 since September, 1989 within three months from the date of award. Accordingly the reference is answered in favour of the applicant and against the non-applicant. As such, the award is passed without the order of costs.

11. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

MOHD. SHAKIR HASAN, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 2009

का. आ. 1865.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एवं डब्ल्यू. सी. एल. के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या सीजीआईटी/एलसी/आर/47/96) को प्रकटित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं.सं. 22012/283/1989-आईआर(सी-II)]

अजय कुमार गौर, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2009

S. O. 1865.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central

Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref.No. CGIT/LC/R/47/96) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between employers in relation to the management of WCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 9-6-2009.

[No. L-22012/283/1989-IR(C-II)]

AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

No. CGIT/LC/R/47/96

Presiding Officer : Shri Mohd. Shakir Hasan

The General Secretary,
Samyukta Khadan Mazdoor Sangh,
Post Chandametta,
Distt. Chhindwara (MP) ...Workman/Union

Versus

Dy. Chief Mining Engineer,
Nandan Group, WCL,
Post Nandan,
Distt. Chhindwara (MP) ...Management

AWARD

Passed on this 2nd day of June, 2009

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No.L-22012/283/89-IR(C-II) dated 14-2-96 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal :

"Whether the five demands raised by the S.K.M.S. vide its letter dated 7-9-1989 against the management of Nandanpur Coal Mines Nos. 1 and 2 of W.C.L. are legal and justified? If so, what relief the workmen are entitled to?"

2. The case of the applicant/SKMS Union in short is that the Unions namely INTUC, BMS, HMS & AITUC (SKMS) gave a charter of five demands to the management of Nandan Mines No. 1 and Nandan Mines No. 2 on 7-9-89. The five demands are as follows :—

- (1) Bamboo of Baskets be provided to Tub Loaders.
- (2) Tub of the capacity of 40.5 CFT be provided in Nandan Mines Nos. 1 & 2.
- (3) The illegal deduction of Rs. 10 from the pay of August of the workmen be refunded and the said deduction be not made in the following month's pay.
- (4) The verification done by P.M. of Joint Committee of the members of the Unions be set

228762/09-21

aside and the verification of the membership be made on the basis of settlement and the balance amount be paid.

- (5) Workers getting wages without doing any job and misuse of Company's vehicle and other properties be stopped.

It is submitted that the local management be directed to maintain discipline and to fulfil the charter of demands of the Applicant/Union.

3. On the other hand, the non-applicant/management has filed Written Statement in the case. The case of the management inter alia, is that Shri S. K. Bannerjee is not holding the post of General Secretary of S.K.M.S. (AITUC) whereas Shri Bharat Singh Sakravar is General Secretary of the said Union. It is stated that as per recommendation of the constituted committee, the cost of the Bamboo Baskets are being provided to the workmen. In respect of demand No. 2, it is stated that a meeting was held on 8-8-83 between the management and all the four Unions to replace 45 cft Tubs in place of 40.5 cft from 14-11-83 to improve the productivity and accordingly the Tubs were purchased costing of Rs. 66,00,000. Moreover the wages of Tub Loaders were also increased in view of the increased capacity of Tubs. For third demand, it is stated that Rs. 10 was deducted from the pay of workers but on demand the same has already been refunded long back. With respect to 4th demand, it is stated that AITUC Union is under check off system and membership subscription is being deducted from the wages of the workers after getting their authority letters. The AITUC (SKMS) Union is also getting the membership subscription as per the check off system which was introduced since 1985. With respect to 5th demand, it is stated that the management has never permitted any worker to get his wages without doing any job. It is submitted that the demands raised by the said Union are not justified.

4. On perusal of the record, it appears that the Applicant/Union absented and the case proceeded ex parte on 28-3-2006 against Applicant/Union.

5. To prove the case, the management has filed oral and documentary evidence. M/1 is charter of five demands which appears to have been filed by all the Unions on 8-9-1989. M/2 is Unions membership list of 2000 of Nandan Mines Nos. 1 & 2. It is clear that AITUC (SKMS) is not the biggest Union. Moreover it appears that other Unions who have majority of member workers have not raised this issue through the charter of demands shows that all the Unions had raised those demands. M/3 is the record note of the discussion held on 29-11-89 on the issue of Bamboo Basket between the management and all the Unions and a decision was arrived to pay the price of the basket to tub loaders instead of providing basket. This shows that this demand is accordingly fulfilled. M/4 is the minutes of discussion made between the Unions and the Management regarding the size of tubs. It is clear from the said minutes that it was resolved by them to replace 45 cft tubs and for that, wages of the tub loaders was also proportionately

raised. M/5 is the decision taken for increasing the rate of wages. M/6 is the notice regarding the decision of introducing 45 cft tubs from 20-10-1983 and for payment of wages on enhanced rate. M/7 is a notice of letter of thanks to tub loaders for supporting for introducing 45 cft tubs. Thus it is clear from these documents that all the Unions and the management themselves resolved after discussion to introduce 45 cft tubs and it was also resolved to increase the wages of the tub loaders. This issue is accordingly answered.

6. M/8 is the notice dated 20-11-83 regarding the payment of Rs. 10 deducted from the pay of the workman. This shows that the management had already completed the demand to pay Rs. 10. Thus all the four demands appear to have been resolved and the management have complied the same.

7. It appears that with respect to the demand No. 5, the burden appears to be on the Applicant/Union that company's property were misused and some of the workmen were getting wages without work. The management has denied the existence of such indiscipline. This issue appears to have been not established against the management.

8. The management has also examined one witness Shri A.P. Godse. He has fully supported the case of the management. He has stated that Shri P. K. Bannerjee is not office bearer of the said Union. There is nothing on the record to show that he was office bearer of the said Union. He has supported the documents filed on behalf of the management. Those documents clearly show that the demands as had been made by the Unions, were fulfilled and thereafter, there was no dispute. There is no other evidence to contradict the evidence adduced by the management. There is no reason to disbelieve the evidence of the management.

9. In the result, the reference is accordingly answered and award is passed ex parte in favour of the management and against the Applicant/Union.

10. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment as per rules.

MOHD. SHAKIR HASAN, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 2009

क्र. आ. 1866.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सीनियर सुपरिन्टेंडेंट ऑफ पोस्ट आफिसस के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नागपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 27/2005) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/153/2004-आईआर (सी.एल.)]
सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2009

S. O. 1866.— In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref.No. 27/2005) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Nagpur as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the Employers in relation to the management of Senior Superintendent of Post Offices and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 9-6-2009.

[No. L-40012/153/2004-IR(DU)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

**BEFORE SHRI A. N. YADAV PRESIDING OFFICER
CGIT-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NAGPUR.**

Case NO. 27/2005

Date : 29-5-2009

Sh.Deorao Nagobaji Pimpalkar

Age -45 Years R/o - Virur Gadegaon

Tehsil- Korpana Distt.- Chandrapur.

...Party No. 1

Versus

Senior Supdt. of Post Offices

Chanda Division, Chandrapur

R/O, Tehsil and District- Chandrapur.

...Party No.2

AWARD

29-5-2009

The Central Government after satisfying the existence of disputes between Sh.Deorao Nagobaji Pimpalkar Age 45 Years R/o Virur Gadegaon, Tahsil Korapna Distt. Chandrapur. Party No.1 and Sr.Supdt. of post offices Chanda division Chandrapur Party No.2 referred the same for adjudication to this Tribunal vide its letter No. L-40012/153/2004-IR (DU) dt. 23-03-2005 under clause (d) of sub section (1) and sub section (2A) of Section 10 of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) with the following schedule:

“Whether the action of the management in relation to Sr. Supdt. of Post Offices, Chandrapur in terminating the services of Sh Deorao Nagobaji Pimpalkar, Branch Post Master Virur Gadegaon is legal and justified? If not, what is the relief to which the workman is entitled?”

It is the case of the petitioner Sh.Deorao Nagobaji Pimpalkar that while working as a Branch Post Master at Virur Gadegaon, he inadvertently committed a mistake of changing the dates in the official stamps. He wrongly on 18-11-97 changed the date on the stamp as 28-10-97 on which one Shri Charandas Pausu Karmarkar has deposited the amount of Rs. 2000 in his saving bank account No. 48121306. Similarly, he wrongly changed the date on 10-10-97 also and in stead of it he set the date as 3-10-97 on that date on Umesh Chimurkar deposited the amount of Rs. 200/- in his R. D. Account bearing No.812398. At the time of inspection, the Sub-divisional inspector of the post

was not convinced and he made a false report after recording the statement of Charandas and Umesh. Those statements were not recorded by him as per their version and initiated an enquiry with the charges of misappropriation of the government amount. The I.O. recorded the perverse findings. He suggested the dismissal of the Petitioner. The management wrongly acted upon it and dismissed him. According to him the order is illegal. The punishment is also shockingly disproportionate to the misconduct of the Petitioner. He has prayed to set aside the above order dt.17-12-2002 removing him from the service.

The management supported its order and denied that it is perverse. Similarly it has also denied that the punishment is shockingly disproportionate to the misconduct of the Petitioner. It has denied that the petitioner has inadvertently changed the dates on a stamp or set it wrongly. He has accepted the deposit of Rs.200/- for depositing it in A/c No. 812398 from Umesh Chimurkar on 3-10-97. He made the entries in the Pass Book for the month of October, November, 1997. He impressed the stamp without fixing the date and time and signed it. He did not take a entry in the Recurring Deposit Register and amount was also not accounted in the branch post office on 3-10-97. In his own handwriting he collected the date in the pass book for the month of October, 1997, but he could not make the entry of November, 97. Similarly, he received the amount of Rs.200 from Charandas for depositing it in the A/c No.48121306 on 28-10-1997. He impressed the stamp but wrote the date in handwriting he also did not take the entry of the deposit and accounted the amount in the branch of post office on 28-10-97. In view of this serious misconduct he was charge sheeted on 26-11-98 and after due enquiry and giving full opportunity to the petitioner above punishment was awarded. It has prayed to dismiss the reference of the Petitioner.

It being the dismissal after domestic enquiry with a view to consider its validity the case was fixed for evidence and argument. However, the Petitioner admitted the validity of the enquiry by filing Pursis. He has, however, challenged the findings of the enquiry officer alleging it as a perverse. He challenged the ratio of punishment also. He contended that it is shockingly disproportionate.

The Petitioner adduced the evidence to prove his case by examining himself. The management also examined its Assistant Superintendent of the Post Offices. They both are cross-examined by the opposite party. The Petitioner has stated that it was inadvertent mistake committed in setting the date on the stamp. Therefore, though the amount of deposited on the date as stated by him but the date of depositing as per the stamp of the post office was wrong. It was shown as antedated. Thus, according to him he has not misappropriated the amount and it happened only due to the wrong setting of the date on the postal stamp. It appears that the submission of the Petitioner is totally baseless. The record indicate that he has accepted the amount on the dates of which the stamp was impressed, but he later on in his own handwriting without setting any

date on the stamp wrote it in his own hand writing and showed the deposits on the subsequent dates than which he has accepted. There is documentary evidence as well as oral evidence of Charansingh and Umesh. Since he has written the dates in his own handwriting keeping the blank space in stead of setting the dates in them. It was his deliberate attempt to grab the amount. Obviously, he has received the amount from above two persons Rs.2000/- and Rs.200/- respectively and used it for his own purposes without showing the deposit in the office record. He did not take entry in the register of deposits in time and tried to show deposits on a subsequent date. It is a clear case of misappropriation. He actually accepted the amount on the dates on which the above two persons have paid to the Petitioner but he deliberately shown them as deposited on the subsequent dates and during the intervening period he misappropriate the amount using it for own purposes. Though temporary it is misappropriation of the Govt. amount, a serious misconduct even justifying the criminal action. It has caused a loss at least of the interest of the two customers Charandas and Umesh. There is sufficient evidence documentary as well as oral to prove it. There are no reasons at all to disbelieve it. Similarly, story of the Petitioner that it was inadvertent mistake is also after thought made with the motive to escape from the clutches of law. However, his attempt is poor and unbelievable. In any case it cannot be called as perverse and blame the Inquiry Officer. The findings of the Inquiry Officer are proper. They cannot be called as perverse. The evidence establishes that the Petitioner by showing the late deposit in the Saving Bank Account misappropriated the amount.

Similarly, when it is as case of misappropriation he has rightly suggested the punishment of dismissal. The punishment imposed by the Postal Authority or the management cannot be called as disproportionate for the purpose of a misappropriation though it may be of a small amount it cannot be said as disproportionate. In my view also the management was right imposing the punishment of dismissal. It cannot be interfere and the reference deserves to be dismissed. Accordingly I dismissed it and pass this negative Award.

Date, 29-5-2009

A. N. YADAY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 2009

का. आ. 1867.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सीक पोस्ट मास्टर जनरल के प्रबंधन के संबंध निम्नलिखित और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जबलपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या सी जी आई टी/एलसी/ आर/6/95) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[फा. सं. एल-40011/9/93-आई आर (डीयू)]

सुरेन्द्र सिंह, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2008.

S. O. 1867.— In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award Ref.No. CGIT/LC/R/6/95 of the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the Employers in relation to the management of Chief Post Master General, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 9-6-2009.

[F.No.L-40011/9/93-IR(DU)]

SURENDRA SINGH, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,
JABALPUR

No. CGIT/LC/R/6/95

Presiding Officer : Shri Mohd Shakir Hasan

The Circle Secretary,
All India RMS Avam Motor Dak Sewa Sangh,
132, Mahatma Gandhi Marg,
Ratnam (MP)

—Workman/Union

Versus

The Chief Post Master General,
M.P. Circle, Bhopal

—Management

AWARD

Passed on this 2nd day of June, 2009

1. The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its Notification No.L-40011/9/93/IR(DU) dated 29-12-94 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this tribunal:—

“Whether the action of the management of Chief Post Master General M.P.Circle Bhopal for not giving temporary status to the workers Annexed in the list (50 workers) is justified or not? If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?”

2. The case of the Applicant/Union in short is that the Chief Post Master General has engaged a good number of workers in the Railway Mail Services at various places and they are entitled for temporary status as they have completed their works for 240 days in a calendar year. It is stated that the department took decision to give temporary status to those workers in view of the direction of the Hon'ble Supreme Court who had completed 240 days (206 days in the same office observing 5 days week) in a calendar year. It is stated that the decision of the department was circulated among the officers concerned who started to engage the workers continuously for eight hours but they had shown only seven hours on the record. Thereafter they started to give job for 4-5 hours a day to debar the entitlement of the benefit to temporary status.

3. The further case is that the workers, as per list of order of the reference, are engaged for 8 hours a day but the management has manipulated the records to show that the workers have worked only 4-5 hours a day. It is stated that the workers as per list has completed 240 days services and as such they are entitled to be treated as temporary status. It is submitted to hold that the action of the management is unjustified and the workers are entitled to temporary status with consequential benefits.

4. The management has not filed any written statement. It is clear that the management has no case. Surprisingly the management has examined one witness Shri B.L.Jha without any pleading.

5. The foremost question is as to whether the action of the management of Chief Post Master General MP Circle, Bhopal (MP) for not giving temporary status to the workmen as per list of order of the reference is fit to be raised before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur or not.

6. Now let us examine the evidence of the applicant/ Union on the above point. It is an admitted fact that the workmen had filed an original application under Section-19 of the Administrative Tribunal Act before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur which was registered as O.A.No. 252/95 Rajeev Kumar Srivastava & others versus Union of India & others. The said case was admittedly decided vide Judgement dated 9-12-1996 wherein the Hon'ble Court had directed the management to examine the case of the applicants and if it is found that they had put in more than 240 days of engagement in a year, the applicants be treated as casual employees having acquired temporary status and thereafter consider them for regular appointment in Group "D" on the basis of their seniority.

7. The question is as to whether the workmen who are referred in this order of reference, were also parties to the case No. O.A.No. 252/95 before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur. The applicant/Union has filed the photocopy of the judgement dated 9-12-96 of case No. OA.252/1995 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur Bench, Jabalpur. The said judgement shows that Shri Rajeev Kumar Sharma & 55 others were applicants in the case. The applicant/Union has also examined oral evidence in the case. Wazid Khan, Rajeev Kumar Sharma, Md.Unis Khan, Mahendra Singh Sisodia and R.K.Sharma are the witnesses examined by the Union. All have stated in their evidence that as the matter was getting delayed, the following individuals whose names are enlisted with the order of reference alongwith others filed a petition vide O.A.No.252 of 1995 in the name of Rajeev Kumar Sharma & 55 others versus union of India and the Chief Post Master General, MP Postal Circle, Dak Bhawan, Bhopal before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur Bench. It is evident from the evidence of the witnesses that the workmen are same who were also in the case No. O.A.252/1995 before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur. The evidences of the witnesses further

show that after passing order of the Hon'ble CAT, the management examined the services of workmen and gave temporary status to the workmen who are also in this order of reference. The evidence further shows that some of the workmen are denied to grant temporary status by the management. The Union appears to have challenged that the management has manipulated in implementing against some of the workmen who are referred to grant same status. This shows that the Union has to raise the issue against the action of the management before the same Tribunal which appears to be the proper forum in this case as it is simply related to the matter of implementation of the order of the CAT, Jabalpur.

8. In the result, the reference is accordingly answered. Hence the award is passed in favour of the management and against the Union but with no orders as to costs.

9. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment as per rules.

MOHD SHAKIR HASAN, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 2009

का. आ. 1868.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार हान्गकांग एन्ड सन्चाई बैंकिंग कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण न. II, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 18/2006) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/32/2006-आई आर (बी-1)]

अजय कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2009

S. O. 1868.— In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref.No.18/2006) of Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court II, Delhi as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd. and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 9-6-2009

[No. L- 12012/32/2006-IR(B-I)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-
CUM-LABOUR COURT NO. II, ROOM NO. 33,
KARKARDOOMA COURT COMPLEX, BLOCK-A
DELHI-110032

In the matter of industrial dispute between:

ID No. 18/2006

Ms Manju Saxena
D-601 UNESCO Apartment
55 J.P. Extension
Delhi-110092

..... Workman

Versus

The Chief Executive Officer
The Hongkong and Shanghai
Banking Corporation Limited
52/60 Mahatma Gandhi Road
PO Box No. 128, Mumbai-400 001

....Management

AWARD

The Central Government, Ministry of Labour vide Order No. L-12012/32/2006-IR (B-1) dated 08-03-2006 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication: -

"Whether the action of the Chief Executive Officer, HSBC, India Area Management Office, 52/60, Mahatma Gandhi Road, P.O. Box 128, Mumbai-400 001, in terminating the services of Mrs. Manju Saxena, Staff Officer w.e.f. 1-10-2005 without giving her full terminal benefits is just, valid and legal? If not, to what benefits the workman is entitled for and what directions are necessary in the matter."

2. The workman has filed her statement of claim in which she has submitted that she was in the employment of the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Limited (HSBC) (hereinafter referred to as the management/management bank) during the period 10th March, 1986 and 1st October 2005 (close of the working hours). While the claimant was holding the post of Senior Confidential Secretary, her services were terminated by the management vide its decision dated 1st October, 2005, arbitrarily and illegally and in blatant violation of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the Act), and in utter disregard to the principles of natural justice on the alleged grounds:-

- (a) that the post the claimant was holding had become redundant;
- (b) that the claimant had failed to accept any of the job opportunities provided to her; and
- (c) that the claimant did not accept redundancy package offered to her.

A copy of the impugned order dated 1-10-2005 has been annexed as Annex. A/I along with the statement of claim. The said letter is as under :—

HSBC

Manju Saxena
Employee No. 186099
New Delhi

1st October 2005

Sub : Termination of Employment.

Manju,

The question of your current job becoming redundant from May, 2005 has been a subject matter of discussions and correspondence culminating in a meeting with Mr. Ashish K Srivastava on 23rd September, 2005 in Mumbai.

The Bank has offered you a generous severance package, which it is now clear that you are not prepared to accept. The Bank also favourably considered your request for being accommodated in some alternate job. In the past four months since May, 2005, the Bank has offered you several job opportunities at various points of time viz. Business Development Officer, Customer Service Officer, Clearing Officer, Banking Services Officer etc. However, you have not expressed your willingness to accept any of the above job opportunities, nor have you accepted the generous redundancy package offered to you.

3. In the circumstances, the Bank has no alternative but to terminate your services with effect from close of working hours of 1 October 2005. The Bank shall be paying you six months' of compensation in lieu of Notice period as provided in your contract of employment. In addition, although not eligible, as a special case, you are also being paid compensation equivalent to fifteen days' salary for every completed year of service. These amounts totaling to INR 817,071 (Indian Rupees Eight Lacs Seventeen Thousand and Seventy One only) have been credited to your salary account yesterday. This is subject to deduction of applicable tax.

You are further requested to get in touch with North India Human Resource Department for the full and final settlement of your dues with the Bank.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-

Shishir Agarwal
Manager Employee Relations"

3. It is further the case of the claimant/workman that she was required to execute an agreement with the terms and conditions of the service before taking up her job in 1986. Accordingly, she has executed an agreement with terms of reference on 27-12-1986. That the duties entrusted to her post were primarily to assist the attached officer in the performance of that officer's functions. A copy of the said agreement and terms of reference has also been annexed with the statement of claim as Annexure A/2.

4. It is also the case of the claimant/workman that at her conscious option she was transferred to new terms of service of employment w.e.f. 1-10-2004 and was placed in SO Band 2 by the management while her designation continued as Senior Confidential Secretary. New terms and conditions, with its annexure and letter dated 21-11-2004 of the management, transferring her to the new terms collectively has been annexed as Annexure A/3 along with statement of claim. On her placement in SO Band 2, the claimant was informed that (a) she will be receiving a total reward of INR 732,736 PER ANNUM COMPRISING Base Salary, General Allowance, HRA, LTA, Medical and Benefit Allowance, PF, Gratuity and a bonus of Rs. 48,200 per annum (b) that her monthly pension, gratuity, leave encashment amounts along with accumulated leave account

was frozen with a rider to be payable at the time of retirement/resignation. However, it is pertinent to submit before the Hon'ble Court that the claimant was not furnished with any break-up details as to the calculation of the frozen pension, gratuity, and leave encashment amounts. Statement of Management in this regard is annexed as Annexure A/4.

5. The claimant has further submitted that during May, 2005 she was informed by the management that due to the reason that services of her officer, Ms Nancy Dickinson, Senior Manager, NDH were dispensed with, her post of Senior Confidential Secretary became redundant and that her services were to be utilized in the meantime by giving her other duties as well but without any additional remuneration. That the management was trying to locate alternative job and she was referred to choose anyone alternative that was offered to her in the near future. Her refusal either to discharge additional functions or refusal to do alternative jobs would lead to her dismissal. The claimant was also told that the management was at liberty to dismiss her with a six months notice as per agreement she had signed. Due to fear of losing her job, she had to handle additional work/take up additional responsibilities. However, the proposal of the management requiring her to choose jobs such as Business Development Officer, Customer Service Officer, Clearing Officer, Banking Services Officer, etc., were not acceptable to her as such jobs were either temporary in nature or she was not possessing experience or work knowledge to take up such jobs. She made these aspects clear to the management.

6. That the management vide e-mail dated 24 May, 2005 informed the claimant that there was no role of Senior Secretary and there was no vacancy of that cadre in that area and so she must leave by accepting severance package in line with what was being offered to other members of the staff. However, this commitment on the part of the management with regard to severance package to be in line with what was being offered to other members of the staff was not adhered to. Severance package offered to the claimant did not include full component of wages (two-third of the benefit allowance) forming part of wages and it was not included and ignored. Further, the management did not agree to waive off housing loan outstanding in her name though the same benefit of waiver was extended to a number of other colleagues, viz. Ms. Gita Rajagopalan, Ms. Poonam Garg, etc. Thus, the terms and conditions of the severance package were discriminatory between similarly placed workers and it was in utter disregard of the provisions of paragraph 4 of the terms of agreement of 1986.

7. Paragraph 4 of the terms of agreement dated 27-12-86 is as under :—

“The other service conditions shall be governed by the rules and regulations of the Bank for the category to which the employee belongs from time to time in force.”

Claimant raised these issues before the management through e-mails sent by her on 26th May, 9th Sept., 28th Sept. and on 29th Sept. 2005.

8. The claimant opted to new terms of employment with effect from 1-10-2004. As she had completed one year of service as on 1-10-2005, 1/3rd component of benefit allowance of Rs. 31,000 (Rupees thirty-one thousand only) stood transferred and became a part of the basic wage by merger and rest 2/3 rd remaining as benefit allowance as on 1-10-2005. However, the management refused to include the benefit allowance as a component of her wages, which is contrary to the provisions of the Act. Management is prohibited to differentiate wages in respect of a worker and must strictly follow the terms 'wages' defined under the Act.

9. That as on 1-10-2005, claimant's basic wage increased by merger of 1/3rd of benefit allowance component thereby giving her the benefit of attendant incremental raises in other allowances, pensionary benefits, gratuity, bonus, etc., as per the new terms of service she opted as on 1-10-2004. Statement herein below indicates the wage structure of the claimant year-wise after opting to new terms:

INR

Basic Wage	HRA/Month	Benefit Allowance	Medical Month	LTA/Month
09/05 19280	4800	31000	1250	2000
10/05 29613		20667		
10/06 39946		10334		
10/07 50279				

Given below is the entitlement of claimant to severance package which amount the management is denying by refusing to include benefit allowances as a component of wages :—

Option A	Option B
If the petitioner were to continue in her post till Superannuation (Year 2019) 14 years	Service put in 19 years on the date of severance i.e. on 01-10-2005 :
168 months × Rs. 58,330 PM Rs. 117,593,280	10 Years = 120 months @ Rs. 58,330 per month Severance Package = Rs. 6,99,960

As per the commitment of the management to pay wages for 10 years to claimant as severance package, she is entitled to Rs. 69,99,600 as severance package. The amount arrived at is based on the wages which the claimant was drawing as on 30-9-2005 and before drawal of increment as on 01-10-2005. With consequential increases in other allowances and drawal of increment, the package figure need to be revised and recalculated as admissible on 1-10-2005.

10. It is further the case of the claimant/workman that while negotiations were continuing and clarifications being sought on the discrepancy being meted out to her with regard to the severance package and even before the claimant was given a fair opportunity to decide on the issue, her services were arbitrarily and unilaterally terminated vide impugned order dated 1-10-2005. The claimant was further informed about credit of her salary account with six months pay in lieu of notice.

11. The claimant has further submitted that she is a victim of the colourable exercise of power by the management and termination was made under cover of clauses IV (termination of employment) and VI (Duties, responsibilities and obligations) applicable to Confidential Secretaries read with para 1 and 4 of the agreement signed by her. That many of the clauses of the agreement were in unequal terms as the employer was biased and it was vested with per se powers to the disadvantage of the workers. Being unequal in terms, the agreement signed by the claimant is null and void, being violative of the constitutional provisions of Article 14 and 16 as also contrary to the objects and provisions of the Act. That all relevant provisions of the Act applicable to the claimant as an industrial worker under Section 2 and 25 were violated.

12. That the claimant is an industrial worker as defined under Section 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act. Termination of services of an industrial worker amounts to retrenchment when such a termination is for any reason whatsoever other than as a measure of punishment inflicted by way of discriminatory action. That the reasons given by the management in its impugned order dated 01-10-2005, as quoted above, were clearly different. Termination of service of the workmen thus is in violation of Section 2(oo) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947. It tantamount to unfair labour practices. That the termination order was also not in good faith, a colourable exercise of the employer's right as may be evinced by the agreement which the petitioner was compelled to sign at the time of joining her service in the year 1986. Further, the order of termination did not spell out the reason for departing from the rule of 'last come first go' and juniors to her were retained in service.

13. It is also the case of claimant that when proceedings were pending before the Conciliation Officer, in utter disregard of the provisions of Section 33 of the Act, the management had effected recovery of the monthly installments of the Housing Loan of Rs. 13,826/- (Rupees thirteen thousand eight hundred twenty-six only) and raised debit unauthorizedly and clandestinely against the personal Savings Bank account of the claimant being maintained in the management bank.

14. In para 5 of her statement of claim, the claimant/workman has also submitted as under :—

"It is further to humbly submit before this Hon'ble Court that because of vindictive and coercive actions

adopted by the management to the detriment of the rights of industrial workers, as evidenced not only in her own case but also in respect of a number of her colleagues who were either forced to resign defenceless and unceremoniously or whose services have been terminated illegally by the management during the past several years, there is an apprehension in her mind that nothing prevents the management to adopt similar vindictive tactics in her future service in the Bank, such tactics may perhaps be more conveniently manipulated to meet the requirements of the Act, so as to eject her from service on flimsy grounds, and therefore, the claimant resolved to opt out from the services of the Bank as she has no faith in management's employee relations."

15. The claimant/workman has therefore prayed this Tribunal to declare the termination orders issued by the management in her case as void ab initio and a nullity before the eyes of law. She has further prayed to declare the claimant as continued in service without interruption from the date of her illegal termination with all consequential benefits including payment of wages last drawn with accrued periodical increments subsequent to illegal termination; with other benefits such as annual revision in her pay as per standard norms applied in respect of other co-workers in the category in which the claimant was employed since she has a right to reinstatement with continuity in service and right to wages as declared by Hon'ble Supreme Court in *DC & G Mills vs. Shambhu Nath* AIR 1979 SC 88 and *State of Bombay vs. Hospital Mazdoor Sabha* (1990) 1 LLJ 251 SC.

16. She has also prayed for an order for payment of :

- (a) Severance package money on the basis of calculations averred in sub-paragraph 3.3 and 3.4 *supra* after taking into account the wages admissible to complainant (as on 1.10.2005) as she was compelled to sacrifice her job for the reasons explained in paragraph 5 *supra*. As per claimant's wage entitlement, the severance package amount calculated as per the new terms of service (at INR 58,330 (Rupees fifty eight thousand three hundred thirty only per month) and on the basis of wages drawn as on 30-09-2005 comes to Rs. INR 69,99,960 (Rupees sixty-nine lacs ninety nine thousand nine hundred sixty only) and to be re-calculated on the basis of wages last drawn and as on 1-10-2005; for which the claimant is entitled to;
- (b) Payment of incremental benefits arising due to increase in basic wages of the claimant as on 1-10-2005 relating to raise in allowances, increase in pensionary benefits, increases in gratuity payments, bonus payments, etc., as admissible to her on the basis of new terms and

conditions she had opted beginning 1st October 2004 and as confirmed by management vide its letter dated 1-10-2004.

- (c) Order payment of bonus for the relevant years the claimant was denied bonus as per the norms applicable to the category of workers she belonged to and in accordance with the provisions contained in the agreement she had executed at the time of joining service or in the event if any of the industrial workers including any member of Officers' cadre disbursed a higher rate of bonus calculated differently by adopting different norms in their cases whereby they were disbursed a higher bonus for any of the years, at such higher rates in accordance with statutory provisions contained in the Payment of Bonus Act 1965.
- (d) Order payment of Provident Fund as full and complete settlement with detailed break-up of the calculations of the Account year-wise during her entire service; as the maintenance of her PF Accounts by management require adequate security as the claimant not having any confidence in the management of arrears by PF Trust;
- (e) Order full waiver of outstanding Housing Loans without any discrimination as was allowed in respect of her colleagues namely Ms. Poonam Garg/Gita Rajagopalan and other colleagues in her grade and as averred by claimant in paragraph 3.2 supra.
- (f) Order final and full settlement towards encashment of leave as per last wages drawn including release of frozen amounts with full break-up figures with details of break-up in arriving the frozen amounts;
- (g) Order payment of Gratuity strictly in accordance with the statutory provisions taking into account full wages defined under the Act and inclusive of frozen amounts with full break-up details.
- (h) Order payment of pension for life with full break-up details furnished as to the calculation and arrival of pension amounts in respect of the petitioner along with break-up details of pension frozen as on 1-10-2004 as the claimant was left with more than 14 (fourteen) years of service left before normal superannuation.

The claimant has also prayed for costs towards legal expenses incurred by her in pursuing this case in this Court.

17. The management bank contested the claim of the workman and has filed a written statement. The management bank has admitted to the extent that the claimant joined the

services of the bank on 10th March 1986 and continued in its employment till 1st October 2005. However, they have repudiated that the claimant was employed in workman category as defined under Section 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947. It is further denied that the services of the claimant were terminated either illegally or arbitrarily as has been alleged by her. On the contrary, the claimant vide letter dated 1st October, 2005 was informed that her current job in the grade of a Staff Officer had become redundant from May, 2005. It is submitted that she had been offered a generous severance package; as also been offered to be accommodated in some alternative job, viz. Business Development Officer, Customer Service Officer, Clearing Officer, Banking Service Officer and the like. However, the claimant did not express her willingness in any of the aforesaid job opportunities nor she had accepted the generous redundancy package offered to her which amounted to Rs. 57,29,533 (Rupees fifty-seven lacs twenty-nine thousand five hundred thirty-three only). In the aforesaid circumstances, the bank had no alternative but to terminate the services of the claimant on October 1, 2005. On that occasion, the bank also paid the claimant six months' salary as compensation in lieu of the notice period as provided in her contract of employment. Further, although the claimant was not eligible, but as a special case, she was paid compensation equivalent to 15 days of salary for every completed year of service amounting to Rs. 8,17,071 (Rupees eight lacs seventeen thousand seventy-one only). It was further mentioned that she should get in touch with North India Human Resource Department to settle her dues with the bank. Hence, the allegation of the claimant that the action of the Bank was in utter disregard to the principles of natural justice is false.

18. The management has further submitted that standard procedure was followed while employing the claimant in the bank. That admittedly the complainant consciously and voluntarily opted and accepted the new terms of service of employment with effect from 1st October, 2004. Admittedly, she was placed in the Staff Officer Band 2 and that too at the top end of the Grade/Band. The nature of duties then entrusted to her was those of an officer and not a workman as postulated as per Section 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947. Admittedly, the claimant on her placement in Staff Officer Bank, 2 was paid an annual package of Rs. 7,32,736/- (Rupees seven lacs thirty-two thousand seven hundred thirty-six only) comprising basic salary, benefit allowance and general allowance; viz. house rent allowance, leave, and medical allowance. In addition, she was assured for the year 2004 an annual bonus of Rs. 48,200/- (Rupees forty-eight thousand two hundred only) as also contribution towards payment of provident fund and gratuity. That prior to these proceedings the claimant did not ever make any request that a copy of the break-up details as also the calculation be furnished to her. However, the same were always available with the Human Resource Department of the bank.

2287 GI/09-22

19. That admittedly, during May 2005 the claimant was informed by the Bank that the post of Ms. Nancy Dickinson, Senior Manager, Northern India, had been abolished. Consequently, the post of Senior Confidential Secretary had also become redundant and that the services of the claimant were to be utilized in an alternative job. Accordingly, she was offered the post of Business Development Officer, Customer Service Officer, Clearings Officer and Banking Services Officer. However, the claimant declined all such offers made to her. It is specifically repudiated by the bank, that the said assignments were temporary in nature or the claimant did not have the necessary experience or work knowledge to take up such jobs and assignments. In fact, her consistent agenda was to extract from the bank as much money as she could on the plea that she did not possess experience or work knowledge to take up the various jobs offered to her. It is admitted by the management bank that the claimant had rendered unblemished service with the bank for 19 years and during her career in the bank she had handled various assignments and had necessary experience, expertise and wherewithal to render competent services to the bank. It is asserted that the bank left no stone unturned to provide alternative employment to the claimant while she was employed with the bank. However, in view of her adamant attitude and approach, the bank was left with no other option but to discharge her from services of the bank without casting any stigma and tendering to her all her statutory dues in full and final including compensation equivalent to fifteen days salary for every completed year of service. Consequently, the action of the Bank is legal, valid, and proper and therefore cannot be sought to be challenged in these proceedings.

20. It is admitted that e-mails were exchanged between the bank and the claimant. That the severance package offered by the bank to its employees varied from time to time based on each individual case. That while computing the severance package the bank had ensured that the employee concerned received all statutory payments. That they received payments far in excess to what was payable to them in terms of their respective contracts of employment. That averments and assertions made by the claimant in paragraph 3.2 of the statement of claim are far beyond the scope and jurisdiction of the terms of reference for adjudication as set out in Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Consequently, this Tribunal need not consider or entertain the said averments. That in fact the services of the claimant were terminated on tendering to her all dues and payments including statutory ones notwithstanding the fact that the claimant is not a workman within the ambit, meaning and scope of Section 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. In fact the terms of reference issued by the appropriate Government describe the claimant Ms. Manju Saxena as Staff Officer and prima facie she is not eligible to invoke the jurisdiction of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Accordingly, the payment

tendered to the claimant vide letter dated October 01, 2005 is legal, valid and proper. She is not eligible or entitled to receive any further or additional payments whatsoever under the law.

21. It is further pleaded by the Bank that they never agreed to waive off the outstanding housing loan of the claimant as has been alleged by her. That the claimant was given the deadline to accept the offer of the bank latest by September 30, 2005 and obviously thereafter the Bank would necessarily exercise their option to terminate the services of the claimant in accordance with the contract of employment. Hence, the services of the claimant stood terminated on October 01, 2005. That the amount paid or payable to the claimant under the head 'Benefit Allowance', being not the basic salary, cannot attract any computation towards the severance package. No employee has ever been paid severance compensation by including the benefit allowance component. That the computation set out by the claimant is wholly perverse, incorrect and therefore repudiated. It is further the case of the management bank that employment in the bank is not covered by the constitutional provisions but are grounded and determined by the terms of the agreement/contract by and between the employee and the bank. Further, the said terms of service have been uniformly applied to all employees under the supervisory, administrative and the managerial cadres of the bank.

22. In reply to paragraph 5 of the statement of claim, the management bank has submitted that the bare perusal of her assertions makes it abundantly clear that she is not interested in the employment of the bank but her single point agenda is to demand from the Bank as much money as she can. Her such conduct and attitude is wholly unacceptable. That through these proceedings the claimant has tried to tarnish the image, reputation and goodwill of the Bank. That jurisdiction of this Tribunal is limited to the terms of reference for adjudication. There is no amount whatsoever due or payable to the claimant.

23. As regards the alleged discrimination regarding housing loan, it is submitted by the Bank that at the relevant time when Ms. Poonam Garg and Ms. Geeta Rajagopalan had voluntarily left the services of the Bank, the Housing Loans Scheme including its repayment was entirely different and distinct. In fact, subsequent to 2004 the bank had entered into an agreement whereby the housing loan of staff of all management staff members was converted into a simple housing loan taken by any Indian citizen being a customer of the Bank. Hence, it is perverse that there was any discrimination meted out to the claimant in respect of outstanding housing loan.

24. Regarding pension, it is submitted that as per the revised scheme, pension could be granted on early retirement after attaining 45 years of age or a period of service not less than 20 years. In the case of the claimant her length of service was 19 years 6 months and her age on

that date was 43 years and 3 months, her date of birth being June 10, 1962. In the circumstances, the claimant did not fulfil the criteria to receive pension for life.

25. Ultimately, it is submitted by the Bank that in the circumstances, the claimant is not eligible and/or entitled to any relief whatsoever including the sums of money claimed and demanded from the Bank by her. This Court should therefore impose exemplary costs on the claimant for having raised frivolous and vexatious claim against the Bank and has left no stone unturned to bring disrepute to the Bank. An Award favouring the Bank should therefore be passed in this case.

26. In her rejoinder, the claimant refuted the stand taken by the management Bank and has re-asserted her claim made in her statement of claim.

27. In support of her case, the claimant Ms. Manju Saxena has filed her evidence by way of an affidavit. She has been subjected to detailed cross-examination by the Ld. A.R. of the management Bank. In rebuttal to that, the management has examined Ms. Niti Chopra, its Vice President, Human Resource, Northern India. She has also filed her evidence by way of an affidavit. She too has been cross-examined in detail by the workman.

28. I have heard the workman at length who has argued her case personally. I have also heard the Ld. A.R. of the management. I have perused the record and also the written submissions placed on record by both the sides. I have also gone through the various authorities relied upon by both the parties.

29. There are few important points for consideration and determination in this case. The most important point for consideration in this case is if Ms. Manju Saxena, claimant, is a 'workman' or not. The jurisdiction of this Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court could be invoked only if Ms. Manju Saxena happens to be a workman.

30. It is an admitted position that Ms. Manju Saxena joined the services of the respondent bank on 10-3-1986 as a Lady Confidential Secretary. Later on she was promoted as Senior Confidential Secretary. Ms. Manju Saxena executed an agreement with the terms and conditions of service on 27-12-1986 EX MW 1/3. The duties entrusted to her post were primarily to assist the attached officer in the performance of that officer's functions. On 01-10-2004 Ms. Manju Saxena was placed in the category of Senior Confidential Secretary SO Band 2. Thus, with effect from 01-10-2004 she was changed to new terms and conditions and to a new broad-banded structure so defined by the management in the following manner: -

"Broad-banding will allow us to have flexible pay structure aligned to the market, enabling us to attract, develop and motivate the very best employees. In addition,

it will create a flatter organization structure supporting business alignment and improving communication. Finally, it will move employee focus towards acquiring skills rather than rewarding seniority and chasing grades. The new grading structure will be supported by the current pay job evaluation process and defined based on a range of pay scores. A comprehensive exercise has been conducted to ensure evaluation is up to date prior to conversion. Scores have been established for new jobs and scores have been revised for jobs which have undergone recent changes. Future new and revised jobs will continue to be placed to appropriate bands based on job evaluation results."

Thus, under the new broad-banded structure, there will be less emphasis on visible status. The broad band will allow more flexibility in internal movements which will benefit those who grasp the opportunity to learn new skills and gain the exposure that another post will provide. Essentially, it will provide greater choice for individual career management.

31. It is now a settled position in law that an undue importance need not be given to the designation of an employee, or the class to which he belongs. A nomenclature and designation are not very much material for deciding whether an employee is a workman or not. What is required to be seen is if the main and principal duties carried out by official are clerical or supervisory, administrative and managerial in character. If the main work done is of clerical nature, the mere fact that some supervisory duties were also carried out incidentally, the same will not convert the employment from that of a clerk into one in supervisory capacity.

32. In her evidence, Ms. Manju Saxena has asserted that she was all along been discharging duties mainly of a clerical nature and even after she was transferred to new terms and conditions, there was no change in her duties. She was not given even incidentally any supervisory duties and she has not discharged any managerial or supervisory functions. Her real and substantial employment was clerical. In her cross-examination Ms. Manju Saxena was enquired by the Ld. Counsel for the management if she was assigned the job of organizing events, liaising with bank managers, negotiating rates, finalizing the menu and the invitation list and she was also authorized to settle bills, etc. Further, if on behalf of the bank she used to conduct branch visits both in Delhi and outside and she used to assist and recommend to the bank the training needs of the employees and to such like questions, Ms. Manju Saxena answered that she was working under the instructions of Ms. Nancy Dickinson and had no supervisory powers to take any action on behalf the bank. Further, no staff was reporting to her and no financial powers were assigned to her by the bank. Her main work was to type the reports under the instructions of her immediate boss who used to take action on areas of improvement and finally allocating the budget

g to all branches of the area. Regarding her visits she has replied that she was given additional responsibilities by her immediate boss, Miss Nancy Dickinson on 10th Oct 2007 without any supervisory powers which is also clear from documents EX-2007/25, 26 and 27. According to Miss Manju Saxena, Miss Dickinson used to call her at her residence and give instructions, which is also clear from document EX-29. Miss Manju Saxena has asserted that she performed the duties mentioned in the letter and the instructions of her immediate boss.

33. Thus, even if Ms. Manju Saxena was compelled to take up additional duties by her immediate boss, Ms. Nancy Dickinson, the bank, by no stretch of imagination, could claim that she had stopped performing her main and essential duties. There is nothing to show that the bank paid any additional remuneration to Ms. Manju Saxena for performing duties assigned to her by her boss, Miss Nancy Dickinson. It may further be noted here that the respondent bank could not file even a scrap of paper to establish that Ms. Manju Saxena was assigned managerial, supervisory or administrative duties. Staff Officer is a dignified designation but the nature of duties performed by the official cannot be ascertained from the mere use of word Staff Officer. There is absolutely no material on record to prove that Miss Manju Saxena was employed to work in a managerial or supervisory capacity, or any number of persons were working under Ms. Manju Saxena while work she was required to supervise. The real tests for ascertaining the status and functions of an employee are the primary, basic and the dominant nature of his or her duties and applying such tests it is difficult to hold that Ms. Manju Saxena is not a workman.

34. It has been held that in (1985) 3 SCC 371 that the nature of the work of a workman is to be ascertained from the dominant nature of duties performed by him and not by nomenclature. In another case, *Anand Regional Co. Op. S. Union Vs. Shri. K. Kumar Harnadani* (2006) 77 Scale 603, it has been held that for determining the question as to whether a person employed in an industry is a workman or not, not only the nature of work performed by him but also the terms of the appointment in the job performed are relevant for consideration. Supervision or control over the work of others while determining the nature of work performed by an employee, the essence of the matter should call for consideration. As has rightly been said, it is not enough to say that a person is employed in an industry, or the name assigned to the work is such that it is a supervisory or managerial one. For the said purpose, it is necessary to place emphasis on some physical working under him, which is not required to be supervised. Being an owner or a partner in a firm and not a being a manager or a partner in a firm, it is not enough to say that a person is employed in an industry, or the name assigned to the work is such that it is a supervisory or managerial one.

35. In view of all this, I hold that Ms. Manju Saxena, who is a workman as defined under Section 2 (s) of Industrial Disputes Act 1947.

36. The second major point for consideration in this case is whether the termination of services of Ms. Manju Saxena by the respondent bank is a retrenchment or not. The termination of services of a workman by an employer is a retrenchment if it is done on the basis of the retrenchment package offered to her. The retrenchment package offered to her was not proper, as the package has not been calculated on the basis of last drawn wages of the claimant. She says that she has worked for almost one year at the new terms of appointment offered by her and so the payment of the "benefit allowance" should have been included as a component of her last drawn salary but it has not been included as a component of her wages. Further, the retrenchment package should contain a lump sum of ten years' wages with a commitment to waive off the balance term as has been done in many other cases by the management bank. If the respondent bank was to retrench workman Ms. Manju Saxena, the entire benefits payable to her should have been calculated prior to that and payment should have been made to her along with retrenchment package. As the bank has not done so, the termination of services of Ms. Manju Saxena is not a retrenchment.

37. In the case of *Anand Regional Co. Op. S. Union Vs. Shri. K. Kumar Harnadani* (2006) 77 Scale 603, it has been held that the question whether a person is employed in a supervisory capacity or on clerical work depends upon whether the main and principal duties carried out by him are those of a supervisory character, or of a nature carried out by a clerk. If a person is mainly doing supervisory work but incidentally or for a fraction of the time, also does some clerical work, it would have to be held that he is employed in supervisory capacity, and, conversely, if the main work done is of clerical nature, the mere fact that some supervisory duties are also carried out incidentally or for a small fraction of the work done by him will not convert his employment as a clerk into one in supervisory capacity.

38. For the same proposition, cases *DP Maheshwari Vs. Delhi Adm. & Ors* (1983) 4 SCC 295 and *A.P. Ferguson & Co. Vs. Presiding Officer* (CWR No. 2649/2002) can also be looked into.

39. In view of all this, I hold that Ms. Manju Saxena, who is a workman as defined under Section 2 (s) of Industrial Disputes Act 1947.

40. The second major point for consideration in this case is whether the termination of services of Ms. Manju Saxena by the respondent bank is a retrenchment or not.

41. The termination of services of a workman by an employer is a retrenchment if it is done on the basis of the retrenchment package offered to her. The retrenchment package offered to her was not proper, as the package has not been calculated on the basis of last drawn wages of the claimant. She says that she has worked for almost one year at the new terms of appointment offered by her and so the payment of the "benefit allowance" should have been included as a component of her last drawn salary but it has not been included as a component of her wages.

42. Further, the retrenchment package should contain a lump sum of ten years' wages with a commitment to waive off the balance term as has been done in many other cases by the management bank. If the respondent bank was to retrench workman Ms. Manju Saxena, the entire benefits payable to her should have been calculated prior to that and payment should have been made to her along with retrenchment package. As the bank has not done so, the termination of services of Ms. Manju Saxena is not a retrenchment.

The Award is passed according to the exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the SATNAM SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 2009

का.आ. 1869.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, भुवनेश्वर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 91/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-2009 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/153/91-आईआर (बी.-1)]

अजय कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2009

S.O. 1869.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No.91/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal -cum- Labour Court, Bhubaneswar as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of State Bank of India and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 9-06-2009.

[No. L-12012/153/91-IR (B-1)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR

Present : N. K. R. Mohapatra, Presiding Officer

Tr. Industrial Dispute Case No. 91/2001

Date of Passing Award - 21st April 2009

BETWEEN

The Management of the Regional Manager,
State Bank of India, (Region-1)
Regional Office, Near Capital Thana, Unit-I
Bhubaneswar. (Orissa)

...1st Party-Management.

AND

Their Workmen

Shri S. N. Dora, Ex. C.C.T.,
C/o. Kamala Kanta Panta, Plot No. 152,
Chintamaniswar, Orissa, Bhubaneswar.

...2nd Party-Workman.

APPEARANCES

M/s. Jagannath Pattnaik : For the 1st Party-
Advocate. Management.

Shri S. N. Dora : For Himself the
2nd Party-Workman.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-Section (1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the

following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No. L-12012/153/91-IR (B-III) dated 28-08-1991.

"Whether the action of the Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Bhubaneswar in dismissing Shri Satya Narayan Dora, C.C.T.-from service with effect from 17-2-1990 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

2. In his claim statement the 2nd Party-Workman contends that he joined as a Clerk-cum-Typist in the State Bank of India on 18-1-1979 and thereafter he was transferred and posted at placed like Nabarangpur, Sakhigopal and at Puri. At Puri he joined in the Temple Road Evening Branch of the State Bank of India on 18-10-1983. While serving there he was placed under suspension on the advise of the C.B.I., Bhubaneswar in relation to a case involving fraud of bank's money. The Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Bhubaneswar framed necessary charges against the 2nd Party-Workman on the allegation that he had committed the said fraud in corrupt collusion with one Shri Rajkishore Barik, the Messenger of the Bank, by fabricating the "Mail Transfer" issued from Calcutta Branch and for transferring the amount to a fictitious account opened in the name of one Shri M. K. Das. In the above enquiry the workman was found guilty and he was dismissed from service with effect from 17-2-1990. It is alleged by the disputant that the above enquiry was not conducted fairly in as much as he was not provided sufficient opportunity to defend himself and as such the action of the Management is liable to be set aside.

3. The 1st Party-Management of State Bank of India filed his written statement denying all the averments of the workman. Depending upon the above stand and various other stands of the parties the Tribunal framed three issues as follows.

ISSUES

1. Is the domestic enquiry conducted against the workman fair and proper?
2. Is the action of the Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Bhubaneswar in dismissing the workman from service with effect from 17-2-1990 legal and justified?
3. To what relief, the workman is entitled to?
4. Of the above issues, the Tribunal took up Issue No. 1 relating to the propriety of the Domestic Enquiry as preliminary issue and came to hold in its order dated 18-10-1997 that the enquiry conducted against the workman was not fair and proper. At the same time it passed an order permitting the Management to examine additional witnesses, if he so chooses, justifying its order of dismissal passed against the workman.
5. Against the aforesaid order of the Tribunal the 1st Party-Management preferred O.J.C. 16529/97 before the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa. While disposing of the

back payment before the Labour Court, Ujjain and a case No. 7/97 was registered. On 23-2-01, the Learned Labour Court passed order for payment of arrear of Rs.1500. It is stated that there was no post of peon in the office in the year 1995 and 1996 and he was never appointed on the post of peon and the applicant had only worked as contractor in the Gas Authority of India Ltd. and there was no relationship of employer and employee between them. There is a prescribed rules for appointment and he never worked as an employee. On these grounds the award be passed in favour of the non-applicant.

4. On perusal of the record, it appears that subsequently the applicant did not appear in the case and the case proceeded *ex parte* against him on 19-1-06.

5. In order to prove the case, the non-applicant management has adduced oral and documentary evidence. MW-1 S.S. Pangti was Deputy Manager in the said office from 1995 to 1997. He has stated that Nago Singh Chauhan gave an application on 25-3-95 for his service as a contractor for Rs. 1250 per month. The said application is marked as Exhibit M-1. The said application clearly shows that the applicant offer himself to do the work of cleaning on a monthly rate of Rs. 1250 and had requested for work order. He has stated that after considering his application, he was informed on 10-4-95 on his quotation for work on a monthly rate of Rs. 1250. The said work order is also filed

which is marked as Exhibit M-2. The work order shows that it was simply a contract for one year on certain conditions. It is evident from the said documents that there was no relationship of employer and employee. He has further stated that the service of Nago Singh was taken till Nov.-1996 and thereafter his service was no longer required. The management has filed another application of Nago Singh Chauhan which is also marked as Exhibit M-3. This application shows that he again requested for work contract on 31-3-06 and the work order was given vide Exhibit M-4. The construction of both the documents show that there was offer on behalf of Nago Singh and the same was accepted by the Manager of Khera Compressor Office. He has stated that no appointment was given to him. Exhibit M-2 and M-4 show that it was not appointment letter rather work orders were given on proposal by the applicant Nago Singh Chauhan. Thus the oral and documentary evidence show that the applicant Nago Singh Chauhan was not a workman.

6. In the result, the reference is answered in favour of the non-applicant Management. Accordingly the award is passed *ex parte* in favour of the non-applicant and against the applicant Nago Singh Chauhan.

7. Let the copies of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & employment as per rules.

MOHD. SHAKIR HASAN, Presiding Officer